

UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, JOHANNESBURG  

---

Department of Computer Science

## Retention of women in Computer Science

Vashti Galpin

vashti@cs.wits.ac.za

<http://www.cs.wits.ac.za/~vashti>

### Outline and introduction

- focus – Computer Science at university level
- motivation
- international trends
- South Africa and Wits
- why?
- what can be done?
- research and curriculum at Wits
- WWW resources

## Motivation

- shortage of IT professionals
- lack of diversity
- importance for South Africa as a developing country
- untapped source of potential resources

## International trends

- USA and Canada [Camp *et al.* , Kozen and Zweben 1998]
  - incredible shrinking pipeline – decrease from percentage at bachelors to percentage at full professor and decreasing trend over time
  - percentage of degrees awarded to women in biological sciences, engineering, and physical sciences is increasing

- two sources of data
  - \* US Department of Education – all US universities
  - \* CRA – US/Canadian PhD granting universities
- percentage of degrees awarded to women
- undergraduate – increase from mid 70's, then decrease from mid 80's, prediction of a slight increase in next few years

year	75	...	83/4	...	95/96	96/7
all US	19%		37%		28%	
PhD gr					16%	16%

- postgraduate

	year	Masters	PhD
all US	1995/6	27%	14%
PhD gr	1996/7	22%	14%

- percentage female academics in 1996/7 at PhD granting institutions

assistant professor	20%
associate professor	10%
full professor	6%

- Britain [Lovegrove and Hall 1991, UCAS ]

- undergraduate – acceptance into degrees, decrease in 80's as computers introduced into schools

year	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
%	24	24	23	22	22	18	15	10	11	10	11	13

year	...	96	97	98	99
%		19	19	19	20

- postgraduate and academic – anecdotal, low percentage in mid 90's

- similar problems in Australia, New Zealand, Netherlands

## South Africa

- general situation – anecdotal information from early 90's
  - undergraduate – 20% to 50%
  - differences between English-speaking and Afrikaans-speaking universities
  - differences between Computer Science and Information Systems
  - academic - only one female full professor
- some evidence of shrinking pipeline

## University of the Witwatersrand

- ongoing monitoring of gender and race breakdown [Herbert 2000, Galpin and Sanders 1993]
  - undergraduate – 1986-1992 20-30%  
no trends, no difference in persistence
  - Honours – 1986-1992 23%
  - MSc and PhD – percentages are lower
- evidence of shrinking pipeline

## Why?

- social factors, socialisation
- stereotyping
  - computers
  - science and computer science
- differences in ability?
- differences in knowledge
  - maths background
  - prior experience with computers

- differences in self-confidence
- differences in preferred learning environment
- misconceptions about computer science
  - programming
  - computing culture
  - administrative/clerical work
- lack of role models and mentors
- survey of causes [Galpin 1992, Herbert 2000]

## **What can be done**

- understanding of causes and solutions
- understanding of local situation and causes
- social change
- schools
- university environment
  - mentors
  - role models
  - physical safety

- university teaching – various solutions have been suggested (discussed in [Galpin 1992, Herbert 2000])
  - subject matter
    - \* maths
    - \* applications
    - \* human-oriented
  - teaching approach
    - \* introductory courses
    - \* structured labs
  - change of perceptions
  - removal of gender bias

## Research and curriculum at Wits

- ongoing monitoring of gender and race breakdown
- first year curriculum development [Mueller *et al.* 1993, Sanders and Mueller 1994, Sanders and Mueller 2000]
  - build from fundamentals
  - give overview of computer science
  - emphasis is not programming
  - does not favour those with computing experience

- research into attitudes to computer science of first year Faculty of Science students [Sanders and Galpin 1994]
  - males registered for CS I, more informal and less formal exposure, more confidence
  - females registered for CS I, more formal exposure, less confidence
  - males not registered for CS I, less exposure, more negative perceptions
  - females not registered for CS I, more female role models, more games

- research into role models [Herbert 2000, Herbert and Sanders 1999]
  - lack of positive role models
  - male dominated but women can learn
  - perception of good careers
  - confusion about what computer science is
- current research
  - how perceptions of computers and computer science change during the first year curriculum
- possible future research
  - national survey at university level



## Conclusion

- shrinking pipeline is an issue
- need to understand causes and solutions
- Department of Computer Science at Wits
  - doing research into causes
  - developing curriculum
  - monitoring

## WWW Resources

- SA WISE (South African Women in Science & Engineering)
  - association for strengthening the role of women in science and engineering in South Africa.

<http://www.sawise.org.za>
- TAP (The Ada project) – information and resources relating to women in computing

<http://www.cs.yale.edu/~tap/tap.html>
- The Grace Hopper Celebration of Women in Computing – conference held every three years focusing on technical and academic contributions from women in computing

<http://www.sdsc.edu/Hopper/>

- ACM-W (The ACM's Committee on Women in Computing) – committee of international professional organisation  
<http://www.acm.org/women>
- IEEE Women in Engineering Committee – committee of international professional organisation  
<http://www.ieee.org/organizations/committee/women/>
- WiC (Women in Computing) – predominantly British organisation  
<http://osiris.sunderland.ac.uk/wic/>
- CPSR (Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility) – professional international organisation concerned about impact of computer technology on society, based in USA  
<http://www.cpsr.org/program/gender/index.html>

- CRA-W (The Computing Research Association's Committee on the Status of Women in Computer Science and Engineering) – committee of North American association of university departments and research laboratories  
<http://cra.org/Activities/craw/>
- SWIFT (Supporting Women in Information Technology) – research program to increase the participation of women in Information Technology  
<http://taz.cs.ubc.ca/swift/>
- IWT (The Institute for Women and Technology) – works on technology that has positive impacts on women around the world  
<http://www.iwt.org/>

## References

- [Camp *et al.*] T. Camp, M. Miller, and V. Davies. The incredible shrinking pipeline unlikely to reverse. [http://www.mines.edu/fs\\_home/tcamp/new-study/new-study.html](http://www.mines.edu/fs_home/tcamp/new-study/new-study.html).
- [Galpin and Sanders 1993] V. Galpin and I. Sanders. Gender imbalances in computer science at the University of the Witwatersrand. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 25(4):2–4, December 1993.
- [Galpin 1992] V. Galpin. Gender and computer science education. Technical Report 1992-05, Department of Computer Science, University of the Witwatersrand, 1992. <http://www.cs.wits.ac.za/~vashti/pubs/Gal92c.html>.
- [Herbert and Sanders 1999] T.S. Herbert and I. D. Sanders. Comment on Gender and Population Group Statistics in the Computer Sci-

- ence Department at Wits. Technical Report TR-Wits-CS-1999-15, Department of Computer Science, University of the Witwatersrand, December 1999.
- [Herbert 2000] T.S. Herbert. Women Role Models in Computer Science at the University of the Witwatersrand. MSc Research Report (in preparation), Department of Computer Science, University of the Witwatersrand, 2000.
- [Kozen and Zweben 1998] D. Kozen and S. Zweben. 1996-1997 CRA Taulbee Survey. *Computing Research News Online*, March 1998. [http://www.cra.org/CRN/html/9803/survey/dk.4\\_1\\_t.shtml](http://www.cra.org/CRN/html/9803/survey/dk.4_1_t.shtml).
- [Lovegrove and Hall 1991] G. Lovegrove and W. Hall. Where are the girls now? In G. Lovegrove and B. Segal, editors, *Women into Computing: selected papers, 1988-1990*, pages 33–44. Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[Mueller *et al.* 1993] C.S.M Mueller, S.T. Rock, and I.D. Sanders. An improved first year course taking into account third world students. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 25(1):213–217, March 1993. (Proceedings of the 24th SIGCSE Technical Symposium).

[Sanders and Galpin 1994] I.D. Sanders and V.C. Galpin. A survey of attitudes to computing at the University of the Witwatersrand. In A. Adam, J. Emms, E. Green, and J. Owens, editors, *IFIP Transactions A-57, Women, Work, and Computerization, Breaking Old Boundaries—Building New Forms*, pages 209–223. Elsevier Science, 1994.

[Sanders and Mueller 1994] I.D. Sanders and C.S.M Mueller. Making Computer Science more accessible to educationally disadvantaged students. *GATES*, 1(2):32–41, 1994.

[Sanders and Mueller 2000] I.D. Sanders and C.S.M Mueller. A

Fundamentals-based First Year Computer Science Curriculum. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, 32:227–231, March 2000. (Proceedings of the 31th SIGCSE Technical Symposium).

[UCAS ] UCAS. Facts and Figures (from the Universities & Colleges Admissions Service for the UK). <http://www.ucas.ac.uk/figures/index.html>.