Crowd simulation



Taku Komura

Animating Crowds



- We have been going through methods to simulate individual characters
- What if we want to simulate the movement of crowds?
  - Pedestrians in the streets
  - Flock of birds, school of fishes
  - People in panic

Why need a crowd simulation ?



- Shooting scenes with many people
  - Expensive to hire many extra actors
  - We don’t see the details : use simple computer-based models
- Security reasons:
  - Need to evaluate the safety of buildings

Overview



- Agent based Methods
  - Flocking
  - Intention generator
  - Creating scenes with human crowds
  - Patch-based methods
    - Create scenes by building blocks
  - (Local) Collision avoidance
    - Explicit model
    - Velocity obstacle, reciprocal velocity obstacle
- Global methods (Continuum crowds)







Flocking Models – Most basic agent model (Reynolds ’87)

- The agents interact based on simple dynamics
- Good to simulate
  - Flock of birds flying,
  - School of fishes swimming

Forces applied to individuals



- Separation
- Alignment
- Cohesion
- Avoidance

Separation



- Try to avoid running into local flock-mates
  - Use a *perception volume* to limit visible flock-mates

$$\mathbf{F_s} = \sum_{i \in S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_i}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_i}\|^2} \right)$$



  - S : surrounding entities

Separation:
Fly away away from neighbors that are "too close"

Alignment



- Try to fly in same direction as local flock-mates
  - Gets everyone flying in the same direction



$$\mathbf{F}_A = \sum_{i \in S} \left(\dot{\mathbf{x}}_\mathbf{i} - \dot{\mathbf{x}} \right).$$

Alignment: steer toward average velocity

Cohesion



- Try to move toward the average position of local flock-mates
  - Spaces everyone out evenly,
  - keep boundary members toward the group

$$\mathbf{F}_C = \sum_{i \in S}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{x_i}}{N} - \mathbf{x}$$



Cohesion: steer toward average position

Avoidance



- Try to avoid obstacles
  - The closer the character is, the stronger the force

$$\mathbf{F}_O = \frac{\mathbf{N}}{D(O, \mathbf{x})}$$

$\mathbf{N}$: the normal vector of the obstacle at the point that is closest to $\mathbf{x}$



Avoidance: steer away from obstacles

Combining Commands



- Consider commands as accelerations
- Give a weight to each desire

w_S, w_C, w_A, w_O

- Ex. High for avoidance, low for cohesion

→ Simply summing the weighted sum may cause issues

Combining Commands



- Option: Apply in order of highest weight, until a max (absolute sum of) acceleration is reached
  - Ensures that high priority things happen

$$w_O \gg w_s, w_C, w_A,$$

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4kPSDW7gQHA

Another application of flocking: Simulating dynamical features of escape panic : Helbing '00



- A model to simulate the crowd under panic

$$m_i \frac{d\vec{v}_i}{dt} = m_i \frac{\vec{v}_i^{\,o}(t)\vec{e}_i^{\,o}(t) - \vec{v}_i(t)}{\tau_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \vec{f}_{ij} + \sum \vec{f}_{iw}$$



Figure 1: An image of Helbing´s Model Simulation.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mUKYtOXg5Zw

Simulating dynamical features of escape panic : Helbing '00



- f_{ij}, the force to stay away from other entities,
- f_{iw} the force to stay away from walls
- v_i: velocity of entity *i*
- $v_i^o e_i^o$: the desired velocity of entity *i*

$$m_i \frac{d\vec{v}_i}{dt} = m_i \frac{\vec{v}_i^{\,o}(t)\vec{e}_i^{\,o}(t) - \vec{v}_i(t)}{\tau_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \vec{f}_{ij} + \sum \vec{f}_{iw}$$



Figure 1: An image of Helbing´s Model Simulation.

Simulating dynamical features of escape panic : Helbing '00



- f_{ij}, the force to stay away from other entities,
- f_{iw} the force to stay away from walls
- v_i: velocity of entity *i*
- $v_i^o e_i^o$: the desired velocity of entity *i*

$$m_i \frac{d\vec{v}_i}{dt} = m_i \frac{\vec{v}_i^{\,o}(t)\vec{e}_i^{\,o}(t) - \vec{v}_i(t)}{\tau_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \vec{f}_{ij} + \sum \vec{f}_{iw}$$



Figure 1: An image of Helbing´s Model Simulation.

Simulating dynamical features of escape panic : Helbing '00



- f_{ij}, the force to stay away from other entities,
- f_{iw} the force to stay away from walls
- v_i: velocity of entity *i*
- $v_i^o e_i^o$: the desired velocity of entity *i*

$$m_i \frac{d\vec{v}_i}{dt} = m_i \frac{\vec{v}_i^{\,o}(t)\vec{e}_i^{\,o}(t) - \vec{v}_i(t)}{\tau_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \vec{f}_{ij} + \sum \vec{f}_{iw}$$



Figure 1: An image of Helbing´s Model Simulation.

Flocking Evaluation



- Advantages:
  - Complex behaviour and phenomena from simple rules
  - Many types of behaviour can be expressed with different rules and parameters
- Disadvantages:
  - Can be difficult to set parameters to achieve desired result
  - Problems regarding strength of forces

Overview



- Agent based Methods
  - Flocking
  - **Intention generator**
  - **Creating scenes with human crowds**
  - **Patch-based methods**
    - Create scenes by building blocks
  - (Local) Collision avoidance
    - Explicit model
    - velocity obstacle, reciprocal velocity obstacle
- Global methods (Continuum crowds)





Making the Agents Smarter



- Designing the details of the behaviours
  - What to do when you see a predator
  - What to do when you find somebody you know?
  - What to do when the traffic lights are red?
- Intention generator

Artificial Fish
-Terzopoulos et al.
SIGGRAPH ‘94



- Considering attributes such as
  - Hunger
  - Libido
  - Fear

for deciding the behaviour of the fish

- Adding sensory perception such as
  - vision



Perception Volume





- Simulating the sight of the entities

Intention Generator



1. First check the sensory information for collision
2. If any close predator, either
   - School
   - Escape

Otherwise if hungry eat

If full mate

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RHt_8ZYQVZw

Intention Generator





- Decision making for the fish

What about humans?



- Can do something similar
- But humans are a bit more high level
  - Not only eating or escaping
  - Have destinations
  - Contexts
  - Socializing
    - Grouping,
    - Talking to somebody you know

A more complex model is needed

Behavior model : Autonomous Pedestrians



- More complex than a fish



http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cqG7ADSvQ5o

More serious problems for humans : context



- Interactions with the environment
- Collision avoidance
  - More complex than fishes
- Getting to the destination :
  - use path-finding algorithms such as A* search (shortest route to the destination)







Interactions with the Environment



- Examples
  - At bus stops, people stop and queue
  - At an elevator, people wait for it and ride on it when it comes and travel to different floors
  - TV in the living room : turn on the TV and sit on the sofa to watch TV

Interactions with the Environment



- Examples
  - At bus stops, people stop and queue
  - At an elevator, people wait for it and ride on it when it comes and travel to different floors
  - TV in the living room : turn on the TV and sit on the sofa to watch TV
- **Preparing an intention generator to interact with all these objects is not so easy**

Interactions with the Environment



- Examples
  - At bus stops, people stop and queue
  - At an elevator, people wait for it and ride on it when it comes and travel to different floors
  - TV in the living room : turn on the TV and sit on the sofa to watch TV
- Preparing an intention generator to interact with all these objects is not so easy
- **Need various object / motion data**

Interactions with the Environment



- Examples
  - At bus stops, people stop and queue
  - At an elevator, people wait for it and ride on it when it comes and travel to different floors
  - TV in the living room : turn on the TV and sit on the sofa to watch TV
- Preparing an intention generator to interact with all these objects is not so easy
- Need various motion/object data

- **IDEA:** associate such motions with the objects
  - Once the character comes across such objects, they launch the associated motion

Embedding motions into the environment : Advantages of the approach



- Efficient data handling
  - Each agent holds the data only needed at that moment
- The control is decentralized
  - The system is scalable, and large crowds can be simulated





Patch-based approaches

- Pre-compute the patches (building blocks) which include the characters and the environment
- Concatenate them to generate large scale scenes during runtime
- Motion Patches
- Crowd patches



Motion Patches



- Building Blocks for Virtual Environments
  - Embed the motions into the environment
  - The patches are spatially aligned



Crowd Patches



- A patch-based approach to generate scenes of crowded environment
- Crowds avoiding each other in the patches
- The timing and location the characters entering the patches are fixed so that the people can keep on entering / exiting
- The characters can be switched to make more variations



Overview



- Agent based Methods
  - Flocking
  - Intention generator
  - Creating scenes with human crowds
  - Patch-based methods
    - Create scenes by building blocks
  - **(Local) Collision avoidance**
  - **Explicit models,**
  - **Velocity obstacle, reciprocal velocity obstacle**
- Global methods (Continuum crowds)





Collision avoidance



- Humans avoid others in streets in a complex way
- Sometimes wait, sometimes, move aside while walking
- Need to either
  - Model them based on a complex collision avoidance engine
  - Find a good mathematical model



(B) Safety in turning (C) Temporary crowd (D1) Cross collision (D2) Head-on coll'n (E) Front safe area (F) Verify direction

Avoid oncoming pedestrians





- If a cross collision is estimated by H with C
  - If H is arriving slightly earlier, it accelerates and turn away from C
  - If H is arriving slightly later, it decelerates and turn towards C
  - So C does the same

Head-on collision



- If a head-on collision is estimated, the agents turn away from each other

Safe turning





- If an agent needs to make a quick turn, the curvature of the turn is gradually increased until a collision free turn is found
- The velocity is decreased according to the curvature

A Mathematical Model: Velocity Obstacle



The set of all velocities of an agent that will result in a collision, assuming the other maintains its current velocity



Velocity Obstacle (2)



Strategy: Select a velocity that is closest to the desired velocity but outside the VO



Velocity Obstacle (3)



Good for collision avoidance, but can result in oscillation when both agents use the same strategy



http://youtu.be/BNTNpYTw3_s

Reciprocal Velocity Obstacle (RVO)



Idea: instead of choosing a new velocity outside the velocity obstacle, take the *average* of a velocity outside the velocity obstacle and the current velocity

Reciprocal Velocity Obstacle (RVO)



Idea: instead of choosing a new velocity outside the velocity obstacle, take the *average* of a velocity outside the velocity obstacle and the current velocity



http://youtu.be/9O-YkaiBVXw

Reciprocal Velocity Obstacle (RVO)



Idea: instead of choosing a new velocity outside the velocity obstacle, take the *average* of a velocity outside the velocity obstacle and the current velocity



http://youtu.be/9O-YkaiBVXw

$$RVO^A_B(v_B, v_A) = \{v'_A \mid 2v'_A - v_A \in VO^A_B(v_B)\}$$

Reciprocal Velocity Obstacle (RVO) (2)



- No oscillation
- No global communication needed between the agents
- Simple and can handle multiple agents
  - Calculate the RVO with all the agents in the neighbours and select a velocity outside all the RVOs

http://youtu.be/JX_GaFpIcqo

http://youtu.be/soHH-ocT1V8

http://youtu.be/nZ4mVCZRD0E

Collision Avoidance : Local vs. Global



- Sometimes you need to consider more about the future
  - Taking a shortest path
  - Plan to avoid all the obstacles ahead in advance
  - For all the agents

→ Global Model

Continuum Crowds



- Solving the path-planning and collision avoidance at the same time

1. Compute the potential field at every time step
   - Based on the other avatars and obstacles
   - The goal location
2. The character's movement determined based on the potential field
3. Update the potential field

Continuum Crowds : procedure



- Discretize the space into grids
- Decide the start / goal of the characters



Continuum Crowds : procedure 2



- The cost to the goal is going to be computed by the following function:

$$\underbrace{\alpha \int_P 1 ds}_{\text{Path Length}} + \underbrace{\beta \int_P \frac{1}{f} ds}_{\text{Time}} + \underbrace{\gamma \int_P \frac{g}{f} ds}_{\text{Discomfort}}$$

$$\int_P C ds, \quad \text{where} \quad C \equiv \frac{\alpha f + \beta + \gamma g}{f}$$



Continuum Crowds : procedure 2



- The cost to the goal is going to be computed by the following function:

$$\underbrace{\alpha \int_P 1 ds}_{\text{Path Length}} + \underbrace{\beta \int_P \frac{1}{f} ds}_{\text{Time}} + \underbrace{\gamma \int_P \frac{g}{f} ds}_{\text{Discomfort}}$$

speed

$$\int_P C ds, \quad \text{where} \quad C \equiv \frac{\alpha f + \beta + \gamma g}{f}$$



Discomfort Field



- Produced by other obstacles / characters





$$\underbrace{\alpha \int_P 1 ds}_{\text{Path Length}} + \underbrace{\beta \int_P \frac{1}{f} ds}_{\text{Time}} + \underbrace{\gamma \int_P \frac{g}{f} ds}_{\text{Discomfort}}$$

Continuum Crowds : procedure 2





- Starting from the goal we expand outwards and accumulate the cost *C*
  - *Fast Marching Method*
  - Similar to Djikstra's algorithm

Fast Marching Method



Initialize Step : Put the goal in the Alive Points

Alive Points (A): The set of points we know the cost ($T_{i,j}$)

Narrow Band: grid points neighbors of A.

Far Away Points: all others grid points {i,j}. Set the cost ($T_{i,j}$) ∞ for all points in FarAway.



Marching Forwards



1. Begin Loop: Let (i_{min}, j_{min}) be the point in NarrowBand with the smallest value for $\emptyset$
2. Add the point (i_{min}, j_{min}) to A; remove it from NarrowBand.
3. Tag as neighbors any points $(i_{min}-1, j_{min})$, $(i_{min}+1, j_{min})$, $(i_{min}, j_{min}-1)$, $(i_{min}, j_{min}+1)$ that are either in NarrowBand or FarAway. If the neighbor is in FarAway, remove it from that list and add it to the set NarrowBand.
4. Recompute the values of $\emptyset$ at all neighbors

Return to top of Loop.



Computing the values of Ø



- Use the Eikonal equation

$$||\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x})|| = C,$$

- Among the adjacent grid cells, we first find those with less cost

$$m_x = \underset{i \in \{W,E\}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \phi_i + C_{M \to i} \right\} \qquad m_y = \underset{i \in \{N,S\}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \phi_i + C_{M \to i} \right\}.$$



Computing the values of ø (2)



- Compute Φ by solving the Eikonel function with finite difference

$$||\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x})|| = C,$$



$$\left(\frac{\phi_M - \phi_{m_x}}{C_{M\to m_x}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_M - \phi_{m_y}}{C_{M\to m_y}}\right)^2 = 1.$$

Potential Field



- If the group of people share the same speed, goal, and discomfort, we can use the same potential field for all these characters
  - Very efficient if there are little number of groups

Can simulate the dynamics of crowds







- A global approach (optimal towards the goal)
- Can simulate phenomena observed in real humans

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IqIuVhDFSp8

Summary and Discussions



- Two streams
  - Agent-based
  - Global methods
- Applications
  - Animation, games
  - Evacuation analysis
- Collision avoidance is important not only for character animation but also for robotics

Reference



- REYNOLDS, C. W. 1987. Flocks, herds, and schools: A distributed behavioral model. In Computer Graphics (Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 87)
- TU, X., AND TERZOPOULOS, D. 1994. Artificial fishes: Physics, locomotion, perception, behavior. In Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 94, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, 43–50.
- SHAO, W., AND TERZOPOULOS, D. 2005. Autonomous pedestrians. In SCA ’05: Proceedings of the 2005 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics symposium on Computer animation, ACM Press, New York, NY, USA, 19–28.
- **Crowd Patches: Populating Large-Scale Virtual Environments for Real-Time Applications**.
  Barbara Yersin, Jonathan Maïm, Julien Pettré and Daniel Thalmann.
  ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games 2009.
- Kang Hoon Lee, Myung Geol Choi and Jehee Lee, Motion Patches: Building Blocks for Virtual Environments Annotated with Motion Data, accepted to ACM SIGGRAPH 2006.
- P. Fiorini and Z. Shiller, “Motion planning in dynamic environments using velocity obstacles,” Int. Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 760–772, 1998.
- Jur van den Berg, Ming C. Lin, Dinesh Manocha "Reciprocal Velocity Obstacles for Real-Time Multi-Agent Navigation" Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2008.
- Adrien Treuille, Seth Cooper, Zoran Popovic, Continuum Crowds, SIGGRAPH 2005