Lecture 1 **Applied Databases** Handout 1. Introduction. Course Overview • Assessment 22 September 2010 • Introduction to Databases • The Relational Model • Case studies AD 1.1 General information **Times and places** http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/opb/ad Web page: Lab page: http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/hmueller/teaching/ad/ Lectures: Wednesdays, 0900-1050, Room S1, 7 George Square provisional – will move Labs: Wednesdays 1200-1300 and 1300-1400 AT 4.12 Lecturer: Peter Buneman opb at inf dot ed dot ac dot uk Room 5.15 Informatics Forum Other important times (please check): Friday, 22 October First assignment due Office hours: Tuesdays, 1pm-2pm. Second assignment due Friday, 12 November Nan Tang ntang at inf dot ed dot ac dot uk Final Assignment due Friday, 26 November Demonstrator: Room 5.38 Informatics Forum Office hours: TBA Other support DB admin support: pgsql-admin@inf.ed.ac.uk Please consult the web page for updates, course material, etc.

AD 1.2



Databases at Edinburgh Let's get to work: introduction to databases What is a Database? • e-Science centre • Digital Curation Centre • A *database* (DB) is a large, integrated collection of data. • Strongest DB research group in the UK • A DB models a real-world "enterprise" or collection of knowledge/data. • New DB courses: • A database management system (DBMS) is a software package designed to store and - Applied Databases manage databases. - Advanced Databases - Querving and Storing XML - Distributed Databases • Scottish Database Group email list (seminars) • Lots of consumers of DB technology (esp. bio/neuro-informatics) AD 1.8 AD 1.9 Why study databases? Why not "program" databases when we need them? For simple and small databases this is often the best solution. Flat files and grep get us a • Everybody needs them, i.e. \$\$\$ (or even £££). long way. • They are connected to most other areas of computer science: We run into problems when programming languages and software engineering (obviously) - algorithms (obviously) • The structure is complicated (more than a simple table) - logic, discrete math, and theory of comp. (essential for data organization and query languages). • The database gets large - "Systems" issues: concurrency, operating systems, file organization and networks. • Many people want to use it simultaneously • There are lots of interesting problems, both in database research and in implementation. Good design is always a challenge.

Example: A personal calendar

Of course, such things are easy to find, but let's consider designing the "database" component from scratch. We might start by building a file with the following structure:

What	When	Who	Where
Lunch	24/10 1pm	Fred	Curry House
CS123	25/10 9am	Dr. Egghead	Room 234
Biking	26/10 9am	Jane	Start at Jane's
Dinner	26/10 6pm	Jane	Cafe le Boeuf
•••		•••	•••

This text file is an easy structure to deal with (though it would be nice to have some software for parsing dates etc.) So there's no need for a DBMS.

AD 1.12

Problem 2. Efficiency

Probably a personal address book would never contain more than a few hundred entries, but there are things we'd like to do quickly and efficiently – even with our simple file. Examples:

- "Give me all appointments on 10/28"
- "When am I next meeting Jane?"

We would like to "program" these as quickly as possible.

We would like these programs to be executed efficiently. What would happen if you were maintaining a "corporate" calendar with hundreds of thousands of entries?

Problem 1. Data Organization

So far so good. But what about the "who" field? We don't just want a person's name, we want also to keep e-mail addresses, telephone numbers etc. Should we expand the file?

What	When	Who	Who-email	Who-tel	Where
Lunch	24/10 1pm	Fred	fred@abc.com	1234	Curry House
CS123	25/10 9am	Egghead	eggy@boonies.edu	7862	Room 234
Biking	26/10 9am	Jane	janew@xyz.org	4532	Start at Jane's
Dinner	26/10 6pm	Jane	janew@xyz.org	4532	Cafe le Boeuf

But this is unsatisfactory. It appears to be keeping our address book in our calendar and doing so *redundantly*.

So maybe we want to link our calendar to our address book. But how?

AD 1.13

Problem 3. Concurrency and Reliability

Suppose other people are allowed access to your calendar and are allowed to modify it? How do we stop two people changing the file at the same time and leaving it in a physical (or logical) mess?

Suppose the system crashes while someone is changing the calendar. How do we recover our work?

Example: You schedule a lunch with a friend, and your secretary *simultaneously* schedules lunch with your chairman?

You both see that the time is open, but only one will show up in the calendar. Worse, a "mixture" or corrupted version of the two appointments may appear.

Transactions **Concurrency continued** • Key concept for concurrency is that of a *transaction* – a sequence of database actions Suppose you deposit a cheque for $\pounds 100$ by mail and sometime later withdraw $\pounds 50$ from a cash machine. (read or write) that is considered as one indivisible action. • Key concept for recoverability is that of a log – a record of the sequence of actions that It might happen that two processes, *deposit* and *withdraw*, are simultaneously called: changed the database. • DBMSs are usually constructed with a client/server architecture. withdraw reads your balance into memory location M1. 1. 2. deposit reads your balance into memory location M2 Database Adminstrators withdraw subtracts £50 from M1. 3. Web servers, GUIs ADBS students 4. deposit adds £100 to M2. writes out M2 to your balance. 5. deposit withdraw writes out M1 to your balance. 6. Would you be happy? Transactions, SQL DBMS AD 1.16 AD 1.17 Database architecture – the traditional view **Three-Level Architecture** View 1 View 2 ··· View n It is common to describe databases in two ways: • The logical structure. What users see. The program or query language interface. **Conceptual Level** Schema • The physical structure. How files are organized. What indexing mechanisms are used. Further it is traditional to split the "logical" level into two components. The overall database design and the views that various users get to see. Physical Level This led to the term "three-level architecture" External (file organisation, memory indexing) AD 1.18 AD 1.19

The Relational Model

This 30-year old model is by far the most popular, but not the first, "logical" approach to databases.

In this lecture we are going to discuss relational query languages.

We'll discuss SQL, the widely used language for querying, updating and creating relational databases.

We'll also discuss a "implementation language": relational algebra into which SQL is translated. We need this to understand how optimisation works.

AD 1.20

What is a relational database?

As you probably guessed, it is a collection of *relations* or *tables*.

Munros:	MId	MName		Lat	Long	Hei	ght	Rating	5	
	1	The Saddl	The Saddle Ladhar Bheinn Schiehallion		5.384	101	10	4		
	2	Ladhar Bh			5.750	102	20	4		
	3	Schiehall			4.098	108	33	2.5		
	4	Ben Nevis	5	56.780	5.002	134	13	1.5		
Hikore	нта	HNamo	gki11	Arro	Clim	he ·	нта	мта	Date	Time
Hikers:	HId 123	HName	Skill	0	Clim	bs:	HId	MId	Date 10/10/88	Time
Hikers:	123	Edmund	EXP	80	Clim	bs:	123	1	10/10/88	5
Hikers:	123 214	Edmund Arnold	EXP BEG	80 25	Clim	bs:	123 123	1 3	10/10/88 11/08/87	5 2.5
Hikers:	123	Edmund	EXP	80	Clim	bs:	123	1	10/10/88	5
Hikers:	123 214	Edmund Arnold	EXP BEG	80 25	Clim	bs:	123 123	1 3	10/10/88 11/08/87	5 2.5

AD 1.21

Munros

- Sir Hugh Thomas Munro (1856—1919)
- Scottish mountaineer
- List of mountains in Scotland over 3,000 feet (914.4 m), known as the Munros.
- 283 Munros in total (in 2009)

Why is the database like this?

Each peak has an an id, a height, a latitude, a longitude, and a rating (how difficult it is.)

Each hiker has an id, a name, a skill level and an age.

A climb records who climbed what peak on what date and how long it took (time).

We will deal with how we arrive at such a design later. Right now observe that the data values in these tables are all "simple". None of them is a complex structure – like a tuple or another table.

Some Terminology **Describing Tables** Tables are described by a *schema* which can be expressed in various ways, but to a DBMS The column names of a relation/table are often called attributes or fields is usually expressed in a *data definition language* - something like a type system of a programming language. We'll discuss data definition languages along with database design The rows of a table are called *tuples* in lecture 2 Each attribute has values taken from a *domain*. Munros(MId:int, MName:string, Lat:real, Long:real, Height:int, Rating:real) For example, the domain of HName is string and that for Rating is real Hikers(HId:int, HName:string, Skill:string, Age:int) Climbs(HId:int, MId:int, Date:date, Time:int) Given a relation schema, we often refer to a table that conforms to that schema as an instance of that schema. Similarly, a set of relation schemas describes a database, and a set of conforming instances is an *instance* of the database. AD 1.24 AD 1.25 A Note on Domains Keys Relational DBMSs have fixed set of "built-in" domains, such as int, string etc. that are A key is a set of attributes that uniquely identify a tuple in a table. HId is a key for familiar in programming languages. Hikers; MId is a key for Munros. The built-in domains often include other useful domains like date but probably not, for Keys are indicated by underlining the attribute(s): example, degrees:minutes:seconds which in this case would have been be useful. (The Hikers(HId, Hname, Skill, Age) minutes and seconds were converted to fractions of a degree) What is the key for Climbs? One of the advantages of object-oriented and object-relational systems is that new domains A key is a *constraint* on the instances of a schema: given values of the key attributes, can be added, sometimes by the programmer/user, and sometimes they are "sold" by the there can be at most one tuple with those attributes. vendor. In the "pure" relational model an instance is a set of tuples. SQL databases allow multisets, Database people, when they are discussing design, often get sloppy and forget domains. and the definition of a key needs to be changed. They write, for example, Munros(MId, MName, Lat, Long, Height, Rating) We'll discuss keys in more detail when we do database design. AD 1.26 AD 1.27

Things to remember about SQL SQL Reading: R&G Chapter 5 • Although it has been standardised, few DBMSs support the full standard (SQL-92), and most DBMSs support some "un-standardised" features, e.g. asserting indexes, a Claimed to be the most widely used programming language, SQL can be divided into three programming language extension of the DML. parts: • SQL is large. When last I looked, the SQL-92 standard amounted to 1400 pages. Two • A Data Manipulation Language (DML) that enables us to query and update the reasons: database. - There is a lot of "other stuff". • A Data Definition Language (DDL) that defines the structure of the database and - SQL has evolved in an unprincipled fashion from a simple core language. imposes constraints on it. • Most SQL is generated by other programs — not by people. • Other stuff. Features for triggers, security, transactions . . . SQL has been standardised (SQL-92, SQL:99) AD 1.29 AD 1.28 **Basic Query Conceptual Evaluation Strategy** SELECT [DISTINCT] target-list • Compute the product of relation-list FROM relation-list • Discard tuples that fail qualification WHERE condition • Project over attributes in target-list • If DISTINCT then eliminate duplicates • relation-list: A list of table names. A table name may be followed by a "range variable" (an alias) This is probably a very bad way of executing the query, and a good query optimizer will • target-list: A list of attributes of the tables in relation-list: or expressions built on use all sorts of tricks to find efficient strategies to compute the same answer. these. • condition: Usually equality or comparisons. Some more elaborate predicates (e.g. string matching using regular expressions) are available. • DISTINCT: This optional keyword indicates that duplicates should be eliminated from the result. Default is that duplicates are not eliminated. AD 1.30 AD 1.31





What Does "Union Compatible" Mean?



- "Union-compatible" means the types as determined by the *order* of the columns must agree
- The column names are taken from the first operand.

AD 1.40

Nested Queries















Null Values

The value of an attribute can be unknown (e.g., a rating has not been assigned) or

Is Rating >3 true or false when Rating is null? How do AND, OR and NOT work on null?

inapplicable (e.g., does not have a telephone).

SQL provides a special value *null* for such situations. The presence of null complicates many issues. E.g.: Special operators needed to check if value is/is not null.

(C.f. lazy evaluation of AND and OR in programming languages.

Operations that generate null values

An example:

SELECT * FROM Hikers NATURAL LEFT OUTER JOIN Climbs gives

HId	HName	Skill	Age	MId	Date	Time
123	Edmund	EXP	80	1	10/10/88	5
123	Edmund	EXP	80	3	11/08/87	2.5
313	Bridget	EXP	33	1	12/08/89	4
214	Arnold	BEG	25	2	08/07/92	7
313	Bridget	EXP	33	2	06/07/94	5
212	James	MED	27	\perp	Ŧ	\perp

AD 1.57

Updates

There are three kinds of update: *insertions*, *deletions* and *modifications*.

Examples:

INSERT INTO R(a_1, \ldots, a_n) VALUES (v_1, \ldots, v_n); DELETE FROM R WHERE $\langle condition \rangle$; UPDATE R SET $\langle new-value \ assignments \rangle$ WHERE $\langle condition \rangle$;

Note: an update is typically a transaction, and an update may fail because it violates some integrity constraint.

Tuple Insertion

INSERT INTO Munros(MId, Mname, Lat, Long, Height, Rating)
VALUES (5, 'Slioch', 57.671, 5.341 981,3.5);

One can also insert sets. E.g., given MyPeaks(Name, Height)

INSERT INTO	MyPeaks(Name, Height)
SELECT	MName, Height
FROM	Munros
WHERE	Rating > 3

Note positional correspondence of attributes.

AD 1.58

Deletion

This is governed by a condition:

DELETE FROM Munros WHERE MName = 'Snowdon'

In general one deletes a set. Use a key to be sure you are deleting at most one tuple

Non-key values of a relation can be changed using UPDATE.

Example (global warming): UPDATE Munros SET Height = Height - 1 WHERE Lat < 5;

Old Value Semantics. Given

Emp	Manager	Salary
1	2	32,000
2	3	31,000
3	3	33,000

What is the effect of "Give a 2,000 raise to every employee earning less than their manager"?

Modifying Tuples

AD 1.60

Updating Views

This is a thorny topic. Since most applications see a view rather than a base table, we need some policy for updating views, but if the view is anything less than a "base" table, we always run into problems.

CREATE	VIEW	MyPeaks	
	AS	SELECT	MId, MName, Height
		FROM	Munros
		WHERE	Height > 1100

Now suppose we INSERT INTO MyPeaks (7, 'Ben Thingy', 1050). What is the effect on Munros? We can add nulls for the fields that are not in the view. But note that, if we do the insertion, the inserted tuple fails the selection criterion and does not appear in our view!!

SQL-92 allows this kind of view update. With queries involving joins, things only get worse. [R&G 3.6]

AD 1.62

Relational Algebra

R&S 4.1, 4.2

Rougly speaking SQL is optimised by translating queries into relational algebra.

This is a set of operations (functions) each of which takes a one or more tables as input and produces a table as output.

There are six basic operations which can be combined to give us a reasonably expressive database query language.

- Projection
- Selection
- Union
- Difference
- Rename
- Join

Projection

Given a set of column names A and a table R, $\pi_A(R)$ extracts the columns in A	A from
the table. Example, given Munros =	

MId	MName	Lat	Long	Height	Rating
1	The Saddle	57.167	5.384	1010	4
2	Ladhar Bheinn	57.067	5.750	1020	4
3	Schiehallion	56.667	4.098	1083	2.5
4	Ben Nevis	56.780	5.002	1343	1.5

 $\pi_{\text{MId,Rating}}(\text{Munros})$ is

MId	Rating
1	4
2	4
3	2.5
4	1.5

AD 1.64

Selection

Selection $\sigma_C(R)$ takes a table R and extracts those rows from it that satisfy the condition C. For example,

 $\sigma_{\tt Height > 1050}(\tt Munros) =$

Γ	MId	MName	Lat	Long	Height	Rating
	3	Schiehallion	56.667	4.098	1083	2.5
	4	Ben Nevis	56.780	5.002	1343	1.5

Projection – continued

Suppose the result of a projection has a repeated value, how do we treat it?



In "pure" relational algebra the answer is always a *set* (the second answer). However SQL and some other languages return a multiset for some operations from which duplicates may be eliminated by a further operation.

AD 1.65

What can go into a condition?

Conditions are built up from:

- Values, consisting of field names (Height, Age, ...), constants (23, 17.23, "The Saddle",)
- Comparisons on values. E.g., Height > 1000, MName = "Ben Nevis".
- Predicates constructed from these using \lor (or), \land (and), \neg (not). E.g. Lat > 57 \land Height > 1000.

It turns out that we don't lose any expressive power if we don't have compound predicates in the language, but they are convenient and useful in practice.

Set operations – union

If two tables have the same structure (Database terminology: are union-compatible. Programming language terminology: have the same type) we can perform set operations. Example:

Hikers =	HId	HName	Skill	Age	Climbers =	HId	HName	Skill	Age
	123	Edmund	EXP	80		214	Arnold	BEG	25
	214	Arnold	BEG	25		898	Jane	MED	39
	313	Bridget	EXP	33					
	212	James	MED	27					
					1			-	
		Hikers \cup (limbers		HName	Skil:	l Age		
				100		DVD.	00		

123	Edmund	EXP	80	
214	Arnold	BEG	25	
313	Bridget	EXP	33	
212	James	MED	27	
898	Jane	MED	39	

AD 1.68

AD 1.70

Set operations - set difference

We can also take the *difference* of two union-compatible tables:

Hikers - Climbers =	HId	HName	Skill	Age
	123	Edmund	EXP	80
	313	Bridget	EXP	33
	212	James	MED	27

N.B. In relational algebra "union-compatible" means the tables should have the same column names with the same domains. Remember that in SQL, union compatibility is determined by the *order* of the columns. The column names in $R \cup S$ and R - S are taken from the first operand, R.



Set operations - other

It turns out we can implement the other set operations using those we already have. For example, for any tables (sets) R, S

$$R \cap S = R - (R - S)$$

We have to be careful. Although it is mathematically nice to have fewer operators, this may not be an efficient way to implement intersection. Intersection is a special case of a join, which we'll shortly discuss.

Optimization – a hint of things to come

We mentioned earlier that compound predicates in selections were not "essential" to relational algebra. This is because we can translate selections with compound predicates into set operations. Example:

$$\sigma_{C \wedge D}(R) = \sigma_C(R) \cap \sigma_D(R)$$

However, which do you think is more efficient?

Also, how would you translate $R - \sigma_C(R)$?

Database Queries

Queries are formed by building up expressions with the operations of the relational algebra. Even with the operations we have defined so far we can do something useful. For example, select-project expressions are very common:

 $\pi_{\texttt{HName},\texttt{Age}}(\sigma_{\texttt{Age}>\texttt{30}}(\texttt{Hikers}))$

What is this in SQL?

Also, could we interchange the order of the σ and π ? Can we always do this?

As another example, how would you "delete" the hiker named James from the database?

AD 1.72

Product – continued

What happens when we form a product of two tables with columns with the same name?

Recall the schemas: Hikers(HId, HName, Skill, Age) and Climbs(HId, MId, Date, Time). What is the schema of Hikers × Climbs?

Various possibilities including:

- Forget the conflicting name (as in R&G) (__, HName,Skill, Age, __, MId, Date, Time). Allow positional references to columns.
- Label the conflicting colums with 1,2... (HId.1, HName,Skill, Age, HId.2, MId, Date, Time).

Neither of these is satisfactory. The product operation is no longer commutative (a property that is useful in optimization.)

AD 1.74

Joins

Join is a generic term for a variety of operations that connect two tables that are not union compatible. The basic operation is the *product*, $R \times S$, which concatenates every tuple in R with every tuple in S. Example:



AD 1.73

Natural join

For obvious reasons of efficiency we rarely use unconstrained products in practice.

A *natural join* (\bowtie) produces the set of all merges of tuples that agree on their commonly named fields.

HId	MId	Date		Time	_	HId HName		e	Skill		Age		
123 123 313 214	1 3 1 2	11/0 12/0 08/0	8/89 7/92	5 2.5 4 7	⊠	123 214 313 212	Edmu Arno Bridg James	nd ld get	EXI BEC EXI MEI	3	80 25 33 27	=	
313	2 HId	06/0 MId	Date		Time		ame Sk			Age	•		
	123 123	1 3	10/10/88 11/08/87		5 2.5	Edr	nund EXI nund EXI		,	80 80			
	313 214	1 2	08/0)8/89)7/92	4 7	Arr	idget 101d	EXF BEG		33 25			
	313	2	06/0	07/94	5	Bri	idget	EXF	' I	33			

Renaming To avoid using any positional information in relational algebra, we rename columns to avoid clashes $\rho_{A \to A', B \to B',...}(R)$ produces a table with column A relabelled to A', B to B'. etc. In practice we have to be aware of when we are expected to use a positional notation and when we use a labelled notation. Labelled notation is in practice very important for subtyping. A guery typically does not need to know the complete schema of a table. It will be convenient to roll renaming into projection (not in R&G) $\pi_{A \rightarrow A', B \rightarrow B', ...}(R)$ extracts the A, B, \ldots columns from R and relabels them to A', B', \ldots That is, $\pi_{A_1 \to A'_1, \dots, A_n \to A'_n}(R) = \rho_{A_1 \to A'_1, \dots, A_n \to A'_n}(\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R))$ AD 1.77 AD 1.76 Examples - cont The highest Munro(s) This is more tricky. We first find the peaks (their MIds) that are lower than some other peak. LowerIds = $\pi_{\text{MId}}(\sigma_{\text{Height}}, (\text{Munros} \bowtie \pi_{\text{Height}}, (\text{Munros})))$ Now we find the MIds of peaks that are not in this set (they must be the peaks with maximum height) $MaxIds = \pi_{MId}(Munros) - LowerIds$ Finally we get the names: $\pi_{MName}(MaxIds \bowtie Munros)$ Important note: The use of intermediate tables, such as LowerIds and MaxIds improves readability and sometimes, when implemented as views, efficiency, AD 1.78 AD 1.79

Natural Join – cont.

Natural join has interesting relationships with other operations. What is $R \bowtie S$ when

- R = S
- R and S have no column names in common
- R and S are union compatible

R&S also uses $R \bowtie_C S$ for $\sigma_C(R \bowtie_C S)$

In these notes we shall only use natural join. When we want a product (rather than a natural join) we'll use renaming . . .

Examples

The names of people who have climbed The Saddle.

 $\pi_{\text{HName}}(\sigma_{\text{MName="The Saddle"}}(\text{Munros} \bowtie \text{Hikers} \bowtie \text{Climbs}))$

Note the optimization to:

 $\pi_{\text{HName}}(\sigma_{\text{MName="The Saddle"}}(\text{Munros}) \bowtie \text{Hikers} \bowtie \text{Climbs})$

In what order would you perform the joins?

Examples – cont

The names of hikers who have climbed all Munros

We start by finding the set of HId,MId pairs for which the hiker has *not climbed* that peak. We do this by subtracting part of the Climbs table from the set of all HId,MId pairs.

NotClimbed = $\pi_{\text{HId}}(\text{Hikers}) \bowtie \pi_{\text{MId}}(\text{Munros}) - \pi_{\text{HId},\text{MId}}(\text{Climbs})$

The HIds in this table identify the hikers who have not climed *some* peak. By subtraction we get the HIds of hikers who have climbed all peaks:

 $\texttt{ClimbedAll} = \pi_{\texttt{HId}}(\texttt{Hikers}) - \pi_{\texttt{HId}}(\texttt{NotClimbed})$

A join gets us the desired information:

 $\pi_{\texttt{HName}}(\texttt{Hikers} \bowtie \texttt{ClimbedAll})$

AD 1.80

full-blown (Turing complete) programming language. We'll see how to do this later. But communicating with the database in this way may well be inefficient, and adding computational power to a query language remains an important research topic.

What we cannot compute with relational algebra

There are things that we cannot compute with relational algebra.

Aggregate operations. E.g. "The number of hikers who have climbed Schiehallion" or "The average age of hikers". These are possible in SQL which has numerous extensions to relational algebra.

Recursive queries. Given a table Parent(Parent, Child) compute the Ancestor table. This appears to call for an arbitrary number of joins. It is known that it cannot be expressed in first-order logic, hence it cannot be expressed in relational algebra.

Computing with structures that are not (1NF) relations. For example, lists, arrays, multisets (bags); or relations that are nested. These are ruled out by the relational data model, but they are important and are the province of object-oriented databases and "complex-object" /XML query languages.

Of course, we can always compute such things if we can talk to a database from a

AD 1.81

Review – Lecture 1

Readings: R&G Chapters 1 and 3

- Introduction. Why DBs are needed. What a DBMS does.
- 3-level architecture: separation of "logical" and "physical" layers.
- The relational model.
- Terminology: domains, attributes/column names, tables/relations, relational schema, instance, keys.
- SQL: basic forms and aggregation.
- Relational algebra: the 6 basic operations.
- Using labels vs. positions.
- Query rewriting for optimization.
- Limitations of relational algebra.