Computer Animation and Visualisation

Lecture 9

Physics-based Animation (Part 2)

Floyd M. Chitalu

Lecture Overview

- Modelling Liquid
- Spatial Discretization
- Temporal Discretization

Lecture Overview

- Modelling Liquid
- Spatial Discretization
- Temporal Discretization

Modelling Liquid



Modelling Liquid

- Two approaches to simulating liquids
 - 1) Lagrangian (Today)
 - Particles carry data samples and travel with the flow
 - i.e. Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)
 - 2) Eulerian
 - Samples are fixed in a grid, and information flows past.
 - i.e. Grid-based view of fluid motion

Governing Equations

- We consider a fluid that consists of a set of small moving fluid elements (particles)
 - Each particle *i* has a mass m_i and carries attributes such as density ρ_i , pressure p_i or volume V_i
 - Over time *t*, particle positions \mathbf{x}_i and the respective attributes are advected with the local fluid velocity \mathbf{v}_i

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_i}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_i.$$

Governing Equations (Navier-Stokes equation)

• The time rate of change of the velocity is governed by the *Navier-Stokes equation (Lagrange form)*



Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)

• The SPH concept is used for two purposes

1) To *interpolate* fluid quantities at arbitrary positions.

2)To *approximate* the spatial derivatives in the Navierstokes equation.

SPH (interpolation)

A quantity A_i at an arbitrary position x_i is approximately computed with a set of known quantities A_j at neighboring particle positions x_j

Smoothing kernel

$$A_{i} = \sum_{j} \frac{m_{j}}{\rho_{j}} A_{j} \overline{W_{ij}} \qquad W_{ij} = W\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{j}\|}{h}\right) = W(q) = \frac{1}{h^{d}} f(q) \qquad f(q) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} - q^{2} + \frac{1}{2}q^{3} & 0 \le q < 1\\ \frac{1}{6}(2-q)^{3} & 1 \le q < 2\\ 0 & q \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
Smoothing length

- The number of adjacent particles that are considered is dependant on three factors
 - 1) The dimensionality d; 2) the support of the kernel function; and 3) the particle spacing which is typically close to h
- Three factors influencing the accuracy of the summation
 - 1) Choice of the kernel function; 2) The number and 3) The disorder of considered particles

W($|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_i|, h$)

SPH (Spatial derivatives)

• But how do we solve for variables like:

$$\nabla A_i = \sum_j \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} A_j \nabla W_{ij} \qquad \nabla^2 A_i = \sum_j \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} A_j \nabla^2 W_{ij}$$

Common solution

 $\frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{\rho}_i} \nabla p_i + \mathbf{v} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}_i + \frac{\mathbf{F}_i^{other}}{m_i}$

Used to compute accelerations

$$\nabla A_{i} = \rho_{i} \sum_{j} m_{j} \left(\frac{A_{i}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} + \frac{A_{j}}{\rho_{j}^{2}} \right) \nabla W_{ij},$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_{i} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{i}} \sum_{j} m_{j} \mathbf{A}_{ij} \cdot \nabla W_{ij},$$

Used to predict density changes $\nabla^2 A_i = 2 \sum_i \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} A_{ij} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{ij} \cdot \nabla W_{ij}}{\mathbf{x}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{ij} + 0.01h^2},$

$$A_{ij} = A_i - A_j, \ \mathbf{A}_{ij} = \mathbf{A}_i - \mathbf{A}_j, \ \mathbf{x}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j \quad \nabla W_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial x_{i,x}}, \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial x_{i,y}}, \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial x_{i,z}}\right)^T$$

SPH Fluid Solver

- Three basic building blocks of SPH-based fluid solvers
 - Neighborhood search
 - Pressure computation
 - Time integration

SPH Fluid Solver (Neighborhood search)

- The neighborhood search is typically accelerated by a spatial access structure, e.g. a uniform grid
 - cell size is normally equal to the kernel support, e.g., 2h



SPH Fluid Solver (Pressure computation)

Preasure is computed from density to compute the preasure gradient (in NS eq.),



• In practice, a larger stiffness constant reduces the compressibility of the fluid, but demands smaller integration time steps.

SPH Fluid Solver (Algorithm)

Algorithm 1 SPH with state equation. for all particle i do find neighbors *j* for all particle i do $\rho_i = \sum_j m_j W_{ij}$ compute p_i using ρ_i for all particle i do $\mathbf{F}_{i}^{pressure} = -\frac{m_{i}}{\rho_{i}} \nabla p_{i}$ $\mathbf{F}_{i}^{viscosity} = m_i \mathbf{v} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$ $\mathbf{F}_{i}^{other} = m_{i}\mathbf{g}$ $\mathbf{F}_{i}(t) = \mathbf{F}_{i}^{pressure} + \mathbf{F}_{i}^{viscosity} + \mathbf{F}_{i}^{other}$ for all particle i do $\mathbf{v}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{F}_i(t) / m_i$ $\mathbf{x}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}_i(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i(t + \Delta t)$

Lecture Overview

- Modelling Liquid
- Spatial Discretization
- Temporal Discretization

Spatial Discretization

- Lagrangian and Eulerian reference frames
- Spatial data structures
- Discretizing equations of motion

Lagrangian vs Eulerian view

- Lagrangian
 - The reference frame moves with the material



- Often used for solids and employ tetrahedral meshes or particles and finite element methods.
 - Easier to construct the mapping ($\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})$) from rest space to world space if we explicitly track points in the material through time.
- Eulerian
 - The point of measurement, the reference frame, is fixed in space
 - Eulerian reference frames are often used for fluids and often employ regular grids
 - No need for a `mapping`, and fixed reference frames offer many computational advantages

Grids



- The regular grid
 - All edges have the same length, called the grid spacing
 - Cubes in the grid are referred to as cells which have 8 vertices, 12 edges, and 6 faces
 - Can be described by a few redundant parameters:
 - The grid spacing, the grid resolution (i.e. the number of cells in each dimension), and the upper and lower extent of the grid.
- Grids are typically fixed in space and do not change shape, thus typically an Eulerian frame is adopted.

Meshes



- A mesh is a `simplicial complex` •
 - A simplicial complex is decomposes a domain into a set of disjoint 'simplices', e.g. triangles in 2D and tetrahedra in 3D
 - A k-simplex contains k + 1 vertices that are all connected. —





- Useful if Lagrangian reference frame is adopted.
- Automatic (tetrahedral) meshing is a hard problem!





Interpolation

• Sometimes the value of the field is required at a location other than the sample points.



Interpolation





Bilinear $f(s,t) = (1-s)(1-t)f_1 + s(1-t)f_2 + stf_3 + (1-s)tf_4$





 $f(s, t, u) = (1 - s)(1 - t)(1 - u)f_1 + s(1 - t)(1 - u)f_2 + st(1 - u)f_3 + (1 - s)t(1 - u)f_4 + (1 - s)(1 - t)uf_5 + s(1 - t)uf_6 + stuf_7 + (1 - s)tuf_8.$

Interpolation (barycentric)

- Triangles (and more generally simplicial complexes) are also used frequently in physics-based animation
- To interpolate to a point **p**, we associate with the vertices a, b, and c the weights α , β , and γ :

$$\alpha = \frac{\operatorname{area}(p, b, c)}{\operatorname{area}(a, b, c)},$$

$$\beta = \frac{\operatorname{area}(p, c, a)}{\operatorname{area}(a, b, c)},$$

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$$

$$\beta = \frac{\operatorname{area}(p, a, b)}{\operatorname{area}(a, b, c)}.$$

$$\beta = \frac{\operatorname{area}(p, a, b)}{\operatorname{area}(a, b, c)}.$$

$$\beta = \frac{\operatorname{area}(p, a, b)}{\operatorname{area}(a, b, c)}.$$

$$f_p = f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha f_a + \beta f_b + \gamma f_c$$

 For more efficient code, we can also write the following, which is useful for methods like FEM

$$f_p = f(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - \alpha - \beta)f_a + \alpha f_b + \beta f_c = f_a + \alpha (f_b - f_a) + \beta (f_c - f_a)$$

Finite Element Method

Finite Element Method

For animating elastic bodies we must compute the deformation gradient F from the deformation function x(u)

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}_0) + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0)$$



- To compute F, we use a piecewise linear basis to represent the deformation function. Two prerequistes:
 - Breaking an object up into a finite set of disjoint elements.
 - Defining the basis (shape) functions over these elements.
- F is constant over an element.
 - And so will be stress and strain.



 $f_p = f(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - \alpha - \beta)f_a + \alpha f_b + \beta f_c = f_a + \alpha (f_b - f_a) + \beta (f_c - f_a)$

Finite Element Method

• Recall that an arbitrary point inside a triangle can be represented with barycentric coordinates:

material/rest/undeformed space $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \alpha (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_0) + \beta (\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_0)$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{10} & \mathbf{u}_{20} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

world/deformed space

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0) + \beta (\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_0)$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{10} & \mathbf{x}_{20} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

• Thus, we can write the deformation function as

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{10} & \mathbf{x}_{20} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{10} & \mathbf{u}_{20} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0)$$

• The gradient of this function w.r.t **u**, is the deformation gradient:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{10} & \mathbf{x}_{20} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{10} & \mathbf{u}_{20} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$



Finite Element Method

• Finally the nodal forces are computed by

$$\mathbf{f} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{n}_i$$

- n_i is the area weighted normal of the edge (2D) or face
 (3D) opposite the node the force is acting upon in the rest configuration.
 - This force is evenly distributed among the vertices of the edge (2D) or face (3D).

Finite Element Method (Example Algorithm)

- 1: **for** Particle p : particles **do**
- 2: p.frc = 0
- 3: p.frc += p.mass*gravity
- 4: **end for**
- 5: **for** Element e : elements **do**
- 6: Matrix3x3 F = Matrix3x3 (x1-x0, x2-x0, x3-x0) * inverse(Matrix3x3(u1-u0, u2-u0, u3-u0))
- 7: PolarDecomp (F, Q, Ftilde)
- 8: Matrix3x3 strain = 1/2 * (Ftilde + transpose (Ftilde)) I
- 9: Matrix3x3 stress = lambda * trace(strain) * I + 2 * mu * strain
- 10: **for** i = 0 to 3 **do**
- 11: particles[e.node[i]].frc += Q * stress * e.normal[i]
- 12: **end for**
- 13: **end for**
- 14: **for** Particle p : particles **do**
- 15: p.vel += dt*(p.frc / p.mass)
- 16: p.pos += dt*(p.vel)

17: **end for**

Lecture Overview

- Modelling Liquid
- Spatial Discretization
- Temporal Discretization

Temporal Discretization

- Explicit Integration
- Implict Integration

Explicit Integration

- Explicit integration refers to integration techniques where the updated state at time $t + \Delta t$ is described solely in terms of quantities computed at time t.
- Explicit Euler

$$\mathbf{x}_p(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}_p(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_p, t)$$

Problem with Euler Method

- The system tends to blow up (diverge) very quickly when the time step is too large.
 - Can be mitigated with smaller timestep but there is a higher computational cost!



Verlet Integration

 Instead of storing each particle's position and velocity, store its current position x and its previous position x*



- Velocity is implicitely given, thus velocity and position do not come out of sync
- It's fast

Trapezoidal Rule

 Evaluate the velocity at the particle's position, pretend to move the particle a full timestep and evaluate the velocity again, then use the average of these two evaluations to update the particle's position





$$\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}(t) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t), t) \right)$$

Midpoint Method

• Evaluate the velocity at the particle's position, pretend to move the particle a half step and evaluate the velocity again, then use the second evaluation to update the particle's position



Trapezoidal Rule vs. Midpoint Method

- The trapezoidal rule tends to produce a smoother solution since it averages to velocity estimates.
- The midpoint method is more susceptible to noise or aliasing



Explicit Euler

Trapezoidal Rule

Midpoint method

Symplectic Euler (revisited)

$$\mathbf{x}_p(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}_p(t) + dt \cdot \mathbf{v}_p(t)$$
 "Primitive euler"

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}_{p}(t) + \frac{dt}{2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{v}_{p}(t) + \mathbf{v}_{p}(t + \Delta t)\right)$$
 "Improved euler"
$$\mathbf{x}_{p}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}_{p}(t) + dt \cdot \mathbf{v}_{p}(t + \Delta t).$$
 "Symplectic euler"

- The only difference is where the velocity is evaluated
 - at the beginning of the step, end of the step, or an average of both.
- For some problems (e.g. pure elasticity), "improved Euler" may not converge at all.
 - It is *unconditionally unstable* as the solution can diverge irrespective of timestep size.
- Symplectic Euler is the preferred explicit integrator
 - despite its lower order accuracy



Implicit Integration

- Sometimes we wish to solve 'stiff' problems
 - materials that have very strong resistance to deformation
- Explicit integrators require smaller and smaller timesteps in order to remain stable (which is not guarranteed!).

Implicit Integration

• Explicit symplectic Euler integrator

 $\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{v}(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$ $\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t).$

• The implicit formulation

$$\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{v}(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t), t + \Delta t)$$
$$\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t).$$

 Since we can't directly compute the forces at t+Δt without knowing the system state at t+Δt the new state is defined *implicitly* as one which satisfies this equation.

Linearly Implicit Euler

(1)
$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbf{D}(\dot{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}_{ext}$$

(2)
$$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{D}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}_{ext}$$
.

Non-linear dynamic system for soft bodies

Linearize system around current state **x**

Our forces
$$\mathbf{f}_{ext} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{D}\dot{\mathbf{x}}$$
. (3)

Substitute forces and eq. of $\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t)$ into eq. of $\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t)$

(4)
$$\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{v}(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t), t + \Delta t)$$
$$\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{v}(t) + \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \left[-\mathbf{K} \left(\mathbf{x}(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) \right) + \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{D} \mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) + \mathbf{f}_{ext} \right]$$

$$\left(\mathbf{M} + \Delta t^{2}\mathbf{K} + \Delta t\mathbf{D}\right)\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{v}(t) + \Delta t\left(-\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}_{0}\right) + \mathbf{f}_{ext}\right)$$
(5)

The linear system of the form Ax=b which we solve to find $\mathbf{v}(t + \Delta t)$

Summary

- Modelling fluids with particles
 - Lagrangian SPH is very common
- Spatial Discretization
 - Two fundamental concepts when discussing spatial discretization are the Lagrangian and Eulerian reference frames
 - Mesh and grid data structures are common ways of storing simulation variables
 - We can simulate elasticity by using FEM to discretize equations of motion (FEM)
- Temporal Discretization
 - Explicit integration is fast and suitable for most simulations e.g. particles
 - Implicit integration is unconditionally stable and thus useful for "stiff problems"

Reading

- Advanced Character Physics, Thomas Jakobsen (2001) : http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/academic/class/15462s13/www/lec_slides/Jakobsen.pdf
- SPH Fluids in Computer Graphics M. Ihmsen, J. Orthmann, B. Solenthaler, A. Kolb, M. Teschner Proceedings of Eurographics (Strasbourg, France, April, 2014), Computer Graphics Forum, vol. , no. , pp. 21-42
- Eric Lengyel. 2011. Mathematics for 3D Game Programming and Computer Graphics, Third Edition (3rd. ed.). Course Technology Press, Boston, MA, USA.
- Bargteil, A., Shinar T. An introduction to physics-based animation, ACM SIGGRAPH 2018 Courses, 2018
- FEM Simulation of 3D Deformable Solids: A practitioner's guide to theory, discretization and model reduction, ACM SIGGRAPH 2012 Courses
- David Baraff. 2001. Physically based modeling: Rigid body simulation. SIGGRAPH Course Notes, ACM SIGGRAPH 2, 1 (2001)
- Matthias Müller, Jos Stam, Doug James, and Nils Thürey. 2008. Real time physics: class notes. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2008 classes (SIGGRAPH '08). Association for Computing Machinery