Why I'm always late!

PASTA 2005

Jeremy Bradley Tom Thorne

Email: jb@doc.ic.ac.uk

Department of Computing, Imperial College London

Produced with prosper and LATEX

Something about genes...



Biological Circadian Clock Model



Vilar oscillations of *A*



Stochastic π -Calculus model

$$\begin{split} D_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{A_{\gamma_A}}.AD_A + \tau_{\alpha_A}.(D_A \mid M_A) \\ AD_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\theta_A}.(D_A \mid A) + \tau_{\alpha_{A'}}.(AD_A \mid M_A) \\ D_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{R_{\gamma_R}}.AD_R + \tau_{\alpha_R}.(D_R \mid M_R) \\ AD_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\theta_R}.(D_R \mid A) + \tau_{\alpha_{R'}}.(AD_R \mid M_R) \\ M_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\delta_{MA}}.\emptyset + \tau_{\beta_A}.(M_A \mid A) \\ M_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\delta_{MR}}.\emptyset + \tau_{\beta_R}.(M_R \mid R) \\ A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{A_{\gamma_A}}.\emptyset + bind_{R_{\gamma_R}}.\emptyset + bind_{C_{\gamma_C}}.\emptyset + \tau_{\delta_A}.\emptyset \\ R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{C_{\gamma_C}}.C + \tau_{\delta_R}. \\ C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\delta_A}.R \end{split}$$

Circadian clock: as a Petri net!









































Modelling with $\pi\text{-}Calculus$



Stochastic π -Calculus model

$$\begin{split} D_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{A_{\gamma_A}}.AD_A + \tau_{\alpha_A}.(D_A \mid M_A) \\ AD_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\theta_A}.(D_A \mid A) + \tau_{\alpha_{A'}}.(AD_A \mid M_A) \\ D_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{R_{\gamma_R}}.AD_R + \tau_{\alpha_R}.(D_R \mid M_R) \\ AD_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\theta_R}.(D_R \mid A) + \tau_{\alpha_{R'}}.(AD_R \mid M_R) \\ M_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\delta_{MA}}.\emptyset + \tau_{\beta_A}.(M_A \mid A) \\ M_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\delta_{MR}}.\emptyset + \tau_{\beta_R}.(M_R \mid R) \\ A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{A_{\gamma_A}}.\emptyset + bind_{R_{\gamma_R}}.\emptyset + bind_{C_{\gamma_C}}.\emptyset + \tau_{\delta_A}.\emptyset \\ R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} bind_{C_{\gamma_C}}.C + \tau_{\delta_R}. \\ C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau_{\delta_A}.R \end{split}$$

PEPA model: Circadian Clock

$$D_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (bind_{AD_A}, \gamma_A).AD_A + (mk_{MA}, \alpha_A).D_A$$
$$AD_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (unbind_{AD_A}, \theta_A).D_A + (mk_{MA}, \alpha_{A'}).AD_A$$

PEPA model: Circadian Clock

$$D_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (bind_{AD_A}, \gamma_A).AD_A + (mk_{MA}, \alpha_A).D_A$$
$$AD_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (unbind_{AD_A}, \theta_A).D_A + (mk_{MA}, \alpha_{A'}).AD_A$$
$$M'_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (mk_{MA}, \top).M_A$$
$$M_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (decay_{M_A}, \delta_{MA}).M'_A + (mk_A, \beta_A).M_A$$

PEPA model: Circadian Clock

$$D_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (bind_{AD_A}, \gamma_A).AD_A + (mk_{MA}, \alpha_A).D_A$$
$$AD_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (unbind_{AD_A}, \theta_A).D_A + (mk_{MA}, \alpha_{A'}).AD_A$$

$$M'_{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (mk_{MA}, \top).M_{A}$$
$$M_{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (decay_{MA}, \delta_{MA}).M'_{A} + (mk_{A}, \beta_{A}).M_{A}$$

$$A' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (mk_A, \top).A$$

• • •

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (bind_{AD_A}, \gamma_A) . A_{D_A} + (bind_{AD_R}, \gamma_R) . A_{D_R} + (bind_{AR}, \gamma_C) . A_C + (decay_A, \delta_A) . A'$$

Results: π **v PEPA**



Results: π **v PEPA**



Results: π **v PEPA**



Limiting protein A: PEPA



Limiting protein A: PEPA



Question...

- If *A* restriction makes the clock fire early:
- ...Why am I late...?
- Inot enough R perhaps?

Limiting protein R: PEPA



Limiting protein R: PEPA



The Nature of Synchronisation (in Nature)

- The type of synchronisation/reaction between sets of molecules determines:
 - ODE translation
 - stochastic simulaton
- Synchronisation/reaction rate is affected by:
 - Location of molecules
 - Shape of molecules
 - How molecules are moving during reaction phase

- Reaction between e.g. well-mixed fluids and gases
- Molecules diffuse (Brownian motion)
- Molecules can potentially react with any other co-reagant molecule
- Example reaction:

$$A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda} AB$$

Initially m A molecules, n B molecules



JTB [5/09/2005] - p.17/27







Total number of possible interactions: *mn*



• Total number of actual AB products: $\min(m, n)$

JTB [5/09/2005] - p.18/27

Synchronisation: Local action

- Reaction between e.g. surface of two solids, two jellies, two very viscous fluids
- No molecule diffusion
- Molecules react with closest local neighbour
- No reaction competition
- Example reaction:

 $A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda} AB$

Initially m A molecules, n B molecules

Synchronisation: Local action



• Total number of possible reactions: $\min(m, n)$

Synchronisation: Local action



• Total number of *AB* products: $\min(m, n)$

- Reaction catalysed by one or more passive molecules
- Heavily spatially dependent on catalyst shape/configuration
- Example reaction:

$$A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda} A + B'$$

Initially 1 A molecule, n B molecules



• Total number of possible reactions: I(m > 0) n

Synchronisation: Catalyst



• Total number of B' products: I(m > 0) n

Synchronisation and ODEs

- For a reaction, starting: $A + B \xrightarrow{\lambda}$
 - Mass action leads to ODEs of form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}[A] = -\lambda[A][B]$$

Local action leads to ODEs of form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}[A] = -\lambda \min([A], [B])$$

Passive action leads to ODEs of form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}[A] = -\lambda I([A] > 0) [B]$$

Synchronisation and SPA

Local action maps well onto active synchronisation in PEPA

$$Sys \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A[m] \Join_{\{a\}} B[n]$$
$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a, \lambda) \cdot A'$$
$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a, \lambda) \cdot B'$$

Synchronisation and SPA

Passive action maps well onto passive synchronisation in PEPA

$$Sys \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A[m] \Join_{\{a\}} B[n]$$
$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a, \lambda) . A'$$
$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a, \top) . B'$$

Mass action, until now, has not been used in SPA world (not TIPP!)