

# CCN Matlab Lab 3: the ‘ring model’

Peggy Seriès, pseries@inf.ed.ac.uk

February 17, 2008

## Introduction

The aim of this lab is to re-implement the classical ‘ring model’, as described by [1]. By doing so, the aim is to learn about firing rate models of neural activity, proposed architectures for cortical columns and attractor dynamics.

## 1 Setting the model up

We consider a model with  $N=50$  neurons. Each neuron is parametrized by a ‘preferred’ angle  $\theta_i$ , ranging from  $-\pi/2$  to  $\pi/2$ . When a bar of orientation  $\theta_0$  is presented, each neuron receives a visual input from the LGN,  $h_i^{ext}(\theta_0)$ , which depends on the similarity between  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_i$ :

$$h_i^{ext}(\theta_0) = c(1 - \epsilon + \epsilon \cos(2(\theta_i - \theta_0))) \quad (1)$$

When  $c$  represents the contrast of the stimulus, and  $\epsilon$  controls the selectivity of the input.

- Set  $\theta_0 = 0$ ,  $c = 3$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.1$ . Set `h_ext` to be a vector representing the input received by all the neurons in the population. Plot `h_ext`.

The relationship between the input and output of each neuron is described by an semilinear gain (or ‘activation’) function  $g(h)$ . The function is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} g(h) = 0 & \text{if } h \leq T \\ g(h) = \beta(h - T) & \text{if } T \leq h \leq T + 1/\beta \\ g(h) = 1 & \text{if } h \geq T + 1/\beta \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Write `g` as a matlab function  $y = g(h, \beta, T)$  where  $h$  can be a scalar or a vector and  $\beta$  and  $T$  are parameters.

- Set  $T = 1$  and  $\beta = 0.1$ . Plot  $g$  as a function of the input  $h$  when  $h$  is a scalar varying between 0 and 15.

The model neurons interact through recurrent connections. The weight  $J_{ij}$  between neurons  $i$  and  $j$  can be positive or negative depending on the difference between their preferred orientations  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta_j$ :

$$J_{ij} = -J_0 + J_2 \cos(2(\theta_i - \theta_j)) \quad (3)$$

- Choose  $J_0 = 86$  and  $J_2 = 112$ . Construct a 2D matrix  $J$  containing the connections weight  $J_{ij}$  between all pairs of neurons in the network. Plot  $J$  and check that it has the desired form.

## 2 Running the model

The dynamics of each neuron are governed by the following equations:

$$\tau_0 \frac{dm_i}{dt} = -m_i + g[h_i] \quad (4)$$

where  $m_i$  describes the activity of neuron  $i$ ,  $\tau_0$  is a time-constant and  $h_i$  is the input to neuron  $i$ .

### 2.1 Hubel and Wiesel Model

The simplest scenario for orientation tuning is based on the Hubel-Wiesel mechanism. In the context of our model, this scenario corresponds to the case where the only synaptic input is coming from the LGN (all  $J_{ij} = 0$ ) and this input is strongly anisotropic ( $\epsilon$  is large). So we set:

$$h_i(\theta_0) = h_i^{ext}(\theta_0) \quad (5)$$

and  $\epsilon = 0.9$ .

- Set  $\tau_0 = 5$  msec and  $c = 1.5$ . Implement these equations and run the model for 30 iterations, for a stimulus oriented at 0 deg.
- run the model again for  $c = 1.2$  and  $c = 4$  and plot the activity  $m$  at  $t=30$ . What do you observe?

## 2.2 Recurrent model, marginal phase.

Now we consider the full recurrent model, with  $J_{ij}$  specified as in equation 3. The input to each neuron is now defined by:

$$h_i(\theta_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} J_{ij} m_j + h_i^{ext}(\theta_0) \quad (6)$$

and we assume that the input from LGN is poorly tuned to orientation. We set  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .

- Implement these equations and run the model for 30 iterations, for a stimulus oriented at 0 deg with  $c = 1.2$ .
- Plot  $m$  at  $t=30$  and show how the responses of the network evolve in time. To do this, save the activity of the network at each time step in a 2D matrix **A** containing the activity of all neurons at each time step. Plot **A**.
- run the model again for  $c = 1.5$  and  $c = 4$ . Comment.
- run the model again for  $\epsilon = 0.01$ . Comment.

## 3 Experiment 1: changing the stimulus

- Set  $c = 8$  and  $\epsilon = 0.8$ . Run the network for 1000 iterations, while changing the stimulus orientation at the 30th iteration from  $\theta_0 = 0$  deg to  $\theta_0 = 60$  deg. Comment.

## 4 Experiment 2: removing the stimulus

- Set  $c = 1.5$  and  $\epsilon = 0.1$ . Run the model for 60 iterations, while removing the input  $h^{ext}$  after 30 iterations. Comment.

## 5 Experiment 3: adding noise in the input

Now we consider that the input to the model is noisy. We have

$$h_i^{ext}(\theta_0) = c(1 - \epsilon + \epsilon \cos(2(\theta_i - \theta_0))) + \eta_i \quad (7)$$

where  $\eta$  is a gaussian random variable, independent for each neuron, with mean 0 and variance equal to the mean  $c(1 - \epsilon + \epsilon \cos(2(\theta_i - \theta_0)))$ .

- Plot  $h_{ext}$ .
- Run the model for 30 iterations. Comment.

## References

- [1] R. Ben-Yishai, R.L. Bar-Or, and H. Sompolinsky. Theory of orientation tuning in visual cortex. 92:3844–3848, 1995.