Upper embedding of symmetric configurations with block size 3

> Grahame Erskine Open University Joint work with Terry Griggs and Jozef Širáň

Scottish Combinatorics Meeting, Edinburgh 26 April 2019



A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

(i) each block contains exactly 3 points;

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

- (i) each block contains exactly 3 points;
- (ii) each point is contained in exactly 3 blocks; and

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

- (i) each block contains exactly 3 points;
- (ii) each point is contained in exactly 3 blocks; and
- (iii) any pair of distinct points is contained in at most one block.

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

- (i) each block contains exactly 3 points;
- (ii) each point is contained in exactly 3 blocks; and
- (iii) any pair of distinct points is contained in at most one block.

The smallest such system is on 7 points: the Steiner system STS(7) or Fano plane PG(2,2). 013 124 235 346 450 561 602

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

- (i) each block contains exactly 3 points;
- (ii) each point is contained in exactly 3 blocks; and
- (iii) any pair of distinct points is contained in at most one block.

The smallest such system is on 7 points: the Steiner system STS(7) or Fano plane PG(2,2). 013 124 235 346 450 561 602

The *dual* of a configuration is formed by interchanging the roles of points and blocks.

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

- (i) each block contains exactly 3 points;
- (ii) each point is contained in exactly 3 blocks; and
- (iii) any pair of distinct points is contained in at most one block.

The smallest such system is on 7 points: the Steiner system STS(7) or Fano plane PG(2,2). 013 124 235 346 450 561 602

The *dual* of a configuration is formed by interchanging the roles of points and blocks.

A symmetric configuration of triples may be viewed as a 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph.

A symmetric configuration of triples $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a finite incidence structure with |V| = v points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v$ blocks, such that:

- (i) each block contains exactly 3 points;
- (ii) each point is contained in exactly 3 blocks; and
- (iii) any pair of distinct points is contained in at most one block.

The smallest such system is on 7 points: the Steiner system STS(7) or Fano plane PG(2,2). 013 124 235 346 450 561 602

The *dual* of a configuration is formed by interchanging the roles of points and blocks.

A symmetric configuration of triples may be viewed as a 3-regular 3-uniform hypergraph.

A *blocking set* in a configuration of triples is a subset of V which intersects each block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ in 1 or 2 points.

The associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set the points V, with $u \sim v$ iff u and v appear in some block of the configuration.

The associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set the points V, with $u\sim v$ iff u and v appear in some block of the configuration.

 $A(\mathcal{X})$ is a 6-regular simple graph.

The neighbourhood of a vertex looks like:



The associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set the points V, with $u\sim v$ iff u and v appear in some block of the configuration.

 $A(\mathcal{X})$ is a 6-regular simple graph.

The neighbourhood of a vertex looks like:



The associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set the points V, with $u \sim v$ iff u and v appear in some block of the configuration.

 $A(\mathcal{X})$ is a 6-regular simple graph.

The neighbourhood of a vertex looks like:



The associated graph of the STS(7) is the complete graph K_7 .

The Levi graph or incidence graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set $V \cup \mathcal{B}$, with $v \sim B$ iff $v \in B$.

The Levi graph or incidence graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set $V \cup \mathcal{B}$, with $v \sim B$ iff $v \in B$.

The Levi graph is a cubic bipartite graph of order $2\boldsymbol{v}$ and girth at least 6.

The Levi graph or incidence graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set $V \cup \mathcal{B}$, with $v \sim B$ iff $v \in B$.

The Levi graph is a cubic bipartite graph of order $2\boldsymbol{v}$ and girth at least 6.

In fact, symmetric configurations of triples are (up to duality) in 1-1 correspondence with cubic bipartite graphs of minimum girth 6.

The Levi graph or incidence graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ has vertex set $V \cup \mathcal{B}$, with $v \sim B$ iff $v \in B$.

The Levi graph is a cubic bipartite graph of order $2\boldsymbol{v}$ and girth at least 6.

In fact, symmetric configurations of triples are (up to duality) in 1-1 correspondence with cubic bipartite graphs of minimum girth 6.

The Levi graph of the STS(7) is the Heawood graph:



Upper embedding

An *embedding* of a configuration $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a cellular embedding of the associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ in an orientable surface Σ , such that every block in \mathcal{B} bounds a face of the embedding.

Upper embedding

An *embedding* of a configuration $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a cellular embedding of the associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ in an orientable surface Σ , such that every block in \mathcal{B} bounds a face of the embedding.

These faces are *block faces*, and the remaining faces of the embedding are called *outer faces*. If such an embedding has exactly *one* outer face, then we speak about an *upper embedding* and call the configuration *upper embeddable*.

Upper embedding

An *embedding* of a configuration $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a cellular embedding of the associated graph $A(\mathcal{X})$ in an orientable surface Σ , such that every block in \mathcal{B} bounds a face of the embedding.

These faces are *block faces*, and the remaining faces of the embedding are called *outer faces*. If such an embedding has exactly *one* outer face, then we speak about an *upper embedding* and call the configuration *upper embeddable*.

Orientation of the triples is important. In principle, a configuration may be embeddable given one choice of orientations, but not another.



The number of points v is odd: V - E + F = 2 - 2g

The number of points v is odd:

V - E + F = 2 - 2g v - 3v + (v + 1) = 2 - 2g

The number of points v is odd:

V - E + F = 2 - 2g v - 3v + (v + 1) = 2 - 2g

Theorem (Jungerman 1978)

A configuration \mathcal{X} admits an upper embedding in some orientation if and only if its Levi graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ admits a spanning tree such that each of its co-tree components has an even number of edges.

The number of points v is odd: V - E + F = 2 - 2g v - 3v + (v + 1) = 2 - 2g

Theorem (Jungerman 1978)

A configuration \mathcal{X} admits an upper embedding in some orientation if and only if its Levi graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ admits a spanning tree such that each of its co-tree components has an even number of edges.

Theorem (Griggs, McCourt, Širáň 2019+)

Let \mathcal{X} be a configuration. If its Levi graph $G(\mathcal{X})$ admits a spanning tree such that every point vertex has even valency in the corresponding co-tree, then \mathcal{X} admits an upper embedding in every orientation of triples.

The spanning tree criteria are impractical as a method of determining embeddability of a given configuration.

The spanning tree criteria are impractical as a method of determining embeddability of a given configuration.

Theorem (E., Griggs, Širáň 2019+)



The spanning tree criteria are impractical as a method of determining embeddability of a given configuration.

Theorem (E., Griggs, Širáň 2019+)



The spanning tree criteria are impractical as a method of determining embeddability of a given configuration.

Theorem (E., Griggs, Širáň 2019+)



The spanning tree criteria are impractical as a method of determining embeddability of a given configuration.

Theorem (E., Griggs, Širáň 2019+)



The spanning tree criteria are impractical as a method of determining embeddability of a given configuration.

Theorem (E., Griggs, Širáň 2019+)

Let $\mathcal{X} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ be a symmetric configuration v_3 for some odd $v \ge 7$, and let G be its Levi graph. Suppose that there exists a subset S of V of size (v-1)/2 with the property that every block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ contains a point of S, and the subgraph of G induced by the points of S and all the blocks in \mathcal{B} is connected. Then \mathcal{X} is upper embeddable in every orientation.

Question: when does a dominating set S with these properties exist?



Results

v	# configs	no set
7	1	0
9	3	0
11	31	0
13	2,036	0
15	245,342	0
17	38,904,499	0
19	7,597,040,188	0
21	???	≥ 1

There is at least one configuration not embeddable in any orientation for any odd $v \geq 21.$

There are infinitely many configurations embeddable in every orientation.

A non-embeddable configuration on 21 points



A non-embeddable configuration on 21 points



A non-embeddable configuration on 21 points



A 3-chromatic 3-regular, 3-uniform hypergraph on 21 vertices (Bollobás/Harris 1985)



Open questions

The Bollobás/Harris construction of 3-chromatic hypergraphs is equivalent to blocking set-free configurations of triples. The existence of blocking set-free configurations on 20, 23, 24 or 26 points is open. What (if anything) is the link to our embeddability question?

Is our "cyclic stitching" construction in some sense the only thing that can generate non-embeddable configurations?

By a result of Archdeacon et al (2004) there is always a set of (v-1)/2 points which dominates the blocks. Is there a simple graph-theoretic property which would guarantee our connectedness criterion?

We have no examples of a configuration which is embeddable in some orientation but not all. Is this possible?