

# FROBENIUS STRUCTURES OVER HILBERT C\*-MODULES

CHRIS HEUNEN AND MANUEL L. REYES

ABSTRACT. We study the monoidal dagger category of Hilbert C\*-modules over a commutative C\*-algebra from the perspective of categorical quantum mechanics. The dual objects are the finitely presented projective Hilbert C\*-modules. Special dagger Frobenius structures correspond to bundles of uniformly finite-dimensional C\*-algebras. A monoid is dagger Frobenius over the base if and only if it is dagger Frobenius over its centre and the centre is dagger Frobenius over the base. We characterise the commutative dagger Frobenius structures as branched coverings with finite fibres, and give nontrivial examples of both commutative and central dagger Frobenius structures. Subobjects of the tensor unit correspond to clopen subsets of the Gelfand spectrum of the C\*-algebra, and we discuss dagger kernels.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*Categorical quantum mechanics* [37] provides a powerful graphical calculus for quantum theory. It achieves this by stripping the traditional Hilbert space model of much detail. Nevertheless, the main examples remain based on Hilbert spaces, and relations between sets. The latter can be extended to take scalars in arbitrary quantales [2]. This article extends scalars in the former from complex numbers to arbitrary commutative C\*-algebras. In other words, we study the monoidal category of *Hilbert modules* over a commutative C\*-algebra. This provides a genuinely new model, that is interesting for various reasons.

- Just like commutative C\*-algebras are dual to locally compact Hausdorff spaces, we prove that Hilbert modules are equivalent to bundles of Hilbert spaces over locally compact Hausdorff spaces (in Section 4). This gives a very naive model of *algebraic quantum field theory* [8, 29]: instead of a single Hilbert space of states, we may have Hilbert spaces over every point of a base space that vary continuously.
- We prove that the abstract *scalars* hide more structure than previously thought: subobjects of the tensor unit correspond to clopen subsets of the base space (see Section 3). This exposes a rich approach to *causality* [16, 34], and opens the possibility of handling relativistic quantum information theory categorically. This will be addressed in a forthcoming paper, which also characterises open subsets of the base space in purely categorical terms. It also invites questions about *contextuality* [1, 3], that might now be addressed within categorical quantum mechanics using *regular logic* [36].
- Letting the base space vary gives a bicategory of Hilbert bimodules, which forms an infinite continuous extension of the finite *higher-categorical* approach to categorical quantum mechanics [58] (see Appendix A).

---

*Date:* April 20, 2017. We thank Andreas Blass, Bertfried Fauser, Simon Henry, Klaus Keimel, and Sean Tull.

We pay particular attention to *Frobenius structures* (see Section 6), which model classical information flow and algebras of observables [37].

- We prove that dagger Frobenius structures correspond to finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras that vary continuously over the base space (in Section 7). The base space may be considered as modelling *spacetime*. Thus spacetime protocols can still be modelled within the setting of categorical quantum mechanics, and alternative models [12] are not needed.

In fact, we show that this correspondence of objects extends to both  $*$ -homomorphisms and *completely positive maps* as morphisms. In other words, we identify the result of applying the  $CP^*$ -construction [15] to the category of Hilbert modules.

- We reduce studying Frobenius structures to studying *commutative* ones and *central* ones (in Section 9), and give nontrivial examples of each (in Section 6). In fact, commutative Frobenius structures are equivalent to branched coverings of the base space with uniformly finite fibres (see Section 8). In particular, Frobenius structures in a category like that of Hilbert modules need not copy classical information elementwise as previously thought: there may be no copyable states at all. This more intricate structure should inform notions of classicality [34]. On the other hand, classifying central Frobenius structures might be done using a Brauer group [7, 48], which we leave to future work.
- The category of Hilbert modules captures infinite dimension, with entirely standard methods [28], and without dropping unitality [2]: although dagger Frobenius structures form local algebras of observables that are finite-dimensional, globally they can form any homogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra [9, IV.1.6].

The article is rounded out by auxiliary results that might be expected: Hilbert modules form a symmetric monoidal dagger category with finite dagger biproducts (see Section 2), and the dagger dual objects are precisely the Hilbert modules that are finitely presented projective (see Section 5). Finally, we prove (in Section 10) that the category of Hilbert modules has dagger *kernels* only if the base space is totally disconnected, with a view to characterising categories of Hilbert modules.

## 2. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF HILBERT MODULES

We briefly recall the basic definitions; for more information we refer to [41]. Intuitively, a Hilbert module is a Hilbert space where the base field has been replaced with a  $C^*$ -algebra. In this article  $C^*$ -algebras are not necessarily unital.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A (right) *Hilbert  $A$ -module* is a right  $A$ -module  $E$ , equipped with a function  $\langle - | - \rangle_E: E \times E \rightarrow A$  that is  $A$ -linear in the second variable, such that:

- $\langle x | y \rangle^* = \langle y | x \rangle$ ;
- $\langle x | x \rangle \geq 0$ , and  $\langle x | x \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- $E$  is complete in the norm  $\|x\|_E = \|\langle x | x \rangle\|_A$ .

A function  $f: E \rightarrow F$  between Hilbert  $A$ -modules is called *bounded* by  $\|f\| \in \mathbb{R}$  when  $\|f(x)\|_F \leq \|f\| \|x\|_E$  for all  $x \in E$ . It is called *adjointable* when there exists a function  $f^\dagger: F \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $\langle f(x) | y \rangle_F = \langle x | f^\dagger(y) \rangle_E$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$ .

Write  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}}$  for the category of Hilbert  $C$ -modules and bounded  $C$ -linear functions. A *dagger category* is a category  $\mathbf{C}$  with a functor  $\dagger: \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $X^\dagger = X$  on objects and  $f^{\dagger\dagger} = f$  on morphisms. Write  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  for the dagger category of Hilbert  $C$ -modules and adjointable functions.

For so-called *self-dual* Hilbert  $A$ -modules  $E, F$ , these two types of morphisms coincide:  $\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{\text{bd}}(E, F) = \mathbf{Hilb}_A(E, F)$  [43, 3.3-3.4].

If  $E$  and  $F$  are Hilbert  $C$ -modules over a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$ , another Hilbert  $C$ -module  $E \otimes F$  is given by completing the algebraic tensor product  $E \otimes_C F$  with the following inner product and (right)  $C$ -module structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 \otimes y_1 \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle &= \langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle \langle y_1 \mid y_2 \rangle, \\ (x \otimes y)c &= x \otimes (yc). \end{aligned}$$

For more details, see Appendix A. A *dagger monoidal category* is a monoidal category that is also a dagger category in which  $(f \otimes g)^\dagger = f^\dagger \otimes g^\dagger$  and the coherence isomorphisms are unitary.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The category  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}}$  is symmetric monoidal, and  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  is a symmetric monoidal dagger category.*

*Proof.* If  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $g: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  are bounded maps between Hilbert  $C$ -modules, we may define  $f \otimes g: E_1 \otimes F_1 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes F_2$  as the continuous linear extension of  $x \otimes y \mapsto f(x) \otimes g(y)$ . If  $f, g$  were adjointable, then  $f \otimes g$  is adjointable with adjoint  $f^\dagger \otimes g^\dagger$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (f \otimes g)(x_1 \otimes y_1) \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle &= \langle f(x_1) \mid y_1 \rangle \langle g(y_1) \mid y_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1 \mid f^\dagger(y_1) \rangle \langle y_1 \mid g^\dagger(y_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1 \otimes x_2 \mid (f^\dagger \otimes g^\dagger)(x_2 \otimes y_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\text{id} \otimes \text{id} = \text{id}$  and  $(f \circ g) \otimes (h \circ k) = (f \otimes h) \circ (g \otimes k)$ , making the tensor product into a functor  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}} \times \mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}}$ .

There are functions  $\lambda_E: C \otimes E \rightarrow E$ ,  $\rho_E: E \otimes C \rightarrow E$ , and  $\alpha_{E,F,G}: E \otimes (F \otimes G) \rightarrow (E \otimes F) \otimes G$ , that continuously extend their algebraic counterparts. Thus they satisfy the pentagon and triangle equalities. It is clear that  $\alpha_{E,F,G}$  is unitary, but this is not immediate for  $\lambda_E$  and  $\rho_E$ . Recall the precise description of the tensor product in Appendix A: it involves the  $*$ -homomorphism  $C \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$  that sends  $f$  to  $x \mapsto xf$ . This  $*$ -homomorphism is nondegenerate [41, page 5]: if  $f_n$  is an approximate unit for  $C$ , and  $x \in E$ , then

$$\lim_n \langle x - xf_n \mid x - xf_n \rangle = \lim_n \langle x \mid x \rangle - f_n \langle x \mid x \rangle - \langle x \mid x \rangle f_n + f_n \langle x \mid x \rangle f_n = 0,$$

so  $EC$  is dense in  $E$ . Now  $\lambda_E: C \otimes E \rightarrow E$  is defined by  $f \otimes x \mapsto xf$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_E(\sum f_i \otimes x_i)\|_E^2 &= \|\sum x_i f_i\|_E^2 \\ &= \|\sum \langle x_i f_i \mid x_j f_j \rangle_E\|_C \\ &= \|\sum \langle x_i \mid x_j \rangle_E f_i^* f_j\|_C \\ &= \|\sum \langle f_i \otimes x_i \mid f_j \otimes x_j \rangle_{C \otimes E}\|_C \\ &= \|\sum f_i \otimes x_i\|_{C \otimes E}^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\lambda_E$  is an isometric surjection  $C \otimes E \rightarrow E$ , and hence unitary [41, Theorem 3.5]. Similarly, there are unitaries  $\sigma_{E,F}: E \otimes F \rightarrow F \otimes E$  satisfying the hexagon equality. Thus  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}}$  and  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  are symmetric monoidal with unit  $C$ .  $\square$

A *zero object* is an object that is initial and terminal at the same time. If a category has a zero object, there is a unique map  $0: E \rightarrow F$  that factors through the zero object between any two objects. A category has finite *biproducts* when it has a zero object and any two objects  $E_1, E_2$  have a product and coproduct  $E_1 \oplus E_2$  with projections  $p_n: E_1 \oplus E_2 \rightarrow E_n$  and injections  $i_n: E_n \rightarrow E_1 \oplus E_2$  satisfying  $p_n \circ i_n = \text{id}$  and  $p_m \circ i_n = 0$  for  $m \neq n$ . A dagger category has finite *dagger biproducts* when it has finite biproducts and  $i_n = p_n^\dagger$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The category  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}}$  has finite biproducts;  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  has finite dagger biproducts.*

*Proof.* Clearly the zero-dimensional Hilbert  $C$ -module  $\{0\}$  is simultaneously an initial and terminal object. Binary direct sums [41, p5] are well-defined Hilbert  $C$ -modules. Since the category  $\mathbf{Vect}$  of vector space has finite biproducts, the universal property is satisfied via the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ , and it suffices to show that direct sums are well-defined on morphisms. Clearly, if  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded, then so is  $f \oplus g$ . Similarly,  $f$  and  $g$  are adjointable maps between Hilbert  $C$ -modules, so is  $f \oplus g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (f \oplus g)(x_1, y_1) \mid (x_2, y_2) \rangle &= \langle f(x_1) \mid x_2 \rangle + \langle g(y_1) \mid y_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1 \mid f^\dagger(x_2) \rangle + \langle y_1 \mid g^\dagger(y_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle (x_1, y_1) \mid (f^\dagger \oplus g^\dagger)(x_2, y_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the injections  $E \rightarrow E \oplus F$  given by  $x \mapsto (x, 0)$  are clearly adjoint to the projections  $E \oplus F \rightarrow E$  given by  $(x, y) \mapsto x$ .  $\square$

Can we turn a Hilbert  $C$ -module into a Hilbert  $D$ -module? It turns out that such a change of base needs not just a map  $D \rightarrow C$  to alter scalar multiplication, but also a map  $C \rightarrow D$  to alter inner products.

**Definition 2.4.** A *conditional expectation* between  $C^*$ -algebras  $A \rightarrow B$  consists of a  $*$ -homomorphism  $g: B \rightarrow A$  and a completely positive linear map  $f: A \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $f \circ g = \text{id}$ . A conditional expectation is *strict* when  $f(ab) = 0$  implies  $f(a)f(b) = 0$  for all positive  $a, b \in A$ .

See also Appendix B.

**Proposition 2.5** (Localization). *Let  $f: C \rightarrow D$  be a conditional expectation of a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  onto a unital commutative subalgebra  $D \subseteq C$ . There is a functor  $\text{Loc}_f: \mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_D^{\text{bd}}$ ; if  $f$  is strict then it is (strong) monoidal and restricts to a dagger functor  $\text{Loc}_f: \mathbf{Hilb}_C \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_D$ .*

*Proof.* The functor acts on objects  $E$  by *localization* [41, p57]:  $\text{Loc}_f(E)$  is the completion of  $E/N_f^E$ , where  $E$  is an pre-inner product  $D$ -module by  $\langle x \mid y \rangle_D = f(\langle x \mid y \rangle_C)$ , and  $N_f^E = \{x \in E \mid \langle x \mid x \rangle_D = 0\}$ .

On a morphism  $g: E \rightarrow F$ , it acts as follows. For  $x \in E$ , notice that  $0 \leq |g(x)|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 |x|^2$  by [41, Proposition 1.2]. Hence  $g(N_f^E) \subseteq N_f^F$ , making the function  $E/N_f^E \rightarrow F/N_f^F$  given by  $x + N_f^E \mapsto g(x) + N_f^F$  well-defined; define its continuous extension to be  $\text{Loc}_f(g)$ .

This clearly respects identity morphisms and composition, making  $\text{Loc}_f$  a well-defined functor. It also preserves daggers when they are available:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Loc}_f(g)(x + N_f^E) \mid y + N_f^F \rangle_{\text{Loc}_f(F)} &= f(\langle g(x) + N_f^F \mid y + N_f^F \rangle_F) \\ &= f(\langle x + n_f^E \mid g^\dagger(y) + N_f^F \rangle_E) \\ &= \langle x + n_f^E \mid \text{Loc}_f(g^\dagger)(y + N_f^F) \rangle_{\text{Loc}_f(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $\text{Loc}_f$  is (strong) monoidal, we have to exhibit unitaries  $D \rightarrow \text{Loc}_f(C)$  and  $\text{Loc}_f(E) \otimes \text{Loc}_f(F) \rightarrow \text{Loc}_f(E \otimes F)$ . For the latter, take  $(x + N_f^E) \otimes (y + N_f^F) \mapsto x \otimes y + N_f^{E \otimes F}$ . This is well-defined because  $f$  is strict: if  $x + N_f^E = 0$ , that is  $f(\langle x \mid x \rangle_C) = 0$ , then  $f(\langle x \otimes y \mid x \otimes y \rangle_C) = f(\langle x \mid x \rangle_C \langle y \mid y \rangle_C) = f(\langle x \mid x \rangle_C) f(\langle y \mid y \rangle_C) = 0$  for any  $y \in F$ , and so  $x \otimes y \in N_f^{E \otimes F}$ . The adjoint of this map is given by  $x \otimes y + N_f^{E \otimes F} \mapsto (x + N_f^E) \otimes (y + N_f^F)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (x_1 + N_f^E) \otimes (y_1 + N_f^F) \mid (x_2 + N_f^E) \otimes (y_2 + N_f^F) \rangle_{\text{Loc}_f(E) \otimes \text{Loc}_f(F)} \\ &= f(\langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle_E) \cdot f(\langle y_1 \mid y_2 \rangle_F) \\ &= f(\langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle_E \cdot \langle y_1 \mid y_2 \rangle_F) \\ &= \langle x_1 \otimes y_1 + N_f^{E \otimes F} \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 + N_f^{E \otimes F} \rangle_{\text{Loc}_f(E \otimes F)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is well-defined again because  $f$  is strict: if  $x \otimes y \in N_f^{E \otimes F}$ , that is  $f(\langle x \mid x \rangle_C \langle y \mid y \rangle_C) = 0$ , then also  $\langle (x + N_f^E) \otimes (y + N_f^F) \mid (x + N_f^E) \otimes (y + N_f^F) \rangle = f(\langle x \mid x \rangle_C) f(\langle y \mid y \rangle_C) = f(\langle x \mid x \rangle_C \langle y \mid y \rangle_C) = 0$ . These maps are clearly each others inverse.

For the unitary map  $D \rightarrow \text{Loc}_f(C)$ , recall that  $\text{Loc}_f(C)$  is the completion of  $C/N_f^C$  with  $\langle c \mid c' \rangle = f(c^*c')$  and  $N_f^C = \{c \in C \mid f(c^*c) = 0\}$ . Consider the map  $D \rightarrow \text{Loc}_f(C)$  given by  $d \mapsto d + N_f^C$ , and the map  $\text{Loc}_f(C) \rightarrow D$  given by  $c + n_f^C \mapsto f(c)$ . The latter is well-defined as  $c - c' \in N_f^C$  implies  $f(c - c')^* f(c - c') = 0$  and hence  $f(c) = f(c')$ . They are adjoint because  $f$  is  $D$ -linear:

$$\langle d \mid f(c) \rangle_D = d^* f(c) = f(d^*c) = \langle d \mid c + N_f^C \rangle_{\text{Loc}_f(C)}.$$

Finally, they are inverses: on the one hand  $f(d) = d$  for  $d \in D$ ; on the other hand and  $c - f(c) \in N_f^C$  since

$$\begin{aligned} f((c - f(c))^*(c - f(c))) &= f(c^*c) - f(f(c)^*c) - f(c^*f(c)) + f(f(c)^*f(c)) \\ &= f(c^*c) - f(c)^*f(c) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Schwartz inequality for completely positive maps [44, Exercise 3.4] and [56, Theorem 1]. The required coherence diagrams are easily seen to commute. Thus  $\text{Loc}_f$  is a (strong) monoidal functor.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** Not every conditional expectation is strict. For example, take  $C = \mathbb{C}^2$ , and regard  $D = \mathbb{C}$  as a subalgebra of  $C$  via  $z \mapsto (z, z)$ . Then  $f(u, v) = u + v$  defines a conditional expectation  $f: C \rightarrow D$ . But taking  $a = (1, 0)$ , and  $b = (0, 1)$  shows that  $f(ab) = f(0, 0) = 0$  but  $f(a)f(b) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \neq 0$ . Hence for  $E = F = C$ , the canonical map  $\text{Loc}_f(E) \otimes \text{Loc}_f(F) \rightarrow \text{Loc}_f(E \otimes F)$  is not adjointable, that is, not a morphism  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C(X)}$ .

We will be using Urysohn's lemma for locally compact spaces often [49, 2.12].

**Lemma 2.7** (Urysohn). *If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $K \subseteq V \subseteq X$  with  $K$  compact and  $V$  open, then there exists a continuous function  $\varphi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that is 1 on  $K$  and is 0 outside a compact subset of  $V$ .*  $\square$

**Example 2.8.** Any point  $t$  in a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  gives rise to a strict conditional expectation as follows. The completely positive map  $f: C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  evaluates at  $t$ . By Urysohn's lemma there exists a function  $\varphi \in C_0(X)$  with  $\varphi(t) = 1$ . The  $*$ -homomorphism  $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C_0(X)$  is defined by  $z \mapsto z\varphi$ . This clearly satisfies  $f \circ g(z) = z$ , and is strict because  $f$  is multiplicative. This *localization at  $t \in X$*  is the setting Proposition 2.5 will be applied in below.

**Remark 2.9.** We will also use the previous lemma in the form of Tietze's extension theorem: if  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $K \subseteq X$  compact, then any function in  $C(K)$  extends to a function in  $C_0(X)$ .

### 3. SCALARS

Can we get more information about  $X$  from  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  by purely categorical means? We first investigate *scalars*: endomorphisms  $I \rightarrow I$  of the tensor unit in a monoidal category. They form a commutative monoid. In the presence of biproducts, they form a semiring, and in the presence of a dagger, they pick up an involution [37].

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, there is a  $*$ -isomorphism between scalars of  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  and  $C_b(X)$ , the bounded continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . The same holds for  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}^{\text{bd}}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that a closed ideal  $I \subseteq A$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra is *essential* when  $aI = \{0\}$  implies  $a = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ . We claim that  $C_0(X)$  is an essential ideal of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(X))$  of scalars of  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . Seeing that  $C_0(X)$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(C_0(X))$  comes down to showing that for each  $f \in C_0(X)$  and scalar  $s \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(X))$ , there exists  $g \in C_0(X)$  such that for all  $h \in C_0(X)$  we have  $hg = s(h)f$ ; choose  $g = s(f)$ . Seeing that the ideal is essential comes down to showing that for each scalar  $s \in \mathcal{L}(C_0(X))$ , if  $s(f)g = 0$  for all  $f, g \in C_0(X)$ , then  $s = 0$ ; given  $f \in C_0(X)$ , choosing  $g = s(f)^*$  shows that  $s(f)^*s(f) = 0$  implies  $\|s(f)\|^2 = 0$  and hence  $s(f) = 0$ . It follows that the scalars of  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  are precisely the multiplier algebra of  $C_0(X)$ , which is  $C_b(X)$ , see [41, page 14–15].  $\square$

It follows that for compact  $X$ , the scalars in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C(X)}$  simply form  $C(X)$  itself: any  $f \in C(X)$  gives a scalar by multiplication, and all scalars arise that way.

**Remark 3.2.** If  $A$  is a noncommutative  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathbf{Hilb}_A$  is a perfectly well-defined dagger category. However, it cannot be monoidal with  $A$  as monoidal unit. That is, Proposition 2.2 does not generalise to noncommutative  $A$ . After all, there is an injective monoid homomorphism  $A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_A(A, A)$  that sends  $a$  to  $b \mapsto ba$ , which contradicts commutativity of the latter monoid [40, Proposition 6.1].

Next we investigate subobjects. A (*dagger*) *subobject* of  $E$  is a monomorphism  $u: U \rightarrow E$  (satisfying  $u^\dagger \circ u = \text{id}$ ) considered up to isomorphism of  $U$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *There is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets between clopen subsets of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and (*dagger*) subobjects of the tensor unit  $C_0(X)$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ .*

*Proof.* We will first establish a bijection between clopen subsets of  $X$  and subobjects  $E \hookrightarrow C_0(X)$  such that  $C_0(X) = E \oplus E^\perp$ .

Given a clopen subset  $U \subseteq X$ , take  $E = \{f \in C_0(X) \mid f(U) = 0\}$ . This is a well-defined Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module under the inherited inner product  $\langle f \mid g \rangle = f^*g$ . Then  $E^\perp = \{f \in C_0(X) \mid f(X \setminus U) = 0\}$ , and indeed  $C_0(X) = E \oplus E^\perp$ .

Conversely, the image of a complemented subobject  $E \hookrightarrow C_0(X)$  is a closed ideal of  $C_0(X)$ , and hence is of the form  $E = \{f \in C_0(X) \mid f(U) = 0\}$  for a closed subset  $U \subseteq X$ . Because the same holds for  $E^\perp$  and  $C_0(X) = E \oplus E^\perp$ , the closed subset  $U$  must in fact be clopen. Taking into account that subobjects are defined up to isomorphism, these two constructions are each other's inverse.

Finally, we prove that any subobject of  $C_0(X)$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is complemented, so that every subobject is a dagger subobject by Lemma 2.3. See also [25, Theorem 3.1]. If  $U \subseteq X$  is arbitrary,  $E = \{f \in C_0(X) \mid f(U) = 0\} = \{f \mid f(\overline{U}) = 0\}$  is a well-defined object in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , but the inclusion  $i: E \hookrightarrow C_0(X)$  is not necessarily a well-defined morphism. Suppose  $i$  were adjointable, so that  $f(t)^*g(t) = f(t)^*i^\dagger(g)(t)$  for all  $t \in X$  and  $f, g \in C_0(X)$  with  $f(U) = 0$ . If  $t \notin \overline{U}$ , Urysohn's lemma provides a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(\overline{U}) = 0$  and  $f(t) = 1$ . Hence  $i^\dagger(g)(t) = g(t)$  for  $t \in X \setminus \overline{U}$ . But to make  $i^\dagger$  well-defined,  $i^\dagger(g)(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \overline{U}$ , and  $i^\dagger(g)$  must be continuous. Letting  $g$  range over an approximate unit for  $C_0(X)$  shows that  $\overline{U}$  must be clopen.  $\square$

It follows that there is a bijection between the clopen subsets of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and self-adjoint idempotent scalars in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *The monoidal categories  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  and  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}^{\text{bd}}$  are monoidally well-pointed: if  $f, g: E_1 \otimes E_2 \rightarrow F_1 \otimes F_2$  satisfy  $f \circ (x \otimes y) = g \circ (x \otimes y)$  for all morphisms  $x: C_0(X) \rightarrow E_1$  and  $y: C_0(X) \rightarrow E_2$ , then  $f = g$ .*

*Proof.* Any element  $x \in E$  gives rise to a morphism  $C_0(X) \rightarrow E$  given by  $\varphi \mapsto x\varphi$  with adjoint  $\langle x \mid - \rangle_E$ .  $\square$

#### 4. HILBERT BUNDLES

Hilbert modules are principally algebraic structures. This section discusses a geometric description, in terms of bundles of Hilbert spaces. We will use the following definition of vector bundle in a Hilbert setting.

**Definition 4.1.** A *Hilbert bundle* is a bundle  $p: E \rightarrow X$  such that:

- (a) all fibres  $E_t$  for  $t \in X$  are Hilbert spaces;
- (b) any  $t_0 \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$ , a natural number  $n$ , and sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n: U \rightarrow E$  such that:
  - (i)  $\{s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $E_t$  for each  $t \in U$ ;
  - (ii) the map  $(t, \lambda) \mapsto \sum \lambda_i s_i(t)$  is a homeomorphism  $U \times \mathbb{C}^n \simeq E_U$ .

The *dimension* of the Hilbert bundle is the function that assigns to each  $t \in X$  the cardinal number  $\dim(E_t)$ . The Hilbert bundle is *finite* when its dimension function is bounded:  $\sup_{t \in X} \dim(E_t) < \infty$ .

Notice that a Hilbert bundle is a vector bundle. Notice also that any Hilbert bundle over a compact space  $X$  is necessarily finite: because  $X$  is covered by the open neighbourhoods of each  $t_0 \in X$  given by (b), there is a finite subcover, and the supremum of  $\dim(E_t)$  is a maximum ranging over that finite index set and is therefore always finite.

Definition 4.1 is a simplification of a few variations in the literature, that we now compare. The  $\varepsilon$ -tube around a local section  $s$  of a bundle  $p: E \rightarrow X$  whose fibres are normed vector spaces is defined as

$$T_\varepsilon(s) = \{x \in E \mid \forall t \in U: \|x - s(p(x))\|_{E_t} < \varepsilon\}.$$

A *bounded section*  $s$  is a section whose norm  $\|s\| = \sup_{t \in X} \|s(t)\|$  is bounded.

**Definition 4.2.** A *field of Banach (Hilbert) spaces* is a bundle  $p: E \rightarrow X$  with:

- (1) all fibres  $E_t$  for  $t \in X$  are Banach (Hilbert) spaces;
- (2) addition is a continuous function  $\{(x, y) \in E^2 \mid p(x) = p(y)\} \rightarrow E$ ;
- (3) scalar multiplication is a continuous function  $\mathbb{C} \times E \rightarrow E$ ;
- (4) the norm is a continuous function  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ;
- (5) each  $x_0 \in E$  has a local section  $s$  with  $s(p(x_0)) = x_0$ , and  $x_0$  has a neighbourhood basis  $T_\varepsilon(s) \cap E_U$  for some neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  of  $p(x_0)$ .

We say  $p$  has *locally finite rank* when:

- (6) any  $t_0 \in X$  has a neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\dim(E_t) = n$  for all  $t \in U$ .

Finally, a field of Hilbert spaces is *finite* when the dimension of its fibres is bounded.

**Remark 4.3.** Definition 4.2 occurs in various places in the literature:

- [20, Definition 2.1]: using the polarization identity we may replace (4) with inner product being a continuous function  $\{(x, y) \in E^2 \mid p(x) = p(y)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- [19, Definition 1] and [9, IV.1.6.11] replace (5) with existence of  $\Delta \subseteq \prod_{t \in X} E_t$  satisfying:
  - $\{s(t) \mid s \in \Delta\} \subseteq E_t$  is dense for all  $t \in X$ ;
  - for every  $s, s' \in \Delta$  the map  $x \mapsto \langle s(x) \mid s'(x) \rangle_{E_t}$  is in  $C(X)$ ;
  - $\Delta$  is locally uniformly closed: if  $s \in \prod_{t \in X} E_t$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $t \in X$ , there is an  $s' \in \Delta$  such that  $\|s(t') - s'(t')\| < \varepsilon$  on a neighbourhood of  $t$ , then  $s \in \Delta$ ;
 this is equivalent because we can recover  $E$  as  $\prod_{t \in X} E_t$  with the topology generated by the basic open sets  $T_\varepsilon(s) \cap E_U$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U \subseteq X$  open, and  $s \in \Delta$ ; this topology makes  $\Delta$  into the set of bounded sections;
- [20, Definition 2.1] takes  $p$  to be open;
- [52, Definition 3.4] takes  $s$  in (5) to be a global section;
- *finite* fields of Hilbert spaces are usually called *uniformly finite-dimensional*, and automatically have locally finite rank.

None of these variations matter for the material below.

**Lemma 4.4.** A *(finite) Hilbert bundle is the same thing as a (finite) field of Hilbert spaces of locally finite rank*.

*Proof.* First assume that  $p: E \rightarrow X$  is a field of Hilbert spaces of locally finite rank. Condition (a) of Definition 4.1 is precisely condition (1) of Definition 4.2. For condition (b), let  $t_0 \in X$ . Then (6) yields  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\dim(E_{t_0}) = n$ . Pick an orthonormal basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E_{t_0}$ . Then (5) gives continuous sections  $s'_1, \dots, s'_n$  of  $p$  over  $U_1, \dots, U_n \subseteq X$ . Take  $U = U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n \cap \{t \in X \mid \{s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)\} \text{ linearly independent}\}$ ; this is an open subset of  $X$  by (6) and [20, Proposition 1.6]. Now, as in [20, Proposition 2.3], applying Gram-Schmidt for each  $t \in U$  gives continuous sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  of  $p$  over  $U$  because of (2), (3) and (4). Moreover, these sections  $s_i$  satisfy (i), (ii), and (iii) of condition (b).



Now assume  $p: E \rightarrow X$  is a Hilbert bundle. Condition (1) is still precisely condition (a). For condition (2), define addition  $\coprod_{t_0 \in X} E_{t_0}^2 \rightarrow E_{t_0} \subseteq E$  as the cotuple of the additions  $E_{t_0}^2 \rightarrow E_{t_0}$  over all  $t_0 \in X$ . Since the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  uniquely lifts colimits, the former is continuous because the latter are continuous by (a). For condition (3), define scalar multiplication  $\mathbb{C} \times E \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \coprod_{t_0 \in X} E_{t_0} \simeq \coprod_{t_0 \in X} \mathbb{C} \times E_{t_0} \rightarrow E$  as the cotuple of scalar multiplications  $\mathbb{C} \times E_{t_0} \rightarrow E_{t_0}$  over all  $t_0 \in X$ . Again, this is continuous by condition (a). Condition (4) is satisfied exactly like (2). For condition (5), let  $x_0 \in E$ . Condition (b) gives a neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  of  $t_0 = p(x_0)$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n: U \rightarrow E$ . Define  $s: U \rightarrow E_U \subseteq E$  by  $s(t) = \sum_i \lambda_i s_i(t)$ . Then  $s(p(x_0)) = x_0$  by (b.ii), and  $s$  is continuous on  $U$ . Let  $V \subseteq E$  be a neighbourhood of  $x_0$ . Find a neighbourhood  $U_0 \subseteq X$  of  $t_0$  with  $p(V) \subseteq U_0$ . Write  $\varphi$  for the homeomorphism of (b.ii). Take  $\varepsilon = 1$ , and  $V_0 = \varphi(U_0 \times \mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then  $x_0 \in V_0 \subseteq V$  by construction, and moreover  $V_0$  is contained in

$$\begin{aligned} T_\varepsilon(s) \cap E_U &= \{x \in E_U \mid \forall t \in U: \|x - s(p(x))\|_{E_t} < 1\} \\ &= \varphi(\{(t, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in U \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid \|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i s_i(t) - s(p(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i s_i(t)))\| < 1\}) \\ &= \varphi(U \times \mathbb{C}^n) \end{aligned}$$

because  $s(t) \in E_t$  by (b.ii) and hence  $p(s(t)) = t$  by (b.i). Finally, condition (6) follows directly from (b).  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** A bundle map from  $p: E \rightarrow X$  to  $p': E' \rightarrow X$  is a continuous function  $f: E \rightarrow E'$  satisfying  $p' \circ f = p$ . Write  $\mathbf{FieldHilb}_X^{\text{bd}}$  for the category of fields of Hilbert spaces and fibrewise linear bundle maps,  $\mathbf{HilbBundle}_X^{\text{bd}}$  for the full subcategory of Hilbert bundles, and  $\mathbf{FHilbBundle}_X^{\text{bd}}$  for the full subcategory of finite Hilbert bundles.

A bundle map  $f: p \rightarrow p'$  between fields of Hilbert spaces is *adjointable* when it is adjointable on each fibre, and the map  $E'_t \ni y \mapsto f^\dagger(y) \in E_t$  is continuous. Write  $\mathbf{FieldHilb}_X$ ,  $\mathbf{HilbBundle}_X$ , and  $\mathbf{FHilbBundle}_X$  for the wide dagger subcategories of adjointable maps.

There is a version of the Serre-Swan theorem for Hilbert bundles, that we now embark on proving. If  $p: E \rightarrow X$  is a field of Hilbert spaces, we say a function  $s: X \rightarrow E$  *vanishes at infinity* when for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a compact  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $\|s(t)\|_{E_t} < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in X \setminus U$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. There is a functor  $\Gamma_0: \mathbf{FieldHilb}_X^{\text{bd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}^{\text{bd}}$ , defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(p) &= \{s: X \rightarrow E \mid p \circ s = 1_X, s \text{ continuous, } s \text{ vanishes at infinity}\}, \\ \Gamma_0(f) &= f \circ (-). \end{aligned}$$

*It restricts to a functor  $\Gamma_0: \mathbf{FieldHilb}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  that preserves daggers.*

*Proof.* Pointwise multiplication makes  $\Gamma_0(p)$  into a right  $C_0(X)$ -module. For  $s, s' \in \Gamma_0(p)$  and  $t \in X$ , the nondegenerate inner product  $\langle s \mid s' \rangle(t) = \langle s(t) \mid s'(t) \rangle_{E_t}$  takes values in  $C_0(X)$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Finally,  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is complete: if  $s_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Gamma_0(p)$ , then  $s_n(t)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E_t$  for each  $t \in X$ , and hence converges to some  $s(t)$ ; since the convergence is uniform this

defines a continuous function  $s: X \rightarrow E$ , that satisfies  $p \circ s = 1_X$  and vanishes at infinity by construction. Thus  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is a well-defined Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module.

Let  $f: p \rightarrow p'$  be a morphism of fields of Hilbert spaces. Define  $\Gamma_0(f) = f \circ (-): \Gamma_0(p) \rightarrow \Gamma_0(p')$ . This is clearly  $C_0(X)$ -linear, bounded, and functorial. It is also well-defined: if  $s \in \Gamma_0(p)$ , then  $\|f \circ s\| \leq \|f\| \|s\|$  vanishes at infinity too.

A morphism  $f: p \rightarrow p'$  in  $\mathbf{FieldHilb}_X$  is adjointable precisely when there is a bounded bundle map  $f^\dagger: p' \rightarrow p$  that provides fibrewise adjoints:

$$\langle f(s(t)) \mid s'(t) \rangle_{E_t} = \langle s(t) \mid f^\dagger(s'(t)) \rangle_{E'_t}$$

for all  $t \in X$ ,  $s \in \Gamma_0(p)$ , and  $s' \in \Gamma_0(p')$ . That is,  $f$  is adjointable if and only if  $\Gamma(f)$  is. Thus the functor  $\Gamma_0$  preserves daggers.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *The functors  $\Gamma_0$  from Proposition 4.6 are equivalences.*

*Proof.* We first show that the functor  $\Gamma_0$  is faithful. Suppose  $f \neq g$ , say  $f(x) \neq g(x)$  and  $p(x) = t$ . There exists a local continuous section  $s_U: U \rightarrow E$  of  $p$  over some open set  $U \subseteq X$  because  $p$  is a field of Hilbert spaces. Local compactness of  $X$  ensures there is a compact neighbourhood of  $t$  within  $U$ , which in turn contains an open neighbourhood  $V \subseteq X$  of  $t$ . Urysohn's lemma provides a continuous function  $r: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that vanishes on  $X \setminus V$  and satisfies  $r(t) = 1$ . Now define  $s_x: X \rightarrow E$  by  $s_x(t) = 0$  for  $t \in X \setminus U$  and  $s_x(t) = r(t)s_U(t)$  for  $t \in U$ . Then  $s_x \in \Gamma_0(p)$  and  $s_x(t) = x$ . Hence  $f \circ s_x(t) \neq g \circ s_x(t)$ , and so  $\Gamma_0(f) \neq \Gamma_0(g)$ .

Next we show that the functor  $\Gamma_0$  is also full. Suppose  $f: \Gamma_0(p) \rightarrow \Gamma_0(p')$  is bounded and  $C_0(X)$ -linear. For  $x \in E$ , set  $g(x) = f(s_x)(p(x))$ . This gives a well-defined function  $g: E \rightarrow E'$  satisfying  $p' \circ g = p$ . It is also fibrewise linear because if  $p(x) = p(y)$  then  $f(s_x + s_y)(p(x)) = f(s_{x+y})(p(y))$ . Moreover  $g$  is continuous by the definition of the topology on the field of Hilbert spaces  $E$ . Hence  $g$  is a well-defined morphism of fields of Hilbert spaces. Finally, if  $s \in \Gamma_0(p)$  and  $t \in X$ , then  $g(s(t)) = f(s_{s(t)})(p(s(t))) = f(s_{s(t)})(t) = f(s)(t)$ . So  $f(s) = g \circ s$ , whence  $f = \Gamma_0(g)$ , and  $\Gamma_0$  is full.

Finally, we show that  $\Gamma_0$  is essentially surjective. Let  $H$  be a  $C_0(X)$ -Hilbert module. Set  $E = \coprod_{t \in X} \text{Loc}_t(H)$ , and let  $p$  be the canonical projection  $E \rightarrow X$ . Because  $X$  is locally compact Hausdorff, it is compactly generated: a subset  $U \subseteq X$  is open if and only if  $U \cap K$  is open in  $K$  for all compact subsets  $K \subseteq X$ . Hence the topology on  $X$  is determined by the topology of its compact subspaces. It follows from [17, II.1.15] and [52, Lemma 3.01(iv), Lemma 3.09, and Proposition 3.10] that there is a unique weakest topology on  $E$  making  $p$  into a field of Hilbert spaces.

As in Lemma 3.4, we may regard elements of  $H$  as adjointable maps  $C_0(X) \rightarrow H$ . For  $x \in H$ , define  $s_x: X \rightarrow E$  by  $s_x(t) = \text{Loc}_t(x)$ , so that  $p \circ s_x = 1_X$  by construction. Moreover,  $s_x$  vanishes at infinity, because the inner product in  $H$  takes values in  $C_0(X)$ : if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a compact  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $\|s_x(t)\|_{\text{Loc}_t(H)} = \|x\|_H(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in X \setminus U$ . Finally,  $s_x$  is continuous by construction of the topology on  $E$ . Thus  $\{s_x \mid x \in H\} \subseteq \Gamma_0(p)$ .

To complete the proof that  $\Gamma_0$  is essentially surjective, it now suffices to show that  $\{s_x \mid x \in H\} \subseteq \Gamma_0(p)$  is dense. Let  $s \in \Gamma_0(p)$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Then there exists a compact subset  $K \subseteq X$  such that  $\|s(t)\| < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in X \setminus K$ . Urysohn's lemma provides a function  $X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that vanishes at infinity such that  $f(t) = 1$  for  $t \in K$ . By multiplying with this function it suffices to find  $x \in H$  so that the continuous local section  $s_x: K \rightarrow X$  satisfies  $\|s_x(t) - s(t)\| < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in K$ . This can be done

by the method of the proof of [52, Theorem 3.12]. Therefore  $\|s_x(t) - s(t)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in X$ . Thus  $\Gamma_0(p) \simeq H$ , and  $\Gamma_0$  is essentially surjective.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *The category  $\mathbf{FieldHilb}_X^{\text{bd}}$  is a symmetric monoidal category for any topological space  $X$ , where the tensor product of  $E \rightarrow X$  and  $F \rightarrow X$  is  $E \otimes F = \coprod_{t \in X} E_t \otimes F_t$  (with canonical topology provided by [17, II.1.15] as in the proof of the previous lemma.) The category  $\mathbf{FieldHilb}_X$  is a symmetric monoidal dagger subcategory. The functors  $\Gamma_0$  are (strong) monoidal.*

*Proof.* The tensor product  $E \otimes E'$  becomes a well-defined object by letting  $\Delta_{E \otimes F}$  be the closure of the pre-Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module of all finite sums of bounded sections vanishing at infinity  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \otimes s'_i$  of  $s_i \in \Gamma_0(E)$  and  $s'_i \in \Gamma_0(E')$ ; see [19, Section 18] or [13, Definition 15.3]. Via Lemma 4.4, this restricts to the monoidal product on  $\mathbf{FHilbBundle}_X$  as in the statement. Defining tensor products of morphisms is straightforward, as are associators and unitors, and checking the pentagon and triangle equations. The dagger is also clearly well-defined in  $\mathbf{FHilbBundle}_X$ , making it a symmetric monoidal dagger category. By construction of Proposition 2.2, the functors  $\Gamma_0$  are (strong) monoidal.  $\square$

## 5. DUAL OBJECTS

In this section we investigate dual objects in the category  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . From now on we will restrict ourselves to locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$  that are paracompact.

**Definition 5.1.** A Hilbert  $C$ -module  $E$  is *finitely presented projective* when there is an adjointable map  $i: E \rightarrow C^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i^\dagger \circ i = \text{id}_E$ .

In other words, finitely presented projective Hilbert  $C$ -modules are orthogonal direct summands of  $C^n$ . Any (algebraically) finitely generated projective Hilbert  $C$ -module is an example. When  $X$  is compact, a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module is finitely presented projective if and only if it is finitely generated as a  $C(X)$ -module and a projective object in the category of  $C(X)$ -modules.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Any bounded  $C$ -linear map between finitely presented projective Hilbert  $C$ -modules is adjointable.*

*Proof.* Let  $i: E \rightarrow C^m$  and  $j: F \rightarrow C^n$  satisfy  $i^\dagger \circ i = \text{id}_E$  and  $j^\dagger \circ j = \text{id}_F$ . Let  $f: E \rightarrow F$  be a bounded  $C$ -linear map. Then  $g = j \circ f \circ i^\dagger: C^m \rightarrow C^n$  is a bounded  $C$ -linear map, and hence an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix of bounded  $C$ -linear maps  $C \rightarrow C$ . But the latter are adjointable by Lemma 3.1. So, by Lemma 2.3, also  $g$  is adjointable. But then  $f^\dagger = i^\dagger \circ g \circ j$  is an adjoint for  $f$ , because  $\langle f^\dagger(y) | x \rangle_E = \langle y | j^\dagger \circ g \circ i(x) \rangle_F = \langle y | j^\dagger \circ j \circ f \circ i^\dagger \circ i(x) \rangle_F = \langle y | f(x) \rangle_F$ .  $\square$

It follows that the full subcategories of  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  and  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C^{\text{bd}}$  of finitely presented projective Hilbert  $C$ -modules coincide. We write  $\mathbf{FHilb}_C$  for this category.

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $E$  is a finitely presented projective Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module, then  $E^* = \mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}(E, C_0(X))$  is a Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module where  $\langle f | g \rangle_{E^*}$  is the element of  $C_0(X)$  that  $f \circ g^\dagger$  multiplies with according to Lemma 3.1. If  $X$  is compact,  $\langle f | g \rangle_{E^*} = f \circ g^\dagger(1_{C(X)})$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $E^*$  is a  $C_0(X)$ -module with pointwise operations. Any  $f, g \in E^*$  are adjointable by Lemma 5.2, and hence of the form  $f = \langle x | - \rangle_E$  and  $g = \langle y | - \rangle_E$  for  $x, y \in E$ . Hence  $f^\dagger(\varphi) = x\varphi$  and  $g^\dagger(\varphi) = y\varphi$ , and  $f \circ g^\dagger$  is the scalar that multiplies with  $\langle x | y \rangle_E \in C_0(X) \subseteq C_b(X)$ . Hence the inner product  $\langle f | g \rangle_{E^*} = \langle x | y \rangle_E$  is well-defined. It is clearly sesquilinear and positive semidefinite by Lemma 3.1. It is also nondegenerate: if  $\langle f | f \rangle_{E^*} = 0$  for  $f = \langle x | - \rangle_E$ , then  $\langle x | x \rangle = 0$ , so  $x = 0$  and hence  $f = 0$ . If  $f_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E^*$ , say  $f_n = \langle x_n | - \rangle_E$ , then  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$  which converges to some  $x \in E$ , so  $f_n$  converges to  $f = \langle x | - \rangle_E$  in  $E^*$ .  $\square$

We call  $E^*$  the *dual Hilbert  $C$ -module* of  $E$ . There is also a categorical notion of dual object.

**Definition 5.4.** Objects  $E, E^*$  in a monoidal category are called *dual objects* when there are morphisms  $\eta: I \rightarrow E^* \otimes E$  and  $\varepsilon: E \otimes E^* \rightarrow I$  making the following diagrams commute:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E \xrightarrow{\rho_E^{-1}} E \otimes I \xrightarrow{\text{id}_E \otimes \eta} E \otimes (E^* \otimes E) & & E^* \xrightarrow{\lambda_{E^*}^{-1}} I \otimes E^* \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}_{E^*}} (E^* \otimes E) \otimes E^* \\ \parallel & \alpha_{E, E^*, E} \downarrow & \parallel \\ E \xleftarrow{\lambda_E} I \otimes E \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_E} (E \otimes E^*) \otimes E & & E^* \xleftarrow{\rho_{E^*}} E^* \otimes I \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{E^*} \otimes \varepsilon} E^* \otimes (E \otimes E^*) \end{array}$$

In a symmetric monoidal dagger category, dual objects are *dagger dual objects* when  $\eta = \sigma \circ \varepsilon^\dagger$ , where  $\sigma: E \otimes E^* \rightarrow E^* \otimes E$  is the swap map.

If an object has a (dagger) dual, then that dual is unique up to unique (unitary) isomorphism. We now show that dual Hilbert  $C$ -modules are dual objects in the finitely presented projective case over a paracompact space  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . For a Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module  $E$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $E$  has a dagger dual object in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ ;
- (b)  $E \simeq \Gamma_0(p)$  for a finite Hilbert bundle  $p$ ;
- (c)  $E$  is finitely presented projective.

Only the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) requires paracompactness; (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) and (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) hold for arbitrary locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Assume that  $E$  has a dagger dual object  $E^*$ . Then also all its localisations  $E_t = \text{Loc}_t(E)$  are dagger dualisable, and so [3, Corollary 19] each  $E_t$  is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Now regard  $E$  as a field of Hilbert spaces over  $X$  as in Theorem 4.7. Then  $\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow E^* \otimes E$  and  $\varepsilon: E \otimes E^* \rightarrow C_0(X)$  are bundle maps and hence bounded. But then  $\dim(E_t) = \|\varepsilon \circ \sigma \circ \eta(t)\| \leq \|\varepsilon\| \|\eta\|$  is bounded, so  $E$  is a finite Hilbert bundle.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Let  $p: E \rightarrow X$  be a finite Hilbert bundle. Then every  $t \in X$  has a neighbourhood  $U_t$  and a homeomorphism  $g_t: \mathbb{C}^{n_t} \times U_t \rightarrow p^{-1}(U_t)$  that is fibrewise unitary. This forms a cover  $\{U_t\}$  of  $X$ . Because  $X$  is paracompact, we may pick a locally finite refinement  $U_j$ , and a partition of unity  $f_j: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  subordinate to it:  $f_j$  vanishes outside  $U_j$  and  $\sum_j f_j(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in X$ . Because  $p$  is finite, the numbers  $n_t$  are bounded by some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the functions  $g_t$  extend to continuous maps  $\mathbb{C}^n \times U_t \rightarrow p^{-1}(U_t)$  that fibrewise satisfy  $g_t \circ g_t^\dagger = \text{id}$ . Write  $g_j$  for the

restrictions  $\mathbb{C}^n \times U_j \rightarrow p^{-1}(U_j)$ ; these are still continuous and fibrewise coisometric. Now  $(e, t) \mapsto \sum_j g_j(e, t) f_j(t)$  defines a continuous bundle map  $\mathbb{C}^n \times X \rightarrow E$  that is (fibrewise) coisometric. Thus  $\Gamma_0(E)$  is finitely presented projective by Theorem 4.7.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Assume that  $i: E \rightarrow C_0(X)^n$  satisfies  $i^\dagger \circ i = \text{id}_E$ . First, notice that  $C_0(X)$  is its own dagger dual object, and therefore so is  $C_0(X)^n$ . Explicitly,

$$\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_0(X) \right) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^n C_0(X) \right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n C_0(X)$$

sends  $f \in C_0(X)$  to  $(\delta_{i,j} f)_{i,j} \in \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n C_0(X)$ . Thus  $(\text{id} \otimes (i \circ i^\dagger)) \circ \eta = ((i \circ i^\dagger) \otimes \text{id}) \circ \eta$  because it holds at each  $t \in X$  and therefore globally by Theorem 4.7. It follows that the idempotent  $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (\text{id} \otimes (i \circ i^\dagger) \circ \text{id}) \otimes (\eta \otimes \text{id}): C_0(X)^n \rightarrow C_0(X)^n$  is split by  $i: E \rightarrow C_0(X)^n$ . The unit  $(i^\dagger \otimes i^\dagger) \circ \eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow E \otimes E$  now witnesses that  $E$  is a dagger dual object of  $E$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *There is a monoidal equivalence of compact (dagger) categories*

$$\mathbf{FHilbBundle}_X \xrightarrow{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$$

for any paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.5, the monoidal subcategory  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  of  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is compact. Because (strong) monoidal functors preserve dual objects, the image under  $\Gamma_0$  in  $\mathbf{FieldHilb}_X^{(\text{bd})}$  is also compact by Corollary 4.8. The dual of  $E \rightarrow X$  is given by  $(E^*)_t = (E_t)^*$  (with topology given by [17, II.1.15]). By Lemma 4.4 the functor  $\Gamma_0$  therefore restricts as in the statement, and is an equivalence by Theorem 4.7.  $\square$

It follows that  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is a symmetric monoidal dagger category. It is also easy to see that  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  has finite dagger biproducts.

## 6. FROBENIUS STRUCTURES

We now start the study of dagger Frobenius structures in the category  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . Many of the results below hold for arbitrary (non-dagger) Frobenius structures, but we focus on dagger Frobenius structures, and leave open the generalisation to purely algebraic proofs.



**Definition 6.1.** A *dagger Frobenius structure* in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  is a Hilbert  $C$ -module  $E$  with morphisms  $\eta: C \rightarrow E$  and  $\mu: E \otimes E \rightarrow E$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) &= \text{id} = \mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta), \\ \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}) &= \mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mu), \\ (\mu \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mu^\dagger) &= (\text{id} \otimes \mu) \circ (\mu^\dagger \otimes \text{id}). \end{aligned}$$

A morphism  $d: E \rightarrow E$  is called *central* when  $d \circ \mu = \mu \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes d) = \mu \circ (d \otimes \text{id}_E)$ . The dagger Frobenius structure  $(E, \mu, \eta)$  is called:

- *commutative* when  $\mu \circ \sigma = \mu$ ;
- *special* when  $\mu \circ \mu^\dagger = \text{id}_E$ ;
- *specialisable* when  $\mu \circ (d \otimes d) \circ \mu^\dagger = \text{id}_E$  for a central isomorphism  $d = d^\dagger$ , called the *specialiser*;
- *nondegenerate* when  $\eta^\dagger \circ \eta$  is invertible.

Dagger Frobenius structures are their own dagger dual, with unit  $\mu^\dagger \circ \eta: I \rightarrow E \otimes E$ . Hence dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  live in  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  for paracompact  $X$ .

**Remark 6.2.** For  $C = \mathbb{C}$ , special dagger Frobenius structures correspond to finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras [57]. Any dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  has an (anti-linear) involution  $i: E \rightarrow E^*$  given by  $(\text{id} \otimes \eta^\dagger) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mu) \circ (\varepsilon^\dagger \otimes \text{id})$  [57, 4.4]. We will occasionally use the graphical calculus, where  $\mu$  is drawn as , and  $\eta$  as , dagger becomes horizontal reflection, tensor product becomes drawing side by side, and composition becomes vertical stacking. For more details we refer to [50]. The involution is thus drawn as follows.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

One of our first aims is to generalise this to arbitrary  $C$ .

**Definition 6.3.** A  $*$ -homomorphism between Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  is a morphism  $f$  that preserves the involution (2) and the multiplication:  $\mu \circ (f \otimes f) = f \circ \mu$ , and  $f_* \circ i = i \circ f$ . Write  $\mathbf{Frob}_C$  for the category of specialisable dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  with  $*$ -homomorphisms, and  $\mathbf{SFrob}_C$  for the full subcategory of special dagger Frobenius structures.

**Proposition 6.4.** *The categories  $\mathbf{Frob}_C$  and  $\mathbf{SFrob}_C$  are monoidally equivalent (via the inclusion of the latter into the former).*

*Proof.* Any specialisable dagger Frobenius structure  $(E, \mu, \eta)$  is isomorphic to a special one. Namely, let  $d$  be the specialiser and define  $\mu' = d \circ \mu$  and  $\eta' = d^{-1} \circ \eta$ . It is easy to check that  $(E, \mu', \eta')$  is then a special dagger Frobenius structure, and that  $d: (E, \mu', \eta') \rightarrow (E, \mu, \eta)$  and  $d^{-1}: (E, \mu, \eta) \rightarrow (E, \mu', \eta')$  are  $*$ -homomorphisms that are each other's inverse. See also [35, Lemma 1.2].  $\square$

**Corollary 6.5.** *If  $E$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , then all its localizations at  $t \in X$  are dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}$ , and hence finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 2.5 that there is a (strong) monoidal dagger functor  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}$  for each  $t \in X$ . Such functors preserve dagger Frobenius structures, as well as speciality and specialisability.  $\square$

**Example 6.6.** Any finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{FHilb}$ , and gives rise to a special dagger Frobenius structure  $C_0(X, A)$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  over a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Frobenius structures isomorphic to one of this form are called *trivial*.

In particular,  $\mathbb{M}_n(C_0(X)) \simeq C_0(X, \mathbb{M}_n)$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that direct sums of such matrix algebras are special dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , too, and up to isomorphism this accounts for all trivial Frobenius structures.

**Example 6.7.** If  $X$  is a paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $E$  is a finitely presented projective  $C_0(X)$ -module, then  $\mathcal{L}(E) = E^* \otimes E$  is a specialisable dagger Frobenius structure.


*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 5.5 and [15, Proposition 2.11]; take multiplication  $\text{id}_{E^*} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_E: E^* \otimes E \otimes E^* \otimes E \rightarrow E^* \otimes E$  and unit  $\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow E^* \otimes E$ .  $\square$

Notice that trivial Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  in general need not be direct summands of  $C_0(X)^n$ . There are endomorphism algebras that are not direct sums of matrix algebras in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C(X)}$ . For example, take  $X = 2$ . Then  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a corner algebra of  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , but it is not isomorphic to a direct summand of the latter. It is nevertheless the endomorphism algebra of the Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , but still trivial as a Frobenius structure.

The rest of this section develops nontrivial examples of commutative and central dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . We need some topological preliminaries.


**Definition 6.8.** A *bundle* is a continuous surjection  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  between topological spaces. Write  $Y_U = p^{-1}(U)$  for  $U \subseteq X$ , and  $Y_t = p^{-1}(t)$  for the *fibre* over  $t \in X$ . The bundle is *finite* when there is a natural number  $n$  such that all fibres have cardinality at most  $n$ ; if all fibres have the same cardinality, this is called the *rank*. A (*local*) *section* over  $U$  is a continuous function  $s: U \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $p \circ s = \text{id}_U$ ; a *global section* is a section over  $X$ . A bundle is a *covering* when every  $t \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $Y_U$  is a union of disjoint open sets that are each mapped homeomorphically onto  $U$  by  $p$ . A bundle is a *branched covering* when it is an open map.

**Example 6.9.** Write  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$  for the unit circle. For any natural number  $n$ , the map  $p: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  given by  $p(z) = z^n$  is a finite covering.



The map  $z \mapsto z^n$  is also a finite covering on the unit disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ .

**Example 6.10.** Let  $X = [-1, 1]$ , and let  $Y$  be the quotient of  $[-1, 0] \sqcup [-1, 0] \sqcup [0, 1]$  that identifies the three points 0.



The map  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  given by  $[t] \mapsto t$  is a finite branched covering.

**Remark 6.11.** The previous two examples exhaust finite branched coverings in the following sense. Call a point  $t \in X$  of a branched covering *regular* when it has an open neighbourhood, restricted to which the bundle is a covering; otherwise it is a *branch point*. The regular points are dense in  $X$ . Hence a (finite) branched

covering is a union (of a dense set of) (finite) coverings as in Example 6.9 and (an isolated set of) branch points as in Example 6.10. See also [42, 46].

**Lemma 6.12.** *If  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  is a finite branched covering between Hausdorff spaces, then the diagonal  $\Delta_Y = \{(y, y) \mid y \in Y\}$  is a closed and open subset of the pullback  $Y \times_X Y = \{(y, y') \in Y \times Y \mid p(y) = p(y')\}$  of  $p$  along itself.*

*Proof.* Because  $Y$  is Hausdorff, the diagonal  $\Delta_Y$  is closed in  $Y \times Y$ , and hence also in its closed subspace  $Y \times_X Y$ . To see that  $\Delta_Y$  is also open, let  $y \in Y$ , say  $p(y) = t$ . Then the points  $p^{-1}(t) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  are distinguished by disjoint open neighbourhoods  $V_1, \dots, V_n \subseteq Y$ . Now  $U = \bigcap p(V_i)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $t$  because  $p$  is open. Hence  $p^{-1}(U)$  is open. Therefore  $V = \{(y, y) \mid p(y) \in U\}$  is an open subset of  $Y \times Y$  and hence of  $Y \times_X Y$  that lies entirely within  $\Delta_Y$  and contains  $(y, y)$ . Thus  $\Delta_Y$  is open in  $Y \times_X Y$ . See also [45, Lemma 2.2].  $\square$

**Example 6.13.** If  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  is a covering between locally compact Hausdorff spaces, then  $C_0(Y)$  is a right  $C_0(X)$ -module with scalar multiplication  $C_0(Y) \times C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  given by

$$g \cdot f: y \mapsto g(y) f(p(y)).$$

If  $p$  is finite, then  $C_0(Y)$  is a Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module under

$$\langle f \mid g \rangle_{C_0(Y)}: t \mapsto \sum_{p(y)=t} \frac{f(y)^* g(y)}{|p^{-1}(t)|}.$$

*Proof.* The module axioms are clearly satisfied. The inner product  $\langle f \mid g \rangle$  is well-defined when  $p$  has finite fibres; it is continuous because  $p$  is a covering, and vanishes at infinity because  $f$  and  $g$  do so and  $p$  is finite. It is clearly sesquilinear and positive definite. We need to prove that  $C_0(Y)$  is complete in this inner product. Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $C_0(Y)$ . Say that the fibres of  $p$  have cardinality at most  $N$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and large  $m, n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(y) - g_m(y)|^2 &\leq \sup_{t \in X} \sum_{p(y)=t} \frac{|g_n(y) - g_m(y)|^2}{|p^{-1}(t)|^2} \\ &= \|\langle g_n - g_m \mid g_n - g_m \rangle_{C_0(Y)}\|_{C_0(X)} / N^2 < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in Y$ , so  $\{g_n(y)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Because this convergence is uniform, we obtain a continuous function  $g \in C_0(Y)$  satisfying  $g(y) = \lim g_n(y)$  pointwise, and hence also  $\lim_n g_n = g$  in  $C_0(Y)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.14.** *If  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  is a finite branched covering between locally compact Hausdorff spaces, then the Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $C(Y)$  of Example 6.13 is a nondegenerate special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C(X)}$ .*

*Proof.* As multiplication  $\mu: C_0(Y) \otimes C_0(Y) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$ , take  $f \otimes g \mapsto fg$ . As unit  $\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$ , take  $f \mapsto f \circ p$ . This clearly defines a monoid. Define the counit  $\varepsilon: C_0(Y) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  by  $\varepsilon(g)(t) = \sum_{p(y)=t} g(y)/|p^{-1}(t)|$ . Then indeed  $\eta^\dagger = \varepsilon$ :

$$\langle \eta(f) \mid g \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(t) = \sum_{p(y)=t} \frac{\overline{f(p(y))} g(y)}{|p^{-1}(t)|} = \overline{f(t)} \sum_{p(y)=t} \frac{g(y)}{|p^{-1}(t)|} = \langle f \mid \varepsilon(g) \rangle_{C_0(X)}(t).$$



Then  $\eta^\dagger \circ \eta = \text{id}$ . The pushout  $C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)$  of  $- \circ p: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  corresponds by Gelfand duality to  $C_0(Y \times_X Y)$ , where  $Y \times_X Y$  is the pullback of  $p$  along itself:

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y) &\rightarrow C_0(Y \times_X Y) \\ f \otimes g &\mapsto \left( (y_1, y_2) \mapsto f(y_1)g(y_2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define the comultiplication  $\delta: C_0(Y) \rightarrow C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)$  by

$$\delta(h)(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} h(y)|p^{-1}(p(y))| & \text{if } y_1 = y_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

this is continuous because the diagonal  $\Delta_Y \subseteq Y \times Y$  is clopen by Lemma 6.12. There are  $h_i^{(1)}, h_i^{(2)} \in C_0(Y)$  with  $\delta = \sum_i h_i^{(1)} \otimes h_i^{(2)}$ . Now we can verify that  $\mu^\dagger = \delta$ ; labeling  $p^{-1}(t) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \otimes g \mid \delta(h) \rangle_{C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)}(t) &= \sum_i \langle f \mid h_i^{(1)} \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(t) \cdot \langle g \mid h_i^{(2)} \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,l} \overline{f(y_j)g(y_l)} \sum_i h_i^{(1)}(y_j)h_i^{(2)}(y_l) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \overline{f(y_j)g(y_j)} h(y_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p(y)=t} \overline{f(y)g(y)} h(y) \\ &= \langle \mu(f \otimes g) \mid h \rangle_{C_0(Y)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Speciality  $\mu \circ \mu^\dagger = \text{id}_{C_0(Y)}$  is established by the following computation:

$$\mu \circ \mu^\dagger(h)(y) = \left( \sum_i h_i^{(1)} h_i^{(2)} \right)(y) = \sum_i h_i^{(1)}(y) h_i^{(2)}(y) = h(y).$$

Next, we verify the Frobenius law:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \mu^\dagger)(f \otimes g) &= (\mu \otimes 1)(f \otimes \sum_i g_i^{(1)} \otimes g_i^{(2)}) = \sum_i f g_i^{(1)} \otimes g_i^{(2)}, \\ (1 \otimes \mu) \circ (\mu^\dagger \otimes 1)(f \otimes g) &= (1 \otimes \mu)(\sum_i f_i^{(1)} \otimes f_i^{(2)} \otimes g) = \sum_i f_i^{(1)} \otimes f_i^{(2)} g. \end{aligned}$$


Under the identification  $C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y) \simeq C_0(Y \times_X Y)$ , the previous two elements of  $C_0(Y) \otimes_{C_0(X)} C_0(Y)$  map  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y \times_X Y$  to, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i (f g_i^{(1)})(y_1) g_i^{(2)}(y_2) &= \sum_i f(y_1) g_i^{(1)}(y_1) g_i^{(2)}(y_2) = \delta_{y_1, y_2} f(y_1) g(y_1), \\ \sum_i (f_i^{(1)})(y_1) (f_i^{(2)} g)(y_2) &= \sum_i f_i^{(1)}(y_1) f_i^{(2)}(y_2) g(y_2) = \delta_{y_1, y_2} f(y_2) g(y_2). \end{aligned}$$

These are clearly equal to each other.  $\square$

We will see in Section 8 below that in fact every commutative special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is of the form of the previous lemma.


**Example 6.15.** Applying Lemma 6.14 to the double cover of Example 6.9 with  $n = 2$ , the pullback  $Y \times_X Y$  is a subset  $\{(a, b) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid a^2 = b^2\}$  of the torus.



It clearly consists of two homeomorphic connected components, one of which is the diagonal  $\{(a, a) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid a \in S^1\}$ , as in Lemma 6.12, and the other one is  $\{(a, -a) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid a \in S^1\}$ . This enables the definition of the comultiplication  $\mu^\dagger$  as a map of  $C(X)$ -modules. However, as the double cover  $p$  is not trivial, it has no global sections  $e_i$ . Therefore there cannot be a description of the comultiplication  $\mu^\dagger$  in terms of  $e_i \mapsto e_i \otimes e_i$  as in the case  $X = 1$ ; this is only the case over local neighbourhoods of points  $t \in X$ .

**Remark 6.16.** The previous example shows that not every special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is of the form  $\bigoplus \text{End}(E_i)$  for projective Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -modules  $E_i$ . If that were the case, since the rank of the previous example can uniquely be written as a sum of squares as  $2 = 1 + 1$ , then it would have to be a direct sum of two Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -modules of rank 1. But then it would have nontrivial idempotent central global sections, which it does not.

**Example 6.17.** Applying Lemma 6.14 to the branch point Example 6.10, the pullback  $Y \times_X Y$  in fact equals the diagonal  $\Delta_Y$ .



We end this section with nontrivial examples of central (noncommutative) special dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$ .

**Definition 6.18.** A dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$  is *central* when  $Z(E) = \{x \in E \mid \forall y \in E: xy = yx\} = 1_E \cdot C$  and it is faithful as a right  $C$ -module:  $f \in C$  vanishes when  $1_E f = 0$  (or equivalently, when  $xf = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ).

**Example 6.19.** Write  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$  for the unit disc,  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$  for the unit circle, and  $X = S^2 = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|t\| = 1\}$  for the 2-sphere. Let  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number, and consider

$$E = \{x \in C(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{M}_n) \mid x(z) = \text{diag}(\bar{z}, 1, \dots, 1) x(1) \text{diag}(z, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ if } |z| = 1\}.$$

Then  $E$  is a  $C(X)$ -module via the homeomorphism  $X \simeq \mathbb{D}/S^1$ ; more precisely, if  $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$  is the quotient map, then multiplication  $E \times C(X) \rightarrow E$  is given by  $(x \cdot f)(z) = x(t) \cdot f(q(t))$ . Moreover,  $E$  is a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module under  $\langle x \mid y \rangle(t) = \text{tr}(x(t)^* y(t))$ . Finally, pointwise multiplication makes  $E$  a nontrivial central special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C(X)}$ .

*Proof.* See [6, Theorem 5.8] for the fact that  $E$  is the Hilbert module of sections of a nontrivial finite C\*-bundle. Use Theorem 7.7 below to see that it is a nontrivial special dagger Frobenius structure.

To see that  $E$  is central, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(E) &= \{y \in E \mid \forall x \in E \forall z \in \mathbb{D}: x(z)y(z) = y(z)x(z)\} \\ &= E \cap C(\mathbb{D}, Z(\mathbb{M}_n)) \\ &= E \cap C(\mathbb{D}) = C(X) \cdot 1_E \end{aligned}$$

because if  $y \in Z(E)$  does not take values in  $Z(\mathbb{M}_n)$  at some  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , there are three cases: if  $|z| < 1$ , then  $x$  does not commute with some  $y \in E$  at  $z$ ; if  $z = 1$ , then  $x$  does not commute with some  $y \in E$  at  $z$ ; and if  $|z| = 1$ , then it also does not take values in  $Z(\mathbb{M}_n)$  at  $z = 1$ .  $\square$

## 7. C\*-BUNDLES

Next we apply the bundle perspective to dagger Frobenius structures. They form C\*-algebras themselves, as the following lemma shows.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Special dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  are C\*-algebras.*

*Proof.* First of all,  $E$  is clearly a Banach space, as an object in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . It is also an algebra with multiplication  $\mu: E \otimes E \rightarrow E$ . In fact, it becomes a Banach algebra because  $\mu^\dagger \mu$  is a projection by speciality [3, Lemma 9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \|xy\|^2 &= \|\langle \mu(x \otimes y) \mid \mu(x \otimes y) \rangle_{E \otimes E}\|_{C_0(X)} \\ &= \|\langle \mu^\dagger \mu(x \otimes y) \mid x \otimes y \rangle_{E \otimes E}\|_{C_0(X)} \\ &\leq \|\langle x \otimes y \mid x \otimes y \rangle_{E \otimes E}\|_{C_0(X)} \\ &= \|\langle x \mid x \rangle_E \langle y \mid y \rangle_E\|_{C_0(X)} \\ &\leq \|\langle x \mid x \rangle_E\|_{C_0(X)} \|\langle y \mid y \rangle_E\|_{C_0(X)} \\ &= \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, this satisfies the C\*-identity because it does so locally at each  $t \in X$  by Corollary 6.5:

$$\|x^*x\|_E = \sup_{t \in X} \|x^*x\|_{\text{Loc}_t(E)} = \sup_{t \in X} \|x\|_{\text{Loc}_t(E)}^2 = \|x\|_E^2.$$

The outer equalities use Theorem 5.6.  $\square$

The C\*-algebras induced by dagger Frobenius structures have more internal structure: they are in fact a bundle of C\*-algebras, as made precise in the following definition.

**Definition 7.2.** A *finite (commutative) C\*-bundle* is a bundle  $p: E \rightarrow X$  where:

- (1) all fibres  $E_t$  for  $t \in X$  are finite-dimensional (commutative) C\*-algebras;
- (2) any  $t_0 \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$ , a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra  $A$ , and a homeomorphism  $\varphi: U \times A \rightarrow E_U$ , such that the map  $\varphi(t, -): A \rightarrow E_t$  is a \*-isomorphism for each  $t \in U$ ;
- (3) the dimension of the fibres is bounded.

If  $X$  is compact, then condition (3) is superfluous.

**Lemma 7.3.** *Any finite C\*-bundle is a finite Hilbert bundle.*

*Proof.* Let  $p: E \rightarrow X$  be a finite C\*-bundle. The fibre over  $t_0 \in X$  is a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra, and hence canonically of the form  $\mathbb{M}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{M}_{n_k}$  up to isomorphism. It is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle (a_1, \dots, a_k) \mid (b_1, \dots, b_k) \rangle = \text{tr}(a_1^*b_1) + \cdots + \text{tr}(a_k^*b_k).$$

Condition (2) also gives an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $t_0$ , a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra  $A = \mathbb{M}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{M}_{n_k}$ , and a homeomorphism  $\varphi: U \times A \rightarrow E_U$ . Take  $n = \dim(A)$ , and let the standard matrix units constitute an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $A$ . Define continuous sections  $s_i: U \rightarrow E$  by  $s_i(t) = \varphi(t, e_i)$ . Now  $\{s_i(t)\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $E_t$  for all  $t \in U$  by (2).  $\square$

Just as Definition 4.1 was a simplification of Definition 4.2, the previous definition is a simplification of the notion of *field of C\*-algebras* in the literature [9, 20–22, 54, 55]: a field  $p: E \rightarrow X$  of Banach spaces where each fibre is a C\*-algebra, where multiplication gives a continuous function  $\{(x, y) \in E^2 \mid p(x) = p(y)\} \rightarrow E$ , and where involution gives a continuous function  $E \rightarrow E$ . A field of C\*-algebras is *uniformly finite-dimensional* when each fibre is finite-dimensional, and the supremum of the dimensions of the fibres is finite.

**Lemma 7.4.** *A finite C\*-bundle is the same thing as a uniformly finite-dimensional field of C\*-algebras.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 7.3, any finite C\*-bundle is a finite Hilbert bundle, and hence a finite field of Banach spaces of locally finite rank by Lemma 4.4. Similarly, multiplication and involution are continuous functions by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.4.

The converse is similar to Lemma 4.4 for the most part. Let  $p: E \rightarrow X$  be a uniformly finite-dimensional field of C\*-algebras. Let  $t_0 \in X$ . Take  $A = E_{t_0}$ , say of the form  $\mathbb{M}_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{M}_{n_k}$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the orthonormal basis of  $A$  constituted by standard matrix units. Condition (5) gives sections  $s_i: U \rightarrow X$  with  $s_i(t_0) = x_i$ . Take  $U = U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \cap \{t \in X \mid \{s_i(t)\} \text{ linearly independent}\}$ ; this is

an open subset of  $X$ . Define  $\varphi: U \times A \rightarrow E_U$  by linearly extending  $(t, s_i) \mapsto s_i(t)$ . This is a homeomorphism, and  $\varphi(t, -)$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism by construction.  $\square$

**Example 7.5.** If  $X$  is a paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $E$  a finitely presented projective Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module, then  $\mathcal{L}(E) = E^* \otimes E \simeq \mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}(E, E)$  is a finite C\*-bundle.

*Proof.* Notice that  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is a C\*-category [27, Example 1.4], and a monoidal category by Proposition 2.2. Thus it is a tensor C\*-category, and hence a 2-C\*-category (with a single object). The result follows from [61, Proposition 2.7].  $\square$

**Definition 7.6.** A *morphism* of finite C\*-bundles is a bundle map that is fibrewise a  $*$ -homomorphism. Write  $\mathbf{FCstarBundle}_X$  for the category of finite C\*-bundles with their morphisms.


We now characterise the (commutative) dagger specialisable Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  as finite (commutative) C\*-bundles over  $X$ .

**Theorem 7.7.** *There is an equivalence of monoidal dagger categories*

$$\mathbf{FCstarBundle}_X \xrightarrow{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{Frob}_{C_0(X)}$$

for any paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.4, we may use  $\mathbf{SFrob}_{C_0(X)}$  instead of  $\mathbf{Frob}_{C_0(X)}$ . Write  $\Delta$  for the adjoint of  $\Gamma_0$  of Theorem 5.6. Let  $(E, \mu, \eta)$  be a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ . Equivalently, the embedding  $R: E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$



and the involution  $i: E \rightarrow E^*$  of equation (2) satisfy  $i \circ R = R_* \circ i$  [33, Corollary 9.7]. By Example 7.5,  $\Delta(E^* \otimes E)$  is a finite C\*-bundle over  $X$ . Now, because both  $i$  and  $R$  are defined purely in terms of tensor products, composition, and dagger, the above equations also hold fibrewise by Theorem 5.6. Hence  $\Delta(E)$  is a finite Hilbert bundle, which embeds into  $\Delta(E^* \otimes E)$  with  $\Delta(R)$ , and is closed under the involution  $\Delta(i)$ . We conclude that  $\Delta(E)$  is in fact a finite C\*-bundle. The same reasoning establishes the converse: if  $p$  is a finite C\*-bundle, then  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is a special(isable) dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C(X)}$ . Compare [19, Definition 21.7]. See also [60].  $\square$

The rest of this section derives from the previous theorem some corollaries of interest to categorical quantum mechanics.

Recall that the *phase group* of a dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  consists of all morphisms  $\phi: C_0(X) \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $(\phi^\dagger \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mu^\dagger \circ \phi = \eta = (\text{id} \otimes \phi^\dagger) \circ \mu^\dagger \circ \phi$  [37]. A *group bundle* is a bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  whose every fibre is a group, and such that each point  $t_0 \in X$  has a group  $G$  and a neighbourhood on which fibres are isomorphic to  $G$ .

**Corollary 7.8.** *The phase group of a dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  in  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is a group bundle  $U(E) \rightarrow X$  whose fibres are the unitary groups of fibres of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* The general case follows easily from the case  $X = 1$ , which is a simple computation [37].  $\square$

For example, for the trivial Frobenius structure  $C_0(X)$  in  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , the phase group is the trivial bundle  $U(1) \times X \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition 7.9.** A *completely positive map* between finite  $C^*$ -bundles over  $X$  is a bundle map that is completely positive on each fibre. Write  $\mathbf{FCstarBundle}_X^{\text{cp}}$  for the category of finite  $C^*$ -bundles and completely positive maps.

In general, there is a construction that takes a monoidal dagger category  $\mathbf{C}$  to a new one  $\text{CP}[\mathbf{C}]$ , see [15]. Objects in  $\text{CP}[\mathbf{C}]$  are special dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Morphisms  $(E, \phi_\circ) \rightarrow (F, \psi_\circ)$  in  $\text{CP}[\mathbf{C}]$  are morphisms  $f: E \rightarrow F$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  with

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{c} E \quad F \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ E \quad F \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ E \quad F \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} E \quad F \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ E \quad F \end{array}$$

for some object  $G$  and some morphism  $g: E \otimes F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 7.10.** *There is an equivalence of compact dagger categories*

$$\mathbf{FCstarBundle}_X^{\text{cp}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_0} \text{CP}(\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)})$$

for any paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

*Proof.* The correspondence on objects is already clear from Theorem 7.7. By definition, morphisms in  $\text{CP}(\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)})$  are morphisms in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  that satisfy (3). Because the equivalence is monoidal, these correspond to morphisms between finite  $C^*$ -bundles that satisfy the same condition. By Theorem 7.7 the condition also holds in each fibre. Hence [15] these morphisms are completely positive maps in each fibre.  $\square$

## 8. COMMUTATIVITY

By Theorem 7.7, commutative special dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  correspond to commutative finite  $C^*$ -bundles over  $X$ . In this section we phrase that in terms of Gelfand duality, generalizing [45].

Call a dagger Frobenius structure *degenerate* when  $\eta^\dagger \circ \eta = 0$ . The following lemma lets us reduce to nondegenerate Frobenius structures.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Any (specializable) dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is a biproduct of a nondegenerate (specializable) one and a degenerate one.*

*Proof.* Let  $E \in \mathbf{Frob}_{C_0(X)}$ . By Theorem 7.7 it corresponds to a finite  $C^*$ -bundle. (Note that this does not need paracompactness.) So  $t \mapsto \dim(E_t)$  is a continuous function  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , and  $U = \{t \in X \mid \dim(E_t) > 0\}$  is clopen. We need to show that the restricted finite  $C^*$ -bundle over  $U$  is nondegenerate. Note that  $\dim(E_t)$  is the

value of the scalar  $\eta^\dagger \circ \mu \circ \mu^\dagger \circ \eta \in C_b(X)$  at  $t$ . In particular, it takes values in  $\mathbb{N}$ , and if  $t \in U$ , then it is invertible.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.2.** *Any commutative nondegenerate specialisable dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is isomorphic as a  $*$ -algebra to  $C_0(Y)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $Y$  through a continuous surjection  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  with finite fibres.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.4 we may assume that the given dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  is special. It then follows from Lemma 7.1 that  $E$  is of the form  $C_0(Y)$  for some locally compact Hausdorff space  $Y$ . Applying Lemmas 3.4 and 3.1 to the unit law  $\mu \circ (\eta \otimes \eta) = \eta \circ \lambda$  shows that the map  $\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  is multiplicative. Being a morphism in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  it is also additive. It preserves the involution by definition of dual objects. Hence  $\eta$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, which is nondegenerate as in Proposition 2.2. Therefore  $\eta$  is of the form  $-\circ p: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  for a continuous map  $p: Y \rightarrow X$ . Because  $\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  is injective by nondegeneracy,  $p$  is surjective.

Suppose that the closed and hence locally compact set  $p^{-1}(t)$  is infinite. Then the complex vector space  $C_0(p^{-1}(t))$  is infinite-dimensional, as it embeds any  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by choosing  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in p^{-1}(t)$  and sending  $e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$  to a continuous extension of  $y_j \mapsto \delta_{ij}$  by Tietze's extension theorem. Take infinitely many  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent vectors in  $C_0(p^{-1}(t))$ . Tietze's extension theorem makes them into infinitely many  $C_0(X)$ -linearly independent elements of  $C_0(Y)$ . But since  $C_0(Y)$  is finitely presented projective as a  $C_0(X)$ -module by Theorem 5.5 this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** *For a nondegenerate commutative specialisable dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , the map  $E = \eta \circ (\eta^\dagger \circ \eta)^{-1} \circ \eta^\dagger: C_0(Y) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  is a strict conditional expectation onto  $C_0(X)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\eta: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. It also satisfies (3) and is hence (completely) positive. Because  $d = \eta^\dagger \circ \eta$  multiplies with the (positive) function  $t \mapsto \dim(E_t)$ , its inverse is positive too, and therefore  $E$  is a (completely) positive map. Clearly  $E \circ \eta = \eta$ , so that  $E$  fixes  $C_0(X)$ . Finally,  $\|E\| = d^{-2} \circ d^2 = 1$ . Moreover,  $E$  is strict because  $\eta(fg)(s) = f(p(s))g(p(s)) = (\eta(f)\eta(g))(s)$  for  $s \in Y$  and  $f, g \in C_0(X)$ . See also [24].  $\square$

**Lemma 8.4.** *Nondegenerate commutative specialisable dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  are of the form  $C_0(Y)$  for a finite branched covering  $p: Y \rightarrow X$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.7 a specialisable dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  corresponds to a finite C\*-bundle, whose fibres have uniformly bounded dimension. We need to show that  $p$  is open; suppose for a contradiction that it is not. Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be an open set such that  $p(V) \subseteq X$  is not open. Fix a limit point  $t \in p(V)$  of  $X \setminus p(V)$ , and pick  $s \in V$  with  $p(s) = t$ . Urysohn's lemma now provides a continuous function  $y: Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $y(s) = 1$  that vanishes at infinity and outside  $V$ . Hence  $\eta^\dagger(y)$  vanishes on  $X \setminus p(V)$  by Lemma 8.3. But  $\eta^\dagger(y)(t) > 0$  by nondegeneracy, contradicting continuity of  $\eta^\dagger$ . See also [45, Theorem 5.6], [10, 2.2.3] and [39, Theorem 4.3].  $\square$

This completely characterises commutative specialisable dagger Frobenius structures in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  for paracompact  $X$ . The category  $\mathbf{Covering}_X$  is symmetric monoidal under Cartesian product. Write  $\mathbf{cFrob}_{C_0(X)}$  for the full subcategory of

nondegenerate commutative objects in  $\mathbf{Frob}_{C_0(X)}$ , and write  $\mathbf{Covering}_X$  for the category of finite branched coverings and bundle maps.

**Theorem 8.5.** *For any paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  there is an equivalence  $\mathbf{cFrob}_{C_0(X)} \simeq \mathbf{Covering}_X$  of symmetric monoidal dagger categories.*

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 8.4 and Lemma 6.14 to establish the equivalence. Monoidality follows because the tensor product is the coproduct of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, and so  $C_0(X) \otimes C_0(Y) \simeq C_0(X) + C_0(Y) \simeq C_0(X \times Y)$  by duality.  $\square$

Alternatively, we could include degenerate objects in  $\mathbf{cFrob}_{C_0(X)}$  and objects  $p$  in  $\mathbf{Covering}_X$  to be non-surjective.

## 9. TRANSITIVITY

In this section we reduce the study of special dagger Frobenius structures to the study of central ones and commutative ones, by proving a transitivity theorem that adapts [18, Theorem II.3.8] to the setting of dagger Frobenius structures. We start with combining Frobenius structures  $E$  over  $Z$  and  $Z$  over  $C$  into a Frobenius structure  $E$  over  $C$ .

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $C$  and  $Z$  be commutative  $C^*$ -algebras with paracompact spectrum. If  $E$  is a nondegenerate (specialisable) dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_Z$ , and  $Z$  is a nondegenerate (specialisable) dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$ , then  $E$  is a nondegenerate (specialisable) dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.7, there is a finite  $C^*$ -bundle  $p: E \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Z)$ , and a commutative finite  $C^*$ -bundle  $Z \rightarrow X = \mathrm{Spec}(C)$ . By Theorem 8.5, the latter corresponds to a branched covering  $q: \mathrm{Spec}(Z) \rightarrow X$ . We will show that  $r = q \circ p$  is a finite  $C^*$ -bundle  $E \rightarrow X$ . First of all, the fibre of  $r$  over  $t \in X$  is  $r^{-1}(t) = \bigoplus_{u \in q^{-1}(t)} p^{-1}(u)$ , a finite direct sum of finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras, and hence a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. Now let  $t_0 \in X$ . Say  $q^{-1}(t_0) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \in \mathrm{Spec}(Z)$ . Pick open neighbourhoods  $U_i \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(Z)$  of  $u_i$ , finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_i$ , and homeomorphisms  $\varphi_i: U_i \times A_i \rightarrow p^{-1}(U_i)$ , such that  $\varphi_i(u, -): A_i \rightarrow p^{-1}(U_i)$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism for each  $u \in U_i$ . Because  $q$  is a branched covering, we may assume the  $U_i$  disjoint. Set  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q(V)$ ; this is an open neighbourhood of  $t_0$  in  $X$  because  $q$  is open. Set  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Define  $\varphi: V \times A \rightarrow r^{-1}(t_0) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p^{-1}(U_i)$  by

$$\varphi(t, a) = (\varphi_1(u_1, a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(u_n, a_n))$$

where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , and  $t = q(u_i)$  for  $u_i \in U_i$ . Then, for each  $t \in V$ , say  $t = q(u_i)$  with  $u_i \in U_i$ , the function

$$\varphi(t, -) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(u_i, (-)_i): A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p^{-1}(U_i) = r^{-1}(t)$$

is a  $*$ -isomorphism. It is clear that  $r$  is nondegenerate when  $p$  and  $q$  are, and that  $r$  is specialisable when  $p$  and  $q$  are.  $\square$

Next, we consider the converse: if  $E$  is a Frobenius structure over  $C$ , does it decompose into Frobenius structures  $E$  over  $Z$  and  $Z$  over  $C$ ? Our proof of the former below will use the following algebraic lemma.



**Lemma 9.2.** *If  $(E, \mu, \eta)$  is a specialisable dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$ , then  $E = Z(E) \oplus [E, E]$  is a dagger biproduct of Hilbert modules, where  $[E, E]$  is the  $C$ -linear span of  $\{xy - yx \mid x, y \in E\}$*

*Proof.* Specialisable dagger Frobenius structures are symmetric [15, Proposition 2.7], and adapting [4] to monoidal categories then shows that  $(E, \mu, \eta)$  is strongly separable [18]. By [30, Theorem 1], there is a direct sum  $E \simeq Z(E) \oplus [E, E]$  of  $C$ -modules. It now suffices to prove that this direct sum is orthogonal, as it then follows that both summands are Hilbert modules [59, Section 15.3]. But if  $z \in Z(E)$  and  $x, y \in E$ , then

$$\langle z \mid xy - yx \rangle = \langle z \mid xy \rangle - \langle z \mid yx \rangle = \langle zy^* \mid x \rangle - \langle y^*z \mid x \rangle = 0,$$

where the second equation uses that dagger Frobenius structures are  $H^*$ -algebras; see [2, Lemma 5], which does not depend on commutativity.  $\square$

It follows that the projection  $p_1: E \rightarrow Z(E)$  is cyclic:  $p_1(xy) = p_1(yx)$ . It also follows that if  $E$  is a specialisable dagger Frobenius structures, its centre  $Z(E)$  is a well-defined Hilbert module. We leave open the question whether special(isable) dagger Frobenius structures in arbitrary monoidal dagger categories correspond to monoid-comonoid pairs  $E$  with  $E \simeq Z(E) \oplus F$  a dagger biproduct, where  $Z(E)$  is defined by an equaliser.

**Example 9.3.** Consider the special dagger Frobenius structure  $E = \mathbb{M}_n$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}$ . Then  $Z(E) = \mathbb{C}$ , and  $[E, E] = \{y \in \mathbb{M}_n \mid \text{tr}(y) = 0\}$  (see [5]) and indeed

$$Z(E) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_1} \\ \xrightarrow{p_1} \end{array} E \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_2} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} [E, E]$$

forms a dagger biproduct, where  $i_1(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ ,  $i_2(y) = y$ ,  $p_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{tr}(x)$ , and  $p_2(x) = x - \frac{1}{n}x$ :

$$\langle i_1(1) \mid x \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mid x \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{tr}(x) = \langle 1 \mid p_1(x) \rangle,$$

$$\langle i_2(y) \mid x \rangle = \langle y \mid x \rangle = \langle y \mid x \rangle - \langle y \mid \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(x) \rangle = \langle y \mid p_2(x) \rangle,$$

$$p_1 \circ i_1(1) = p_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(1) = 1,$$

$$p_2 \circ i_2(y) = p_2(y) = y - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(y) = y,$$

$$i_1 \circ p_1 + i_2 \circ p_2(x) = i_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{tr}(x)) + i_2(x - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(x)) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(x) + x - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(x) = x.$$

Any special dagger Frobenius structure  $E$  in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra according to Lemma 7.1. Therefore so is  $Z(E)$ , and it makes sense to talk about the monoidal category  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{Z(E)}$ .

**Lemma 9.4.** *If  $E$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , then it is also an object in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{Z(E)}$ .*

*Proof.* First of all,  $E$  is certainly a  $Z(E)$ -module; let us verify that it is a Hilbert  $Z(E)$ -module. As the inner product, take  $\langle x \mid y \rangle = p_1(x^*y)$ , using the projection  $p_1: E \rightarrow Z(E)$  induced by Lemma 9.2, and the involution (2). By Lemma 9.2,  $p_1$  has norm one, and hence is a conditional expectation [56]. Thus the inclusion  $p_1^\dagger: Z(E) \rightarrow E$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, and  $p_1$  is completely positive.

Because (completely) positive maps preserve the involution [51, p2], we have  $\langle y \mid x \rangle^* = p_1(y^*x)^* = p_1(x^*y) = \langle x \mid y \rangle$  for  $x, y \in E$ . Because  $p_1$  is  $Z(E)$ -linear,

also  $\langle x \mid y + y' \rangle = \langle x \mid y \rangle + \langle x \mid y' \rangle$  and  $\langle x \mid yz \rangle = \langle x \mid y \rangle z$  for  $x, y, y' \in E$  and  $z \in Z(E)$ . Hence the inner product is  $Z(E)$ -sesquilinear.

Again because  $p_1$  is (completely) positive,  $\langle x \mid x \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $x \in E$ . To see that the inner product is in fact positive definite, first consider the case where  $X = 1$  and  $E = \mathbb{M}_n$ . Then  $p_1: \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  takes the diagonal of a matrix. So if  $x \in \mathbb{M}_n$ , and  $p_1(x^*x) = 0$ , then  $x = 0$ , so certainly  $p_1(x) = 0$ . This generalises to finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras  $E$ . Next we use Corollary 6.5 to go back to the case of general  $E$ : if  $x \in E$  satisfies  $p_1(x^*x) = 0$ , then for all  $t \in X$  we have  $\text{Loc}_t(p_1(x)) = 0$ . So, by Theorem 4.7, in fact  $p_1(x) = 0$ . Thus  $\langle - \mid - \rangle$  is a well-defined  $Z(E)$ -valued inner product on  $E$ .

The inner product is complete because

$$\|x\|_{Z(E)}^2 = \|\langle x \mid x \rangle\|_{Z(E)} = \|p_1(x^*x)\|_{Z(E)} \leq \|x^*x\|_{C_0(X)} = \|x\|_{C_0(X)}^2$$

by Lemma 7.1. Hence  $E$  is a well-defined Hilbert  $Z(E)$ -module.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.5.** *If  $E$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , then it is also a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{Z(E)}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, the tensor product of  $E$  with itself in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , denoted  $E \otimes_{C_0(X)} E$ , is the completion of the algebraic tensor product  $E \odot_{C_0(X)} E$  in the  $C_0(X)$ -valued inner product  $\langle x_1 \otimes y_1 \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1 \mid y_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \mid y_2 \rangle$ . Similarly,  $E \otimes_{Z(E)} E$  is the completion of  $E \odot_{Z(E)} E$  in the  $Z(E)$ -valued inner product  $\langle x_1 \otimes y_1 \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle = p_1(x_1^*y_1)p_1(x_2^*y_2)$ . The assignment  $x \otimes y \mapsto x \otimes y$  extends to a canonical map  $q: E \otimes_{C_0(X)} E \rightarrow E \otimes_{Z(E)} E$ , because if  $x_i \in E \odot_{C_0(X)} E$  converges in the former inner product, then it does so in the latter inner product too:

$$\|\langle x_i \mid x_i \rangle_E\|_{C_0(X)} = \|x_i^*x_i\|_{C_0(X)} \geq \|p_1(x_i^*x_i)\|_{Z(E)}.$$

Here, the equality uses that (2) is a  $C^*$ -involution locally as in Corollary 6.5, and the inequality uses that  $p_1$  has norm one. Because the multiplication  $\mu$  is in fact  $Z(E)$ -bilinear, it factors through  $q$ . This gives a map  $\mu_Z$  that makes the following diagram of modules commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \otimes_{C_0(X)} E & \longleftarrow & E \odot_{C_0(X)} E \\ \mu \swarrow & & \downarrow q \\ E & & E \odot_{Z(E)} E \\ \mu_Z \swarrow & & \downarrow \\ E \otimes_{Z(E)} E & \longleftarrow & E \odot_{Z(E)} E \end{array}$$

Because  $\mu^\dagger(zx) = \mu^\dagger \circ \mu(z \otimes x) = \mu_Z(z \otimes \mu^\dagger(x)) = z\mu^\dagger(x)$  by the Frobenius law and similarly  $\mu^\dagger(xz) = \mu^\dagger(x)z$ , the map  $\mu^\dagger: E \rightarrow E \otimes_{C_0(X)} E$  is a morphism of  $Z(E)$ - $Z(E)$ -bimodules. By construction  $q$  is a map of  $Z(E)$ - $Z(E)$ -bimodules. Hence  $\mu_Z^\dagger = q \circ \mu^\dagger: E \rightarrow E \otimes_{Z(E)} E$  is  $Z(E)$ -linear. Now  $\langle x \otimes y \mid \mu_Z^\dagger(w) \rangle$  is

where we draw solid lines for  $E$  and dashed lines for  $Z(E)$ ; the first and third equalities use the Frobenius law, and the second and fourth equalities use associativity, naturality of the swap map, and the fact that  $Z(E)$  is commutative. Thus

$$\langle x \otimes y \mid \mu_Z^\dagger(w) \rangle = p_1 \circ \mu_Z \circ (p_1^\dagger \otimes_{Z(E)} p_1^\dagger) \circ (p_1 \otimes_{Z(E)} p_1) \circ \mu_Z^\dagger(y^* x^* w)$$

because cyclicity of  $p_1$  allows us to change  $x^* y^* w$  into  $y^* x^* w$  under this map. On the other hand,  $\langle \mu_Z(x \otimes y) \mid x \rangle$  is  $p_1(y^* x^* w)$ . Because  $(p_1 \otimes_{Z(E)} p_1) \circ \mu_Z^\dagger$  is an isometry,  $p_1 = p_1 \circ \mu_Z \circ (p_1^\dagger \otimes_{Z(E)} p_1^\dagger) \circ (p_1 \otimes_{Z(E)} p_1) \circ \mu_Z^\dagger$ . Therefore  $\mu_Z$  and  $\mu_Z^\dagger$  are adjoints.

We can now verify the laws for special dagger Frobenius structures for  $\mu_Z$ . Unitality of  $\mu_Z$  follows directly from unitality of  $\mu$  because  $\eta$  factors through  $Z(E)$ . Speciality is also easy:  $\mu_Z \circ \mu_Z^\dagger = \mu_Z \circ q \circ \mu^\dagger = \mu \circ \mu^\dagger = \text{id}_E$ . Now observe that  $q \circ (\mu_Z \otimes_{C_0(X)} \text{id}_E) = (\mu_Z \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E) \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} q)$ , because both morphisms map  $x \otimes y \otimes z$  to  $\mu_Z(x \otimes y) \otimes z$ . It follows from associativity of  $\mu$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_Z \circ (\mu_Z \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E) \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} q) \circ (q \otimes_{C_0(X)} \text{id}_E) \\ &= \mu_Z \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} \mu_Z) \circ (q \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E) \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{C_0(X)} q). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_Z \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} \mu_Z)$  equals  $\mu_Z \circ (\mu_Z \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E)$  on  $E \odot_{Z(E)} E \odot_{Z(E)} E$  and hence on all of  $E \otimes_{Z(E)} E \otimes_{Z(E)} E$ , making  $\mu_Z$  associative. The Frobenius law follows similarly: the two morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_Z \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E) \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} \mu_Z^\dagger) = q \circ (\mu_Z \otimes_{C_0(X)} \text{id}_E) \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} \mu^\dagger) \\ & (\text{id}_E \otimes_{Z(E)} \mu_Z) \circ (\mu_Z^\dagger \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E) = q \circ (\text{id}_E \otimes_{C_0(X)} \mu_Z) \circ (\mu^\dagger \otimes_{Z(E)} \text{id}_E) \end{aligned}$$

equal each other on  $E \odot_{Z(E)} E$ , and are therefore equal on all of  $E \otimes_{Z(E)} E$ .  $\square$

The last step is to prove that if  $E$  is Frobenius over  $C$ , then so is its centre  $Z(E)$ .

**Lemma 9.6.** *Let  $C$  be a commutative C\*-algebra with a paracompact spectrum. If  $E$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$ , then  $Z(E)$  is a specialisable dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_C$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.7,  $E$  corresponds to a finite C\*-bundle  $p: E \rightarrow X$ . Define  $q: Z(E) \rightarrow X$  by restriction; we will prove that it is a commutative finite C\*-bundle. Clearly,  $q$  is still continuous and surjective, because it maps  $1 \in Z(E_t)$  to  $t \in X$ . Also,  $Z(E)_t = Z(E_t)$  is a commutative finite-dimensional C\*-algebra. Now let  $t_0 \in X$ . Pick an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $t_0$  in  $X$ , a finite-dimensional C\*-algebra  $A$ , and a map  $\varphi: U \times A \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$  such that  $\varphi(t, -): \rightarrow E_t$  is a \*-isomorphism for every  $t \in U$ . Set  $B = Z(A)$ , and define  $\psi: U \times B \rightarrow q^{-1}(U) = p^{-1}(U) \cap Z(E)$  to be the restriction of  $\varphi$ . Then  $\psi(t, -): B \rightarrow q^{-1}(t) = Z(E)_t$  is a \*-isomorphism.  $\square$

Finally, we can state the transitivity theorem.

**Theorem 9.7.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $E$  a Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ -module. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ ;
- (ii)  $E$  is a special dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{Z(E)}$ , and  $Z(E)$  is a specialisable dagger Frobenius structure in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ .

*Proof.* Combine Lemmas 9.1, 9.5, and 9.6. The only thing left to prove is that  $E$  is special over  $Z(E)$  precisely when it special over  $C_0(X)$ . But this is already included in the proof of Lemma 9.5.  $\square$

The latter algebra in (ii) is commutative, the former is central. We leave open the question to which monoidal dagger categories the previous theorem can be generalised [38]. We also leave open the question whether it can be made functorial, that is, how the categories and Frobenius structures in (ii) of the previous theorem depend on  $E$  and  $X$ .

## 10. KERNELS

A dagger category with a zero object has *dagger kernels* when every morphism  $f: E \rightarrow F$  has a kernel  $k: K \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $k^\dagger \circ k = \text{id}_E$  [32]. Similarly, it has *dagger equalisers* when every pair of morphisms  $f, g: E \rightarrow F$  has an equaliser  $e$  satisfying  $e^\dagger \circ e = \text{id}$ . In this section we show that  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  has dagger kernels, and discuss when  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  has dagger kernels.

**Proposition 10.1.** *If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}^{\text{bd}}$  has kernels; the kernel of  $f: E \rightarrow F$  is given by (the inclusion of)  $\ker(f) = \{x \in E \mid f(x) = 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove that  $\ker(f)$  is always a well-defined object in  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}^{\text{bd}}$ . The inherited inner product  $\langle x \mid y \rangle_K = \langle x \mid y \rangle_E$  is still sesquilinear and positive definite. If  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\ker(f)$ , it is also a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ , and hence has a limit  $x \in E$ . Because  $f$  is adjointable, it is bounded and hence continuous, so that  $f(x) = \lim_n f(x_n) = 0$  and  $x \in \ker(f)$ . Thus  $\ker(f)$  is complete.

The inclusion  $\ker(f) \hookrightarrow E$  is bounded because it is fibrewise contractive, and hence a well-defined morphism. It inherits the universal property from the category of vector spaces.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.2.** *If  $X$  is a paracompact locally compact Hausdorff space, then  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  has dagger kernels; the kernel of  $f: E \rightarrow F$  is given by (the inclusion of)  $\ker(f) = \{x \in E \mid f(x) = 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that  $K = \ker(f)$  is indeed a well-defined object of  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  by Theorem 5.6: for if a subbundle  $\ker(f)$  of a finite Hilbert bundle  $E$  is a finite Hilbert bundle. By Theorem 5.5, this means there exists  $L \in \mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  such that  $K \oplus L \simeq C_0(X)^m$  for some natural number  $m$ . Next, because the map  $t \mapsto \dim(E_t)$  is continuous, we can write  $X$  as a disjoint union of clopen subsets on which the fibres of  $E$  and  $F$  have constant dimension. Thus we may assume that  $E = C_0(X)^n$  for some natural number  $n$ . Now the inclusion  $k: K \rightarrow E$  is adjointable if and only if the map  $[k, 0]: K \oplus L \simeq C_0(X)^m \rightarrow C_0(X)^n$  is. But this follows from Lemma 5.2 because  $k$  is bounded.  $\square$

When we consider Hilbert modules that are not necessarily finitely presented projective, dagger kernels do not always exist. If they do, the base space  $X$  must be *totally disconnected*, that is, its connected components must be singletons. If  $X$  is compact this is equivalent to  $C(X)$  being a  $C^*$ -algebra of *real rank zero*.

**Proposition 10.3.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. If  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  has dagger kernels, then  $X$  is totally disconnected.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subseteq X$  be a closed set containing distinct points  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff,  $x$  and  $y$  have disjoint open neighbourhoods  $V_x$  and  $V_y$ . Now  $\{y\}$  is compact and  $V_y$  is open, so Urysohn's lemma constructs  $f \in C_0(X)$  with  $f(y) = 1$  and  $f(X \setminus V_y) = 0$  so  $f(x) = 0$ . Regard  $f$  as a morphism  $C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  by

$h \mapsto fh$ ; it has adjoint  $h \mapsto f^*h$ . As in Lemma 3.3,  $f$  has a dagger kernel of the form  $K = \{h \in C_0(X) \mid h(W) = 0\}$  for a clopen  $W \subseteq X$ . Now  $U_x = U \cap (X \setminus W)$  and  $U_y = U \cap W$  are both open in  $U$ , satisfy  $U = U_x \cup U_y$  and  $U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset$ , and are not empty because  $x \in U_x$  and  $y \in U_y$ . Therefore  $U$  is not connected. That is,  $X$  is totally disconnected.  $\square$

**Remark 10.4.** If  $X$  is totally disconnected, does  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  have dagger kernels? The question is whether the inclusion  $\ker(f) \hookrightarrow E$  is adjointable. The luxury of finitely presented projectivity as used in the proof of Proposition 10.2 is not available. In general it would suffice for  $\ker(f)$  to be *self-dual* [43, 3.3-3.4], but it is unclear whether  $\ker(f)$  is self-dual when  $E$  and  $F$  are self-dual and  $X$  is totally disconnected; for related functional-analytic problems see [23, 25]. We leave this question open.

**Remark 10.5.** Which categories  $\mathbf{C}$  embed into  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  or  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$  for some  $X$ ? We might generalise the strategy of [31, 7.2] that worked for  $\mathbf{Hilb}$  while removing an inelegant cardinality restriction on the scalars: it suffices that  $\mathbf{C}$  is symmetric dagger monoidal; has finite dagger biproducts; has dagger equalisers of cotuples  $[f, g], [g, f]: E \oplus E \rightarrow F$  for  $f, g: E \rightarrow F$ ; makes every dagger monomorphism a dagger kernel; is well-pointed, and is locally small. The scalars  $\mathbf{C}(I, I)$  then form a unital commutative  $*$ -ring, and we would need an additional condition guaranteeing that it embeds into a commutative complex  $*$ -algebra  $C_b(X)$  for some  $X$ . The category  $\mathbf{FHilb}_{C_0(X)}$  satisfies these properties [41, 3.6].

## APPENDIX A. BIMODULES AND BICATEGORIES

We start by briefly recalling Hilbert bimodules and their tensor products; for more information we refer to [41]. Recall that the adjointable maps  $E \rightarrow E$  on a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$  form a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ .

**Definition A.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. A *Hilbert  $(A, B)$ -bimodule* is a (right) Hilbert  $B$ -module  $E$  together with a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$  that is nondegenerate, in the sense that  $\varphi(A)(E)$  is dense in  $E$ . A *morphism of Hilbert  $(A, B)$ -bimodules* is an adjointable map  $f: E \rightarrow F$  of (right) Hilbert  $B$ -modules that intertwines, *i.e.*  $f(a(x)) = a(f(x))$  for  $a \in A$  and  $x \in E$ .

A Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}$ -module is simply a Hilbert space, and a morphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules is simply an adjointable map between Hilbert spaces. A Hilbert  $A$ -module is the same as a Hilbert  $(\mathbb{C}, A)$ -bimodule, and a morphism of Hilbert  $(\mathbb{C}, A)$ -bimodules is the same as an adjointable map of Hilbert  $A$ -modules. Hence a Hilbert  $(A, \mathbb{C})$ -bimodule is precisely a  $*$ -representation of  $A$ , and a morphism of Hilbert  $(A, \mathbb{C})$ -bimodules is precisely an intertwiner.

**Definition A.2.** The *tensor product*  $E \otimes_B F$  of a Hilbert  $(A, B)$ -bimodule  $E$  and a Hilbert  $(B, C)$ -bimodule  $F$  is the algebraic tensor product of  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F$  made into a Hilbert  $A$ - $C$ -bimodule under the inner product

$$\langle x \otimes y \mid x' \otimes y' \rangle_{E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F} = \langle y \mid \langle x \mid x' \rangle_E (y') \rangle_F$$

by quotienting out  $\{x \in E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F \mid \langle x \mid x \rangle_{E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F} = 0\}$  and completing, with the map  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E \otimes_B F)$  sending  $a$  to  $x \otimes y \mapsto a(x) \otimes y$ .

Notice that this quotient automatically enforces  $xb \otimes y = x \otimes by$  in  $E \otimes_B F$  for  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$ , and  $b \in B$ . So  $E \otimes_B F$  may alternatively be constructed as the

algebraic tensor product  $E \odot_B F$  over  $B$  of  $A$ - $B$ -bimodules and  $B$ - $C$ -bimodules by quotienting out the same subspace and completing in the same inner product.

The tensor product  $E \otimes F$  of Hilbert  $A$ -modules  $E$  and  $F$  over a commutative  $A$  is got by regarding them as Hilbert  $(\mathbb{C}, A)$ -bimodules. If  $A$  is commutative,  $F$  is also a Hilbert  $(A, A)$ -bimodule, via the map  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)$  that sends  $a$  to  $y \mapsto ya$ . The tensor product  $E \otimes_A F$  of Hilbert bimodules then is a Hilbert  $(\mathbb{C}, A)$ -bimodule and hence a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E \otimes F$ . Explicitly, it is the completion of the algebraic tensor product  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F$  with the following inner product and (right)  $A$ -module structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 \otimes y_1 \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle &= \langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle \langle y_1 \mid y_2 \rangle, \\ (x \otimes y)a &= x \otimes (ya). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this inner product is indeed already nondegenerate [41, Proposition 4.5].

If  $f: E \rightarrow E'$  is a morphism of Hilbert  $A$ - $B$ -bimodules, and  $g: F \rightarrow F'$  is a morphism of Hilbert  $B$ - $C$ -bimodules, then the canonical map  $f \otimes_B g: E \otimes_B F \rightarrow E' \otimes_B F'$  defined by  $x \otimes y \mapsto f(x) \otimes g(y)$  for  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$  is a well-defined morphism of Hilbert  $A$ - $C$ -bimodules: it is adjointable because  $g$  is an intertwiner

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x' \otimes y' \mid f \otimes g(x \otimes y) \rangle_{E' \otimes_B F'} &= \langle x' \otimes y' \mid f(x) \otimes g(y) \rangle_{E' \otimes_B F'} \\ &= \langle y' \mid \langle x' \mid f(x) \rangle_{E'} (g(y)) \rangle_{F'} \\ &= \langle y' \mid g(\langle x' \mid f(x) \rangle_{E'}(y)) \rangle_{F'} \\ &= \langle g^\dagger(y') \mid \langle f^\dagger(x') \mid x \rangle_E (y) \rangle_F \\ &= \langle f^\dagger \otimes g^\dagger(x' \otimes y') \mid x \otimes y \rangle_{E \otimes_B F}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is an intertwiner because  $f$  is an intertwiner

$$a(f \otimes g(x)) = a(f(x)) \otimes g(y) = f(a(x)) \otimes g(y) = f \otimes g(a(x)).$$

**Proposition A.3.** *There is a well-defined bicategory  $\mathbf{Hilb}_*$  where:*

- 0-cells are locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$ ;
- 1-cells are Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ - $C_0(Y)$ -bimodules;
- the identity 1-cell on  $X$  is  $C_0(X)$ ;
- horizontal composition of 1-cells is  $(E, F) \mapsto E \otimes_{C_0(Y)} F$ ;
- 2-cells are morphisms of Hilbert  $C_0(X)$ - $C_0(Y)$ -bimodules, i.e. adjointable intertwiners;
- the identity 2-cell on  $E$  is the identity function;
- vertical composition of 2-cells is function composition;
- horizontal composition of 2-cells is  $(f, g) \mapsto f \otimes_{C_0(Y)} g$ ;
- associators  $(E \otimes_{C_0(Y)} F) \otimes_{C_0(Z)} G \rightarrow E \otimes_{C_0(Y)} (F \otimes_{C_0(Z)} G)$  are given by  $(x \otimes y) \otimes z \mapsto x \otimes (y \otimes z)$ ;
- left unitors  $C_0(X) \otimes_{C_0(X)} E \rightarrow E$  are given by  $a \otimes x \mapsto a(x)$ ;
- right unitors  $E \otimes_{C_0(Y)} C_0(Y) \rightarrow E$  are given by  $x \otimes b \mapsto xb$ ;

as well as a bicategory  $\mathbf{Hilb}_*^{\text{bd}}$  where 2-cells are bounded linear intertwiners.

*Proof.* We have already seen that the homcategories are well-defined, and that horizontal composition is a well-defined functor. The pentagon equations are clear. The triangle equations  $(\text{id}_E \otimes_{C_0(Y)} \lambda_F) \circ \alpha_{E, C_0(Y), F} = \rho_E \otimes_{C_0(Y)} \text{id}_F$  are satisfied because  $xb \otimes_{C_0(Y)} y = x \otimes_{C_0(Y)} b(y)$  for  $b \in C_0(Y)$ ,  $x \in E$ , and  $y \in F$ . See also [14], who use a stronger notion of 2-cells.  $\square$

Notice that the endohomcategory  $\mathbf{Hilb}_*(X, X)$  equals  $\mathbf{Hilb}_{C_0(X)}$ , so that Proposition 2.2 follows from the previous one.

There is also a well-defined bicategory  $\mathbf{2FHilb}$  of *2-Hilbert spaces*, which has as 0-cells natural numbers, as 1-cells matrices of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, and as 2-cells matrices of linear maps [38, 58].

**Proposition A.4.** *There is a pseudofunctor  $\mathbf{2FHilb} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_*$  that:*

- sends a 0-cell  $n$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
- sends a 1-cell  $(H_{i,j}) : m \rightarrow n$  to  $\bigoplus_{i,j} H_{i,j}$ ;
- sends a 2-cell  $(f_{i,j}) : (H_{i,j}) \rightarrow (K_{i,j})$  to the map  $(x_{i,j}) \mapsto (f_{i,j}(x_{i,j}))$ ;
- is injective on 0-cells, and a local equivalence.

*Proof.* Let us show that this is well-defined on 1-cells:  $E = \bigoplus_{i,j} H_{i,j}$  becomes a right  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -module by  $(x_{i,j}) \cdot (z_j) = (x_{ij}z_j)$ ; it becomes a (right) Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -module by the inner product  $\langle (x_{i,j}) \mid (y_{i,j}) \rangle_E(j) = \sum_i \langle x_{i,j} \mid y_{i,j} \rangle_{H_{i,j}}$ ; it becomes a Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}^m$ - $\mathbb{C}^n$ -bimodule by the  $*$ -representation  $\mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$  sending  $(z_i)$  to  $(x_{i,j}) \mapsto (z_i x_{i,j})$ .

It is also well-defined on 2-cells: the map  $f : x_{i,j} \mapsto (f_{i,j}(x_{i,j}))$  is adjointable because  $\sum_i \langle f_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) \mid y_{i,j} \rangle_{K_{i,j}} = \sum_i \langle x_{i,j} \mid f_{i,j}^\dagger(y_{i,j}) \rangle_{H_{i,j}}$ ; and it is intertwining because  $f_{i,j}(z_i x_{i,j}) = z_i f_{i,j}(x_{i,j})$ . This is clearly functorial on homcategories.

The pseudofunctorial data consists of 2-cells  $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n \delta_{i,j} \mathbb{C}$  for identities, and  $(\bigoplus_{a,b} H_{a,b}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\bigoplus_{c,d} K_{c,d}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i,j,k} H_{i,k} \otimes K_{k,j}$  for composition. By construction  $(\bigoplus_{a,b} H_{a,b}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}^n} (\bigoplus_{c,d} K_{c,d})$  is  $\bigoplus_{a,b,c,d} H_{a,b} \otimes K_{c,d}$ , where we identify  $((x_{a,b}) \otimes (y_{c,d}))$  with 0 when  $x_{a,b} y_{b,d} = 0$  for all  $a$  and  $d$ . Hence there are natural candidates for both, that are adjointable intertwiners, and furthermore are in fact unitary. The coherence diagrams clearly commute.

Finally, this pseudofunctor is clearly injective on 0-cells, and moreover, it is an equivalence on homcategories; see also [11, Proposition 8.1.11].  $\square$

Thus  $\mathbf{2FHilb}$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Hilb}_*$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{Hilb}_*$  is a conservative *infinite continuous extension* of the finite discrete  $\mathbf{2FHilb}$  that is more suitable for local quantum physics.

## APPENDIX B. COMPLETE POSITIVITY

Write  $\mathbf{cCStar}_{\text{cp}}$  for the category of commutative C\*-algebras and (completely) positive linear maps. By Gelfand duality, its objects are isomorphic to  $C_0(X)$  for locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$ . We now consider morphisms.

**Definition B.1.** A *Radon measure* on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  satisfying  $\mu(U) = \sup_{K \subset U} \mu(K)$  where  $K$  ranges over the compact subsets of open sets  $U$ . Write  $\text{Radon}(X)$  for the set of Radon measures on  $X$ .

The set  $\text{Radon}(X)$  becomes a locally compact Hausdorff space [53, Chapter 13] under the following, so-called *vague*, topology: a net  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu$  if and only if  $\int_X f d\mu_n$  converges to  $\int_X f d\mu$  for all measurable  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition B.2.** Write  $\mathbf{Radon}$  for the following category.

- Objects are locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$ .
- Morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$  are continuous functions  $X \rightarrow \text{Radon}(Y)$ .

- Composition of  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Radon}(Y)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Radon}(Z)$  is given by

$$(g \circ f)(x)(U) = \int_Y g_U df(x)$$

where  $g_U: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for measurable  $U \subseteq Z$  is defined by  $y \mapsto g(y)(U)$ .

- The identity on  $X$  sends  $x$  to the Dirac measure  $\delta_x$ .

**Proposition B.3.** *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$F: \mathbf{Radon} \rightarrow \mathbf{cCstar}_{\text{cp}}^{\text{op}}$$

$$F(X) = C_0(X)$$

$$F(f)(h)(x) = \int_X h df(x).$$

*Proof.* The proof of [26, Theorem 5.1] shows that  $F(X) = C_0(X)$  and  $F(f)(h)(x) = f(x)(h)$  define an equivalence  $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cstar}_{\text{cp}}^{\text{op}}$ , for the following category  $\mathbf{R}$ :

- Objects are locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$ .
- Morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$  are continuous maps  $X \rightarrow R(Y) = \mathbf{cCstar}_{\text{cp}}(C_0(Y), \mathbb{C})$ .
- Composition of  $f: X \rightarrow R(Y)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow R(Z)$  is given by

$$(g \circ f)(x)(\varphi) = f(x)(\text{ev}_\varphi \circ g)$$

where  $\text{ev}_\varphi: R(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for  $\varphi \in C_0(Z)$  is defined by  $\text{ev}_\varphi(h) = h(\varphi)$ .

- The identity on  $X$  sends  $x$  to the map  $C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $k \mapsto k(x)$ .

But every element of  $R(X)$  is of the form  $\int_X (-) d\mu$  for a unique  $\mu \in \mathbf{Radon}(X)$  (see [49, Theorem 2.14]), translating to the statement of the proposition.  $\square$

Finally we consider the special case of conditional expectations.

**Proposition B.4.** *The wide subcategory  $\mathbf{Cstar}_{\text{cp}}$  of conditional expectations is dually equivalent to the wide subcategory  $\mathbf{Radon}_{\text{cp}}$  of  $\mathbf{Radon}$  of morphisms  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Radon}(Y)$  with a continuous surjection  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\text{supp}(f(x)) \subseteq g^{-1}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Simply restrict the equivalence of Proposition B.3. Concretely, a morphism  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathbf{Radon}_{\text{cp}}$  gets sent to the following conditional expectation: the injective  $*$ -homomorphism is  $- \circ g: C_0(X) \hookrightarrow C_0(Y)$ , and the completely positive map  $C_0(Y) \rightarrow C_0(X)$  maps  $\varphi \in C(Y)$  to the function  $x \mapsto \int_Y g df(x)$ . Conversely, a conditional expectation  $E$  is sent to the unique morphism  $(f, g)$  satisfying  $E(\varphi)(y) = \int_X \varphi dg(f(y))$ . See also [47, Theorem 5.3.3].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abramsky and A. Brandenburger. The sheaf-theoretic structure of non-locality and contextuality. *New Journal of Physics*, 13:113036, 2011.
- [2] S. Abramsky and C. Heunen.  $H^*$ -algebras and nonunital Frobenius algebras: first steps in infinite-dimensional categorical quantum mechanics. *Clifford Lectures, AMS Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, 71:1–24, 2012.
- [3] S. Abramsky and C. Heunen. *Logic and algebraic structures in quantum computing and information*, chapter Operational theories and categorical quantum mechanics. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [4] M. Aguiar. A note on strongly separable algebras. *Boletín de la Academia Nacional de Ciencias*, 65:51–60, 2000.



- [5] A. A. Albert and B. Muckenhoupt. On matrices of trace zero. *Mich. Math. J.*, 4(1):1–3, 1957.
- [6] A. Antonevich and N. Krupnik. On trivial and non-trivial  $n$ -homogeneous C\*-algebras. *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, 38:172–189, 2000.
- [7] M. Auslander and O. Goldman. The Brauer group of a commutative ring. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 97:367–409, 1960.
- [8] M. Bischoff, Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo, and K.-H. Rehren. *Tensor categories and endomorphisms of von Neumann algebras*. Springer, 2015.
- [9] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras: theory of C\*-algebras and von Neumann algebras*. Springer, 2006.
- [10] E. Blanchard and E. Kirchberg. Global Glimm halving for C\*-bundles. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 52:385–420, 2004.
- [11] D. P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy. *Operator algebras and their modules, an operator space approach*. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [12] R. Blute and M. Comeau. Von Neumann categories. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 23(5):725–740, 2015.
- [13] R. Bos. Continuous representations of groupoids. *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, 37(3):807–844, 2011.
- [14] A. Buss, C. Zhu, and R. Meyer. A higher category approach to twisted actions on C\*-algebras. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 56:387–426, 2013.
- [15] B. Coecke, C. Heunen, and A. Kissinger. Categories of quantum and classical channels. *Quantum Information Processing*, 2014.
- [16] B. Coecke and R. Lal. Causal categories: relativistically interacting processes. *Foundations of Physics*, 43(4):458–501, 2012.
- [17] J. Dauns and K. H. Hofmann. *Representation of rings by sections*. American Mathematical Society, 1968.
- [18] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham. *Separable algebras over commutative rings*. Number 181 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 1971.
- [19] J. Dixmier and A. Douady. Champs continus d’espaces Hilbertiens et de C\*-algèbres. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 91:227–284, 1963.
- [20] M. J. Dupré. Classifying Hilbert bundles. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 15(3):244–278, 1974.
- [21] J. M. G. Fell. *An extension of Mackey’s method to Banach \*-algebraic bundles*. Number 90 in Memoirs. American Mathematical Society, 1969.
- [22] J.M.G. Fell and R. S. Doran. *Representations of \*-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach \*-algebraic bundles*. Number 125, 126 in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, 1988.
- [23] M. Frank. Hilbert C\*-modules over monotone complete C\*-algebras. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 175:61–83, 1995.
- [24] M. Frank and E. Kirchberg. On conditional expectations of finite index. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 40:87–111, 1998.
- [25] M. Frank and V. Paulsen. Injective and projective Hilbert C\*-modules, and C\*-algebras of compact operators. *arXiv:math.OA/0611348*, 2006.
- [26] R. W. J. Furber and B. P. F. Jacobs. From Kleisli categories to commutative C\*-algebras: probabilistic Gelfand duality. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 11(2):5, 2015.

- [27] P. Ghez, R. Lima, and J. E. Roberts.  $W^*$ -categories. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 120:79–109, 1985.
- [28] S. Gogioso and F. Genovese. Infinite-dimensional categorical quantum mechanics. In *Quantum Physics and Logic*, 2016.
- [29] R. Haag. *Local Quantum Physics: Fields, Particles, Algebras*. Springer, 1996.
- [30] A. Hattori. On strongly separable algebras. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 2:369–372, 1965.
- [31] C. Heunen. An embedding theorem for Hilbert categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 22(13):321–344, 2009.
- [32] C. Heunen and B. Jacobs. Quantum logic in dagger kernel categories. 27(2):177–212, 2010.
- [33] C. Heunen and M. Karvonen. Monads on dagger categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 31(35):1016–1043, 2016.
- [34] C. Heunen and A. Kissinger. Can quantum theory be characterized in terms of information-theoretic constraints? *arXiv:1604.05948*, 2016.
- [35] C. Heunen, A. Kissinger, and P. Selinger. Completely positive projections and biproducts. In *Quantum Physics and Logic X*, number 171 in Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science, pages 71–83, 2014.
- [36] C. Heunen and S. Tull. Categories of relations as models of quantum theory. In *Quantum Physics and Logic XII*, number 195 in Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science, pages 247–261, 2015.
- [37] C. Heunen and J. Vicary. *Categories for Quantum Theory: an introduction*. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [38] C. Heunen, J. Vicary, and L. Wester. Mixed quantum states in higher categories. In *Quantum Physics and Logic*, volume 172 of *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 304–315, 2014.
- [39] P. Ivankov. Quantization of noncompact coverings. *arXiv:1702.07918*, 2017.
- [40] G. M. Kelly and M. L. Laplaza. Coherence for compact closed categories. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 19:193–213, 1980.
- [41] E. C. Lance. *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, volume 210 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. A toolkit for operator algebraists.
- [42] M. A. Murolo. Algebraic characterization of finite (branched) coverings. *Fundamenta mathematicae*, 158, 1998.
- [43] W. L. Paschke. Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 182:443–468, 1973.
- [44] V. Paulsen. *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [45] A. A. Pavlov and E. V. Troitskii. Quantization of branched coverings. *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 18(3):338–352, 2011.
- [46] A. Piekosz. Basic definitions and properties of topological branched coverings. *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, 8:359–370, 1996.
- [47] R. Pluta. *Ranges of bimodule projections and conditional expectations*. Cambridge Scholars, 2013.
- [48] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams. *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, volume 60 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [49] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill, third edition, 1987.

- [50] P. Selinger. A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories. In *New Structures for Physics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, pages 289–355. Springer, 2009.
- [51] E. Størmer. *Positive linear maps of operator algebras*. Springer, 2013.
- [52] A. Takahashi. Hilbert modules and their representation. *Revista Colombiana de matemáticas*, 13:1–38, 1979.
- [53] M. E. Taylor. *Measure theory and integration*. American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [54] J. Tomiyama. Topological representation of C\*-algebras. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, 14(2):187–204, 1962.
- [55] J. Tomiyama and M. Takesaki. Applications of fibre bundles to the certain class of C\*-algebras. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, 13(3):498–522, 1961.
- [56] Y. Tomiyama. On the projection of norm one in W\*-algebras. *Proceedings of the Japanese Academy*, 33(10):608–612, 1957.
- [57] J. Vicary. Categorical formulation of quantum algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 304(3):765–796, 2011.
- [58] J. Vicary. Higher quantum theory. *arXiv:1207.4563*, 2012.
- [59] N. E. Wegge-Olsen. *K-theory and C\*-algebras*. Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [60] S. Yamagami. Frobenius duality in C\*-tensor categories. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 52:3–20, 2004.
- [61] P. A. Zito. 2-C\*-categories with non-simple units. *Advances in mathematics*, 210(1):122–164, 2007.