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Overview

- Why Requirements Evolution?
- Empirical Requirements Evolution: Two Industrial Case Studies
- Discussion and Remarks
- An Empirical Framework for Requirements Evolution
- Conclusions and Further Work
Requirements Evolution

- Fault recovery is *cost-effective* during requirements specification
- *Requirements changes* are due to many *stakeholders* and *environmental constraints*
- It is impossible to specify *right requirements* the first time
- *Environmental turbulence* gives rise to *requirements evolution*
- Requirements are *interdependent* on one another
Requirements Evolution

- Requirements Evolution is due to stakeholder interaction
- Evolution may tackle system degradation
- Evolution is more general than maintenance
- Requirements Evolution is a orthogonal concept to dependability
- Process to product (requirements) engineering
- Requirements Evolution: tradeoff among Business, Process and Product viewpoints
Empirical Requirements Evolution

- Empirical investigation of industrial case studies
  - Gathering
  - Measuring
  - Representing

- Linking Requirements Evolution to Dependability

- Requirements Evolution Engineering
Research Rationale

- Software Engineering
  - Iterative Development Processes
  - Software Evolution

- Requirements Engineering
  - Requirements Evolution

- Software Metrics

- Product Line Approaches
Two Industrial Case Studies

- An avionics case study
- A smart card case study
**An Avionics Case Study**

- Safety-critical case study
- Requirements evolution over 22 software releases
- DO-178B. Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification. RTCA.
  - Requirements changes: added, deleted and modified
  - Measure of requirements evolution
  - Orthogonal analysis: types of changes, functional analysis
  - Architecture stability
  - Functional requirements dependency
  - Graphical representation of Requirements Evolution
  - Evolving paths
The Safety-Critical Software Life Cycle
Development Activities and Deliverables

Requirements Elicitation
  →  System Requirements

System Process
  →  Software Functional Requirements

Software Design
  →  Software Design Documentation

Coding
  →  Code

Testing
  →  Test Data

Fault Report
  →  Test Data
Requirements Evolution
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## Type of Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Change</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td>add, delete, modification of requirements</td>
<td>Requirements are modified due to the specification process maturity and knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>explanation</td>
<td>The paragraphs that refer to a specific requirement are changed for clarity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rewording</td>
<td>The requirements itself does not change, but it could be reworded for clarity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>traceability</td>
<td>The traces to other deliverables are changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain Specific</strong></td>
<td>non-compliance</td>
<td>A requirement that is not applicable for a new software package. This is the case when the requirements specification is based on that one of a previous project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>partial compliance</td>
<td>A requirement that is applicable partially for a new software package. This is the case when the requirements specification is based on that one of a previous project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product Line</strong></td>
<td>hardware modification</td>
<td>Several changes are due to hardware modifications. This type of change applies usually to hardware dependent software requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>range modification</td>
<td>The range of the variables within the scope of a specific requirements is modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>add, delete, rename parameters/variables</td>
<td>The variables/parameters to which a specific requirement refers can change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Requirements Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter/Variable</td>
<td>Requirements refer to specific parameters or variable on which the statement is based on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function/Task</td>
<td>Requirements define functions or tasks, which have to be performed by the system, that are stated into specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement</td>
<td>Within the requirements specification there are statements to clarify the meaning of specific requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track</td>
<td>Each Requirement has tracks to the other project deliverables. These tracks identify parent origins within documents back in the design process and descendents within further documents (e.g., design specification and software code).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependence</td>
<td>Requirements can depend on hardware components, software components and other requirements them self. There could also be temporal (in terms of project stages) dependencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Avionics Case Study

Requirements Evolution
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A Requirements Evolution Framework
Size of Requirements

- Total Number of Requirements

# Requirements vs. Software Releases
Remark 1 (Size of Requirements)

The number of requirements tends to grow over the software releases.
Requirements Maturity Index

\[ RMI^1 = \frac{R_T - R_C}{R_T} \]

\(^1R_T = \text{number of software requirements in the current delivery} \; R_C = \text{number of software requirements in the current delivery that are added, deleted or modified from a previous delivery.}

Remark 2 (Requirements Maturity Index)

The Requirements Maturity Index can be misleading in assessing the readiness of requirements. The metrics user should always assess its applicability in the specific context.
Requirements Evolution - Functions View

- F1 is not likely to change. F1 defines the requirements for the system architecture.

- Functions that are likely to change during early software releases change less during later releases, and vice versa.
Remark 3 (Architecture)

The software requirements of the system architecture represent a stable part of the requirements. The likelihood of architecture changes is low and changes usually occur in early releases of the software, when they can still be accommodated with affordable costs.
Remark 4 (Requirements Dependencies)

Functions that are likely to change during early software releases change less during later releases, and vice versa. The evolution trends show some dependencies between requirements.
Requirements Evolution - Functions View

![Graph showing the relationship between cumulative requirements changes and requirements with software functions labeled F1 to F8.]

Software Function
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Remark 5 (Product Oriented Perspective)

Some functions are more likely to experience requirements change than others. For most of the functions there exists a linear relation between cumulative number of requirements changes and final number of requirements. The management of requirements changes could be improved by a product oriented perspective.
Functional Requirements Evolution
Functional Requirements Evolution
### A Classification of Requirements Evolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>single changes</td>
<td>Requirements changes are concentrated over a single software release</td>
<td>F1, F7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late changes</td>
<td>Requirements changes are likely to occur over late software releases</td>
<td>F5, F7, F8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early changes</td>
<td>Requirements changes are likely to occur over early software releases</td>
<td>F1, F2, F3, F4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spread changes</td>
<td>Requirements changes are likely to occur over any software releases</td>
<td>F2, F4, F6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fault Report - Requirements Changes
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Fault Report - Requirements Changes
## Fault Report - Requirements Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>single function</th>
<th>multiple functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>single release</strong></td>
<td>A fault report that requires changes into a single function and all changes are allocated to a single software release.</td>
<td>A fault report that requires changes into different functions and all changes are allocated to a single software release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>multiple releases</strong></td>
<td>A fault report that requires changes into a single function and all changes are allocated to subsequent software releases.</td>
<td>A fault report that requires changes into different functions and all changes are allocated to subsequent software releases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requirements Dependencies Matrix

F1 | F1
---|---
F2 | 2 | F2
F3 | 3 | F3
F4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | F4
F5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | F5
F6 | | | 1 | 1 | F6
F7 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | F7
F8 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 2 | F8
Modelling Requirements Evolution

- Graphical Representation of Requirements Evolution
  - easy to understand
  - easy to identify similarities and trends

- Capturing evolution throughout the life cycle

- Identification of patterns
  - trade-off among business, process and product viewpoints
## Requirements Changes

- **Add**: $Add[n]$ introduces a subset of $n$ new contiguous requirements ordered according to their index into the current requirements document.

- **Delete**: $Del[n]$ deletes a subset of $n$ contiguous requirements from the current requirements document.

- **Modify**: $Mod[n]$ modifies a subset of $n$ contiguous requirements into the current requirements document.
Evolution 1 - F1
Evolution 2 - F4
Formal Requirements Evolution

- Formal specification of the graphical model for Requirements Evolution
- Formal reasoning on Requirements Evolution
- Tool to support the methodology
A Smart Card Case Study

- **Security context**
- **Knowledge distribution and understanding within the organisation**
  - Interviews of people with different responsibilities
  - Requirements Engineering Questionnaire
  - Viewpoints analysis: Business, Process and Product
  - Requirements Evolution Viewpoints Management
- **Architecture stability**
- **Architecture implements security requirements**
- **Issues**: project visibility, measuring requirements evolution and software functions allocation
Business Viewpoint

Smart Card Case Study

Credit Cards
Pay-TV Cards
WAP Identity
Module Cards
GSM Cards
...

Customer

Bureau

Smart Card Systems

Smart Card System Requirements

Bureau Requirements

Smart Card Project

Customisation & Negotiation

Live?

NO

Production Subsequent Releases

YES

Customer Requirements
Process Viewpoint

- Change Request
  - Are Requirements Clear?
    - NO: Negotiation & Elicitation
    - YES: Software Development?
      - NO: Process Change Request
      - YES: Subsystems Analyses
        - Impact Report
          - Negotiation
            - Software Change Request
        - Software Development Life Cycle
          - Change Process End
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Product Viewpoint
Lessons Learned

- Requirements viewpoints should capture the hierarchical organisation and its granularity.
- Viewpoints need to be supported by different abilities (e.g., visibility, measurability and functionality).
- Engineering methodologies need to be calibrated in order to set a trade-off supporting good practice management and design.
- Function allocation is important to support reuse, compositionality and cross-projects orthogonal classification.
- The architecture represents a stable part of a system. It implements those requirements that find origin in the technical core of the business.
Requirements Engineering Questionnaire

1. Requirements Management Compliance
2. Business Tolerance Requirements
3. Business Performance Requirements
4. Requirements Elicitation
5. Requirements Analysis Negotiation
6. Requirements Validation
7. Requirements Management
8. Requirements Evolution & Maintenance
9. Requirements Process Deliverables
10. Requirements Description
11. System Modelling
12. Functional Requirements
13. Non Functional Requirements
14. Portability Requirements
15. System Interface
16. Requirements Viewpoints
17. Product-Line Requirements
18. Failure Impact Requirements
Requirements Viewpoints
Requirements Viewpoints
Discussion and Remarks [1/2]

- **Process** to **product** engineering
  - **Requirements Evolution**: tradeoff among **Business**, **Process** and **Product** viewpoints
  - Classification of industrial contexts
  - The extent to which organisations have flexible business depends on their ability to change their own **B-P-P** tradeoff

- **Measuring** Requirements Evolution
  - Gathering requirements evolution
  - Orthogonal Analysis
  - Functional requirements dependency
Discussion and Remarks [2/2]

- **Architecture stability**
  - Critical requirements should be associated to stable requirements
  - Stable requirements find origin in the business core

- **Requirements Evolution representation**
  - Structured requirements viewpoints
  - Requirements granularity
  - Extending traceability information
Empirical Framework

Analysis I + Analysis II = Empirical Framework

- General
- Taxonomy
- Measurement
- Product-oriented

- General
- Viewpoints
- Measurement
- Product-oriented

- General
- Taxonomy
- Viewpoints
- Measurement
- Product-oriented
Conclusions and Further Work

- **Requirements Evolution**: lecture key of industrial contexts
- **Product** features may enhance our ability in managing and specifying requirements
- **Product-line** Requirements Evolution
- **Measuring** Requirements Evolution
- **Modelling** Requirements Evolution
- **Formal Reasoning** on Requirements Evolution
- **Dependable** Requirements Evolution
Evolutionary Deliverables

- Quantitative and Qualitative Empirical Framework for Requirements Evolution
- Formal Requirements Evolution
  - Reasoning on Requirements Evolution
- Tools Implementation
- Dependable Requirements Evolution