

# A Canonical <sup>1</sup> Locally Named Representation of Binding

Randy Pollack

LFCS, University of Edinburgh

Masahiko Sato

Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University

Version of October 22, 2011

---

<sup>1</sup>  $\alpha$ -equivalence is identity

## Details of This Work Available from my Web Page

Available from <http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rpollack/>

- ▶ These slides
- ▶ Isabelle theory files: PollackSatoRicciotti\_IsabelleJAR.tgz
- ▶ Full paper in JAR: PollackSatoRicciottiJAR.pdf
- ▶ Full paper on previous work (in J. Symbolic Computation 45 (2010)): SatoPollack09.pdf

# Outline

Raw Syntax

Lambda Terms

Variable-Closed Sexprs

A Canonical Locally Named Representation

Adequacy of the Representation

Examples

Conclusion

# Outline

## Raw Syntax

### Lambda Terms

Variable-Closed Sexprs

A Canonical Locally Named Representation

### Adequacy of the Representation

### Examples

### Conclusion

## Pure Lambda terms: Raw Syntax, naive attempt

- ▶ Countable set  $\mathbb{X}$  of *atoms* used for *variables*:  $X, Y, Z$ .
  - ▶ Only relation needed on  $\mathbb{X}$  is decidable equality.
- ▶ Datatype of *pre-terms* ranged over by  $M, N, P, Q$ :

$$M ::= X \mid P \cdot Q \mid [X]M$$

- ▶ Unfortunately this datatype doesn't respect our idea of binding:
  - ▶  $[X]X \neq [Y]Y$  as elements of the datatype.
  - ▶ Structural induction doesn't give the right IH.
  - ▶ Substitution doesn't behave well on representatives.
- ▶ Some approaches:
  - ▶ quotient by  $\alpha$ -equivalence
  - ▶ work with carefully chosen representatives
  - ▶ do away with names completely
    - ▶ de Bruijn representation
    - ▶ Higher Order Abstract Syntax

## Distinct species of names

Why is it natural to identify bound names with free names?

- ▶ Example:  $\rightarrow$ -intro rule for simple types

$$\text{(INTRO)} \frac{\Gamma, X:A \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash [X]b : A \rightarrow B}$$

- ▶ 'X' really occurs in the premise.
- ▶ 'X' does not occur in the conclusion.

This suggests:

- ▶ Syntactically separate local (bound) variables from global (free) variables.
- ▶ Not a new idea: Frege, Gentzen and Prawitz all informally used different species of names.

## Syntax of locally named pre-terms for pure $\lambda$

As in McKinna/Pollack [TLCA 1993, JAR 1999].

- ▶ Countable set  $\mathbb{V}$  of atoms used for local *variables*:  $x, y, z$ .
- ▶ Countable set  $\mathbb{X}$  of atoms, used for global *parameters*:  $X, Y, Z$ .
  - ▶ Only relation needed on  $\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{X}$  is decidable equality.

Symbolic Expressions ( $\mathbb{S}$ ):

- ▶ Datatype of pre-terms ranged over by  $M, N, P, Q$ :

$$M ::= x \mid X \mid P \cdot Q \mid [x]M$$

- ▶ No way to bind global names,  $X$ .
- ▶ In general, may be other classes of variables, parameters and expressions
  - ▶ e.g. types and terms in System F.

## Occurrences of Names

- ▶ Occurrences of global names (parameters)
  - ▶  $X \# A$  means “ $X$  does not occur syntactically in  $A$ ”.
    - ▶ Easily defined by structural recursion
  - ▶ Corresponds to nominal freshness (also written  $\#$ ).



## Substitution, Concretely

- ▶ Concretely defined by *structural* recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M/X]x &= x \\
 [M/X]Y &= \text{if } X = Y \text{ then } M \text{ else } Y \\
 [M/X]N \cdot N &= ([M/X]N) \cdot [M/X]N \\
 [M/X]([x]N) &= [x][M/X]N
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Deterministic: no choosing arbitrary names.
  - ▶ Thus has natural properties; e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [X/X]M &= M. \\
 X \# M &\implies [P/X]M = M.
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Does not prevent capture**, e.g.  $[x/X][x]X = [x]x$ .
  - ▶ Will only be use in safe ways.
- ▶ Substitution is a B-algebra homomorphism; see Pollack and Sato (J. Symb. Comp.).

## Not Substitution: a purely technical operation

- ▶ Used to fill a “hole” (free variable) created by going under a binder.
- ▶ Defined by structural recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [M/y]x &= \text{if } y = x \text{ then } M \text{ else } x \\
 [M/y]X &= X \\
 [M/y]([x]N) &= [x](\text{if } y = x \text{ then } N \text{ else } [M/y]N) \\
 [M/y]N_1 \cdot N_2 &= ([M/y]N_1) \cdot [M/y]N_2
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Respects intended scope of binding.
- ▶ **Does not prevent capture**, e.g.  $[x/y][x]y = [x]x$ .

# Outline

Raw Syntax

Lambda Terms

Variable-Closed Sexprs

A Canonical Locally Named Representation

Adequacy of the Representation

Examples

Conclusion

## Overview: Symbolic expressions vs $\lambda$ -terms

Sexprs do not faithfully represent  $\lambda$ -terms for two reasons.

1. Local variables may appear unbound in sexprs.
  - ▶ 'x' is an sexpr, not intended to represent any  $\lambda$ -term.
  - ▶ Remark: 'X' is an sexpr representing a  $\lambda$ -term with one (particular) global variable.
  - ▶ The fix: select the set of sexprs with no unbound local variables.
    - ▶ Call this subset *vclosed* for *variable closed*.
2. Different sexprs in may represent the same  $\lambda$ -term.
  - ▶ '[x]x' and '[y]y'; **not canonical**.
  - ▶ The fix: select a canonical subset of *vclosed*.
    - ▶ Show that it is an adequate representation of  $\lambda$ -terms.

## Overview: Symbolic expressions vs $\lambda$ -terms

Sexprs do not faithfully represent  $\lambda$ -terms for two reasons.

1. Local variables may appear unbound in sexprs.
  - ▶ 'x' is an sexpr, not intended to represent any  $\lambda$ -term.
  - ▶ Remark: 'X' is an sexpr representing a  $\lambda$ -term with one (particular) global variable.
  - ▶ The fix: select the set of sexprs with no unbound local variables.
    - ▶ Call this subset *vclosed* for *variable closed*.
2. Different sexprs in may represent the same  $\lambda$ -term.
  - ▶ '[x]x' and '[y]y'; **not canonical**.
  - ▶ The fix: select a canonical subset of *vclosed*.
    - ▶ Show that it is an adequate representation of  $\lambda$ -terms.

## Overview: Symbolic expressions vs $\lambda$ -terms

Sexprs do not faithfully represent  $\lambda$ -terms for two reasons.

1. Local variables may appear unbound in sexprs.
  - ▶ 'x' is an sexpr, not intended to represent any  $\lambda$ -term.
  - ▶ Remark: 'X' is an sexpr representing a  $\lambda$ -term with one (particular) global variable.
  - ▶ The fix: select the set of sexprs with no unbound local variables.
    - ▶ Call this subset *vclosed* for *variable closed*.
2. Different sexprs in may represent the same  $\lambda$ -term.
  - ▶ '[x]x' and '[y]y'; **not canonical**.
  - ▶ The fix: select a canonical subset of *vclosed*.
    - ▶ Show that it is an adequate representation of  $\lambda$ -terms.

## Variable-Closed Sexprs

A predicate meaning “no free variables”.

$$\frac{}{vclosed\ X} \qquad \frac{vclosed\ M \quad vclosed\ N}{vclosed\ M \cdot N} \qquad \frac{vclosed\ M}{vclosed\ [x][x/X]M}$$

- ▶ *vclosed* terms have no unbound local variables.
- ▶ An abstraction is *vclosed* when . . . .
- ▶ Every parameter is *vclosed* and no variable is *vclosed* .
- ▶ Use *vclosed* induction instead of *sexpr* structural induction . . .
- ▶ . . . **no case for unbound variables.**

## Variable-Closed and Substitution

- ▶ Operations  $[M/X]N$  and  $[M/x]N$  are capture free on  $vclosed$ .
  - ▶ There are no free local names to get captured!
- ▶  $vclosed$  is trivially closed under substitution:

$$vclosed M \wedge vclosed N \implies vclosed [M/X]N$$

- ▶ Think of  $vclosed$  as a “weak typing judgement”.
  - ▶  $vclosed$  terms behave well for substitution, just as well-typed terms behave well for computation.



## Applications

- ▶ *vclosed* representation used in a big formalisation of type theory [McKinna/Pollack, TLCA'93].
- ▶ One other central idea is required for large scale applications:

### **Topic for Another Talk: Strengthened Induction and Inversion**

Many papers:

- ▶ McKinna/Pollack [TLCA'93] [JAR 1999].
- ▶ Ademir, Chargueraud, Pierce, Pollack and Weirich [POPL'08]
- ▶ Berghofer, Norrish and Urban [CADE'07] *Barendregt's Variable Convention in Rule Inductions*.
- ▶ Urban and Pollack [WMM'07] *Strong Induction Principles in the Locally Nameless Representation of Binders*.

## Limitations of *vclosed* representation

- ▶ Feasible way to work with *representatives* of  $\alpha$  classes ...
  - ▶ Never needed to define  $\alpha$ -conversion in [McKinna/Pollack].
  - ▶ Can prove parallel- $\beta$ -conversion is confluent.
- ▶ ... but Church–Rosser for  $\beta$ -reduction fails on-the-nose for *vclosed*.
  - ▶ If we wanted to reason about  $\beta$ -reduction we would need to reason about  $\alpha$ -conversion.
- ▶ *vclosed* representation not canonical.

## A Canonical Locally Named Representation

- ▶ Consider again the *vclosed* rules:

$$\frac{}{vclosed X} \quad \frac{vclosed M \quad vclosed N}{vclosed M \cdot N} \quad \frac{vclosed M}{vclosed [x][x/X]M}$$

Local variable 'x' not determined in the rule for abstraction.

- ▶ To define a canonical subset  $\mathbb{L}_F$ , **choose 'x' deterministically**:

$$\frac{}{X : \mathbb{L}_F} \quad \frac{M : \mathbb{L}_F \quad N : \mathbb{L}_F}{M \cdot N : \mathbb{L}_F} \quad \frac{M : \mathbb{L}_F \quad x = F_X(M)}{[x][x/X]M : \mathbb{L}_F}$$

parameterized by a **height function**  $F : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ .

- ▶ Clearly  $M : \mathbb{L}_F \implies vclosed M$ , so substitution is capture free.
- ▶ **Not obvious that  $\mathbb{L}_F$  is closed under substitution.**
- ▶ Still to do: **specify  $F$  such that  $\mathbb{L}_F$  well behaved.**

## Improve Notation

- ▶ Everything is parameterised by a height function  $F$ ; drop explicit subscript.
- ▶ Considering rule:

$$\frac{M : \mathbb{L} \quad x = F_X(M)}{[x][x/X]M : \mathbb{L}}$$

define “abstraction”:

$$\text{abs}_X M \triangleq [F_X(M)][F_X(M)/X]M.$$

- ▶ Abstraction rule can now be written more abstractly.

$$\frac{}{X : \mathbb{L}} \qquad \frac{M : \mathbb{L} \quad N : \mathbb{L}}{M \cdot N : \mathbb{L}} \qquad \frac{M : \mathbb{L}}{\text{abs}_X M : \mathbb{L}}$$

- ▶  $\text{abs}_X M$  will behave like informal ‘ $\lambda X.M$ ’.
  - ▶  $X$  does not occur in  $\text{abs}_X M$ ;  $\text{abs}_X X \equiv \text{abs}_Y Y$ .

## A Datatype of Lambda Terms?

Assume good properties for  $F$  (to be discussed below).

- ▶  $\mathbb{L}$  can be formalized as a **type**
  - ▶  $\mathbb{L}$  is a decidable predicate on  $\mathbb{S}$  ;
    - ▶ can be a proof irrelevant  $\Sigma$ -type in Type Theory.
  - ▶  $\mathbb{L}$  is a non-empty predicate on  $\mathbb{S}$  ;
    - ▶ can be a defined type in HOL.
- ▶ But no amount of clever indexing  $\dots$ , inductive-recursive  $\dots$  can make  $\mathbb{L}$  into a **datatype**  $\dots$
- ▶  $\dots$  because ‘abs’ isn’t injective.

Another topic for another talk.

## Three Good Properties of $F : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$

(HE)  $F$  is equivariant: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation over  $\mathbb{X}$ , then

$$M : \mathbb{L} \implies F_X(M) = F_{\pi \cdot X}(\pi \cdot M).$$

(HP)  $F$  is preserved by substitution:

$$M : \mathbb{L} \wedge Q : \mathbb{L} \wedge X \neq Y \wedge X \# Q \implies F_X(M) = F_X([Q/Y]M).$$

(HF)  $F_X(M)$  does not occur in binding position on any path from the root of  $M$  to any occurrence of  $X$  in  $M$ .

$$M : \mathbb{L} \implies F_X(M) \notin E_X(M)$$

where  $E_X(M) : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow (\mathbb{V} \text{ set})$  is defined:

$$\begin{aligned} E_X(\alpha) &\triangleq \{\} && \text{if } \alpha \text{ is atomic} \\ E_X(M \cdot N) &\triangleq E_X(M) \cup E_X(N) \\ E_X([x]M) &\triangleq \begin{cases} \{\} & \text{if } X \# M \\ \{x\} \cup E_X(M) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

## Consistency and independence of goodness

- ▶ **Consistency**: A good height function exists.
- ▶ **Independence**: No two of (HE), (HP) and (HF) imply the third.

**Proof** by examples; see JAR paper.

## $\text{abs}_x M$ behaves like abstraction should

We develop a theory of good  $F$ . For example:

- ▶ From (HE)

$$“\lambda X.M \overset{\alpha}{\sim} \lambda Y.N” \implies \text{abs}_x M = \text{abs}_y N$$

- ▶ From (HF)

$$\text{abs}_x M = \text{abs}_y N \implies “\lambda X.M \overset{\alpha}{\sim} \lambda Y.N”$$

- ▶ From (HP), substitution “under a binder”

$$X \neq Y \wedge X \# Q \implies [Q/Y]\text{abs}_x M = \text{abs}_x [Q/Y]M$$

Together, (HE), (HF) and (HP) show ‘ $\text{abs}$ ’ behaves correctly for  $\alpha$ -conversion and substitution.



# Outline

Raw Syntax

Lambda Terms

Variable-Closed Sexprs

A Canonical Locally Named Representation

Adequacy of the Representation

Examples

Conclusion

## Is the representation “adequate”?

**Formal vs informal** Relationship between a formal thing and an informal thing is not formalizable.

**Formal vs formal** Adequacy of representation of one formal thing by another formal thing depends on which properties we intend to preserve.

We show formally that  $\mathbb{L}$  is an adequate representation of pure  $\lambda$ -terms in Nominal Isabelle.

- ▶ Let  $A, B, C$  range over nominal terms

$$A ::= X \mid B \cdot C \mid [X]A$$

- ▶ One more definition: ‘instantiation’ (nominal and Sato terms).

$$([x]M) \nabla N \triangleq [N/x]M \qquad ([X]A) \blacktriangledown B \triangleq A[X ::= B]$$

## Isomorphism with Nominal Lambda Terms

- Define a *representation function* by “structural recursion”:

$$\begin{aligned} !X &\triangleq X \\ !(A \cdot B) &\triangleq !A \cdot !B \\ ![X]A &\triangleq \text{abs}_X !A \end{aligned}$$

- Need (HE) (F equivariant), to show ! is a function.
- Assuming F is good, ! is a bijection that preserves substitution and instantiation:

$$\begin{aligned} M : \mathbb{L} &\implies \exists A. !A = M && ! \text{ is surjective,} \\ !A = !B &\implies A = B && ! \text{ is injective,} \\ !(A[X ::= B]) &= [!B/X]!A && ! \text{ respects substitution,} \\ !([X]A) \blacktriangledown Y &= (\text{abs}_X !A) \blacktriangledown Y && ! \text{ respects instantiation} \\ &&& \text{by parameters.} \end{aligned}$$

## A Converse

- ▶ Assume  $\alpha$  is a bijection that preserves instantiation. Then (HE), (HP) and (HF) hold.
- ▶ (Thus preservation of substitution is not independent of the other properties. There is still something to figure out here.)

# Outline

Raw Syntax

Lambda Terms

Variable-Closed Sexprs

A Canonical Locally Named Representation

Adequacy of the Representation

Examples

Conclusion

Example:  $\beta$ -reduction

$$\frac{P : \mathbb{L} \quad N : \mathbb{L}}{(\text{abs}_X P) \cdot N \rightarrow (\text{abs}_X P) \nabla N} \quad (\beta)$$

$$\frac{M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \quad N : \mathbb{L}}{M_1 \cdot N \rightarrow M_2 \cdot N} \quad \frac{M : \mathbb{L} \quad N_1 \rightarrow N_2}{M \cdot N_1 \rightarrow M \cdot N_2}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow N}{\text{abs}_X M \rightarrow \text{abs}_X N} \quad (\xi)$$

- ▶  $\rightarrow$  is well behaved, e.g.
  - ▶  $\rightarrow$  is equivariant.
  - ▶ Reduction and well-formedness:  $M \rightarrow N \implies M : \mathbb{L} \wedge N : \mathbb{L}$ .
  - ▶ Reduction respects representation:  $A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow !A \rightarrow !B$

## Example: Multivariate Lambda Calculus (Pottinger)

Formalized by Wilmer Ricciotti in Matita. Details in JAR paper.

- ▶ A single lambda may bind a list of variables.
- ▶  $\lambda xy.x \neq \lambda x.\lambda y.x$ .
- ▶ Redexes have the form  $(\lambda x_0 \dots x_n.M)N_1 \dots N_n$ .
- ▶ Contraction is by simultaneous substitution.
- ▶ Why? Matches combinator calculus
  - ▶ reduction waits for enough arguments.

## Multivariate Calculus in Sato style

- ▶ Symbolic expressions: **values**  $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{S}$ ; **expressions**  $\mathbb{S}$ ; **lists**  $\_s$ .

$$\frac{}{X : \mathbb{V}\mathbb{S}} \quad \frac{}{(x, n) : \mathbb{V}\mathbb{S}} \quad \frac{M : \mathbb{S}}{[x, n]M : \mathbb{V}\mathbb{S}} \quad \frac{A : \mathbb{V}\mathbb{S} \quad N_s : \mathbb{S}s}{A \cdot N_s : \mathbb{S}}$$

- ▶ Abstraction carries length of abstracted list.
- ▶ Local variable carries index into abstracted list.
- ▶ Coerce values to expressions by application to empty list.

BTW, This approach works in locally nameless too.



## Well Formed Multivariate terms

- ▶ Height function abstracts a list of names atomically:  $\mathbb{X}s \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$
- ▶ Let  $Xs = [X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ , and  $f = F_{Xs}(M) : \mathbb{V}$  for some height function  $F$ .
- ▶ Let  $M = \dots X_i \dots X_j \dots$  contain some of the  $X_j$ .
- ▶ Abstraction is defined:

$$\text{abs}_{Xs} M = [f, n] \dots (f, i) \dots (f, j) \dots$$

- ▶ Well formedness:

$$\frac{}{X : \mathbb{V}L_F} \quad \frac{M : L_F}{\text{abs}_{Xs} M : \mathbb{V}L_F} \quad \frac{A : \mathbb{V}L_F \quad \forall N \in Ns. N : L_F}{A \cdot Ns : L_F}$$

## Beta Reduction

- ▶ Congruence rules are straightforward, e.g.

$$\frac{A \rightarrow A' \quad N : \mathbb{L}}{A \cdot N \rightarrow A' \cdot N} \qquad \frac{M \rightarrow N}{\text{abs}_{X_s} M \rightarrow \text{abs}_{X_s} N} \quad (\xi)$$

- ▶  $\beta$ -rule:
  - ▶ applications to too short a vector do not contract, but ...
  - ▶ applications to too long a vector do contract:

$$\frac{M : \mathbb{L} \qquad N_s = N_s^a @ N_s^b \qquad \forall N \in N_s. N : \mathbb{L} \qquad \text{length}(X_s) = \text{length}(N_s^a) \qquad (\text{abs}_{X_s} M) \nabla N_s^a = A \cdot Q_s}{(\text{abs}_{X_s} M) \cdot N_s \rightarrow A \cdot (Q_s @ N_s^b)} \quad (\beta)$$

With some messy details, multivariate  $\lambda$ -calculus works.

- ▶ Bind a list of names atomically.
- ▶ Simultaneous substitution.

# Outline

Raw Syntax

Lambda Terms

Variable-Closed Sexprs

A Canonical Locally Named Representation

Adequacy of the Representation

Examples

Conclusion

## Conclusion

- ▶ Canonical name-carrying representation of binding.
- ▶ Well formed terms: inductively defined subset of a datatype.
  - ▶ All definitions by structural recursion.
  - ▶ All constructors injective.
- ▶ More beautiful than [McKinna/Pollack, TLCA'93] ...
  - ▶ ... ours is canonical.
- ▶ More beautiful than locally nameless [Ayedemir et al., POPL'08]
  - ▶ ... name carrying, no indexes.
- ▶ Light infrastructure.
  - ▶ Formalisable in intensional constructive logic in a few days.
- ▶ **Large scale use still requires infrastructure.**
  - ▶ Nominal Isabelle package provides some free automation.