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O’Connor [6] made the simple but very useful observation with deep consequences that the (very well-behaved) lenses à la Foster et al. [3] are nothing but coalgebras of the array comonads of Power and Shkaravska [7].

The put operation in these lenses is quite rigid in that a whole new view is merged into the source, there is no flexibility for speaking about small changes to the view. We advocate a generalization that is as simple as O’Connor’s, but offers also this flexibility. The idea is to introduce updates (or changes, deltas, edits) that can be composed and applied to views. The generalization derives from the work on directed containers of Ahman et al. [1].

A lens in our generalized sense—an update lens—is parameterized by a fixed set \( S \) (of views), a monoid \( (P, o, \oplus) \) (of updates) and an action \( \downarrow \) of the monoid on the set (describing the outcome of applying any given update on any given view).

These data, sometimes collectively called an act, define a comonad \( (D, \varepsilon, \delta) \) by

\[
DX = S \times (P \rightarrow X)
\]

We define an update lens to be a coalgebra of this comonad. This is the same as having a set \( X \) and maps \( \text{lkp} : X \rightarrow S \) and \( \text{upd} : X \times P \rightarrow X \) satisfying the conditions

\[
\text{upd} (x, o) = x \\
\text{upd} (\text{upd} (x, p), p') = \text{upd} (x, p \oplus p') \\
\text{lkp} (\text{upd} (x, p)) = \text{lkp} x \downarrow p
\]

To have an update lens turns out to be equivalent to having a functor \( R \) from \( \langle\langle S, (P, o, \oplus) \downarrow \rangle\rangle \) to \( \text{Set} \). Here \( \langle\langle S, (P, o, \oplus) \downarrow \rangle\rangle \) is the category where an object is an element of \( S \), a map between \( s, s' : S \) is an element of \( p \) such that \( s \downarrow p = s' \), the identity on an object \( s \) is \( o \) and the composition of two maps \( p, p' \) is \( p \oplus p' \).

An act \( S, (P, o, \oplus), \downarrow \) also defines a monad \( (T, \eta, \mu) \) by \( TX = S \rightarrow P \times X \) (a compatible combination of the reader monad for \( S \) and the writer monad for \( (P, o, \oplus) \)) that we have elsewhere [2] called the update monad. The algebras of this monad and update lenses model resp. comodel the same Lawvere theory.

Ordinary lenses for \( S \) are canonically related to update lenses for the act \( (S, (P, o, \oplus), \downarrow) \) where \( (P, o, \oplus) \) is the free monoid on the “overwrite” semigroup structure on \( S \).

The algebraic treatment of ordinary lenses by Johnson et al. [5], compared to O’Connor’s coalgebraic account by Gibbons and Johnson [4], extends to update lenses. The action \( \downarrow \) defines a lifting of the writer monad for \( (P, o, \oplus) \) to category \( \text{Set}/S \). An update lens is essentially the same as an algebra of this lifted monad.
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