What is the difference between theory and practice?

In theory there is no difference.

But in practice there is.
How does one integrate SQL and a host language?
How does one integrate SQL and a host language?

How does one integrate a Domain-Specific Language and a host language?

Domain-Specific Language (DSL)
Domain-Specific Embedded Language (DSEL)
A functional language is a Domain-Specific Language for defining Domain-Specific Languages
Links

Wadler, Yallop, Lindley, Cooper (Edinburgh)

LINQ

Meijer (C#, VB), Syme (F#) (Microsoft)
Links

Wadler, Yallop, Lindley, Cooper (Edinburgh)

LINQ

Meijer (C#, VB), Syme (F#) (Microsoft)
Scylla and Charybdis
Avoid Scylla and Charybdis

Each host query generates one SQL query

*Scylla:* failure to generate a query (×)

*Charybdis:* multiple queries, avalanche (av)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>F# 2.0</th>
<th>F# 3.0</th>
<th>us</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>differences</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satisfies</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satisfies</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compose</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(t₀)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(t₁)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expertise'</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expertise</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>66.7\text{av}</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₀</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₁</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₂</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₃</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3744.9</td>
<td>3768.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\text{av} marks query avalanche. All times in milliseconds.
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Part I

Join queries
A database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>people</th>
<th>couples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name</td>
<td>her</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Alex”</td>
<td>“Alex”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>“Bert”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Bert”</td>
<td>“Cora”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>“Drew”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Cora”</td>
<td>“Edna”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>“Fred”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Drew”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Edna”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Fred”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A query in SQL

```sql
select w.name as name, w.age - m.age as diff
from couples as c,
    people as w,
    people as m
where c.her = w.name and c.him = m.name and w.age > m.age
```
A database as data

{people =
  [ {name = “Alex” ; age = 60};
   {name = “Bert” ; age = 56};
   {name = “Cora”; age = 33};
   {name = “Drew”; age = 31};
   {name = “Edna”; age = 21};
   {name = “Fred” ; age = 60} ];

couples =
  [ {her = “Alex” ; him = “Bert” };
   {her = “Cora”; him = “Drew”};
   {her = “Edna”; him = “Fred” } ]}
Importing the database (naive)

```haskell
  type DB =
    {people :
      {name : String; age : Int} list;
    couples :
      {her : String; him : String} list}
  let db' : DB = database("People")
```
A query as a comprehension (naive)

```plaintext
let differences' : {name : string; diff : int} list =
    for c in db'.couples do
    for w in db'.people do
    for m in db'.people do
        if c.her = w.name && c.him = m.name && w.age > m.age then
            yield {name : w.name; diff : w.age - m.age}

    differences'

    [{name = "Alex" ; diff = 4}
     {name = "Cora" ; diff = 2}]
```
Importing the database (quoted)

```plaintext
type DB =
  {people :
    {name : string; age : int} list;
  couples :
    {her : string; him : string} list}
let db : Expr<DB> = <$ database("People") >
```
A query as a comprehension (quoted)

```plaintext
let differences : Expr< {name : string; diff : int} list > =
<& for c in (%db).couples do
    for w in (%db).people do
    for m in (%db).people do
        if c.her = w.name && c.him = m.name && w.age > m.age then
            yield {name : w.name; diff : w.age - m.age} @>

run(differences)

[ {name = “Alex”; diff = 4} 
  {name = “Cora”; diff = 2} ]
```
Running a query

1. compute quoted expression
2. simplify quoted expression
3. translate query to SQL
4. execute SQL
5. translate answer to host language

Scylla and Charybdis:
Each run generates one query if
A. answer type is flat (bag of record of scalars)
B. only permitted operations (e.g., no recursion)
C. only refers to one database
Scala (naive)

val differences: List[{ val name: String; val diff: Int }] = for {
  c <- db.couples
  w <- db.people
  m <- db.people
  if c.her == w.name && c.him == m.name && w.age > m.age
} yield new Record {
  val name = w.name
  val diff = w.age - m.age
}
Scala (quoted)

```scala
val differences:
  Rep[List[{ val name: String; val diff: Int }]] =
  for {
    c <- db.couples
    w <- db.people
    m <- db.people
    if c.her == w.name && c.him == m.name && w.age > m.age
  } yield new Record {
    val name = w.name
    val diff = w.age - m.age
  }
```
Part II

Abstraction, composition, dynamic generation
Abstracting over values

```plaintext
let range : Expr<(int, int) → Names> =
  <> fun(a, b) → for w in (%db).people do
    if a ≤ w.age && w.age < b then
      yield {name : w.name} @>

run(<> (%range)(30, 40) @>)

select w.name as name
from people as w
where 30 ≤ w.age and w.age < 40
```
Abstracting over a predicate

```plaintext
let satisfies : Expr<(int -> bool) -> Names> =
<@ fun(p) -> for w in (%db).people do
    if p(w.age) then
        yield {name : w.name}@>

run(<@ (%satisfies)(fun(x) -> 30 ≤ x && x < 40)@>)

select w.name as name
from people as w
where 30 ≤ w.age and w.age < 40
```
Datatype of predicates

```
type Predicate =
    | Above of int
    | Below of int
    | And of Predicate × Predicate
    | Or of Predicate × Predicate
    | Not of Predicate

let t₀ : Predicate = And(Above(30), Below(40))
```
Dynamically generated queries

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\textbf{let rec } P(t : \text{Predicate}) : \text{Expr} \langle \text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool} > \ = \\
&\text{match } t \text{ with} \\
&| \text{Above}(a) \rightarrow \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow (% \text{lift}(a)) \leq x @> \\
&| \text{Below}(a) \rightarrow \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow x < (% \text{lift}(a)) @> \\
&| \text{And}(t, u) \rightarrow \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow (% P(t))(x) \&\& (% P(u))(x) @> \\
&| \text{Or}(t, u) \rightarrow \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow (% P(t))(x) \mid\mid (% P(u))(x) @> \\
&| \text{Not}(t) \rightarrow \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow \text{not}((% P(t))(x)) @>
\end{aligned}
\]
Generating the query

\[ P(t_0) \]

\[ \leadsto \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow (\text{fun}(x) \rightarrow 30 \leq x)(x) \land (\text{fun}(x) \rightarrow x < 40)(x) \rangle @> \]

\[ \leadsto \langle @ \text{fun}(x) \rightarrow 30 \leq x \land x < 40 \rangle @> \]

\[ \text{run}(\langle @ (\% \text{satisfies})(\% P(t_0)) \rangle @>) \]

\[ \text{select} \ \text{w.name as name} \]

\[ \text{from} \ \text{people as w} \]

\[ \text{where} \ 30 \leq \text{w.age} \land \text{w.age} < 40 \]
Part III

Nested intermediate data
Flat data

{departments =
  [ {dpt = “Product”} ;
    {dpt = “Quality”} ;
    {dpt = “Research”} ;
    {dpt = “Sales”} ] ;

employees =
  [ {dpt = “Product”; emp = “Alex”} ;
    {dpt = “Product”; emp = “Bert”} ;
    {dpt = “Research”; emp = “Cora”} ;
    {dpt = “Research”; emp = “Drew”} ;
    {dpt = “Research”; emp = “Edna”} ;
    {dpt = “Sales”; emp = “Fred”} ] ;
Flat data (continued)

tasks =

[ {emp = “Alex”; tsk = “build”};
{emp = “Bert”; tsk = “build”};
{emp = “Cora”; tsk = “abstract”};
{emp = “Cora”; tsk = “build”};
{emp = “Cora”; tsk = “design”};
{emp = “Drew”; tsk = “abstract”};
{emp = “Drew”; tsk = “design”};
{emp = “Edna”; tsk = “abstract”};
{emp = “Edna”; tsk = “call”};
{emp = “Edna”; tsk = “design”};
{emp = “Fred”; tsk = “call”} ] }
Importing the database

```plaintext
type Org = {departments : {dpt : string} list;
            employees : {dpt : string; emp : string} list;
            tasks : {emp : string; tsk : string} list 
}
let org : Expr<Org> = #@ database("Org") #@
```
Departments where every employee can do a given task

```haskell
let expertise' : Expr< string → {dpt : string} list > =
  <@ fun(u) → for d in (%org).departments do
  if not(exists(
    for e in (%org).employees do
    if d.dpt = e.dpt && not(exists(
      for t in (%org).tasks do
      if e.emp = t.emp && t.tsk = u then yield { }
    ))) then yield { }

  )) then yield {dpt = d.dpt} @>

run(<@ (%expertise')(“abstract”) @>)
[ {dpt = “Quality”}; {dpt = “Research”} ]
```
Nested data

```javascript
[ {dpt = “Product”; employees =

  [ {emp = “Alex”; tasks = [“build”] }
   {emp = “Bert”; tasks = [“build”] } ] },
  {dpt = “Quality”; employees = [ ] },
  {dpt = “Research”; employees =

  [ {emp = “Cora”; tasks = [“abstract”; “build”; “design”] }
   {emp = “Drew”; tasks = [“abstract”; “design”] }
   {emp = “Edna”; tasks = [“abstract”; “call”; “design”] } ] },
  {dpt = “Sales”; employees =

  [ {emp = “Fred”; tasks = [“call”] } ] ]
]```
Nested data from flat data

```plaintext
type NestedOrg = [ {dpt : string; employees :
  [ {emp : string; tasks : [ string ]] } ] }

let nestedOrg : Expr< NestedOrg > =
 @@ for d in (%org).departments do
  yield { dpt = d.dpt; employees =
    for e in (%org).employees do
      if d.dpt = e.dpt then
        yield { emp = e.emp; tasks =
          for t in (%org).tasks do
            if e.emp = t.emp then
              yield t.tsk}} }} @>
```
Higher-order queries

let any : Expr< (A list, A → bool) → bool > =
<@ fun(xs, p) →
  exists(for x in xs do
    if p(x) then
      yield { } ) @>

let all : Expr< (A list, A → bool) → bool > =
<@ fun(xs, p) →
  not( (%any)(xs, fun(x) → not(p(x)))) @>

let contains : Expr< (A list, A) → bool > =
<@ fun(xs, u) →
( %any)(xs, fun(x) → x = u) @>
Departments where every employee can do a given task

```plaintext
let expertise : Expr< string → {dpt : string} list > =
<@ fun(u) → for d in (%nestedOrg)
    if (%all)(d.employees,
        fun(e) → (%contains)(e.tasks, u) then
    yield {dpt = d.dpt} @>
run(<@ (%expertise)(“abstract”) @>)

[{dpt = “Quality”}; {dpt = “Research”}]```

Part IV

Compiling XPath to SQL
Part V

Closed quotation vs. open quotation
Dynamically generated queries, revisited

```ocaml
let rec P(t : Predicate) : Expr< int → bool >=
    match t with
    | Above(a) → @ fun(x) → (%lift(a)) ≤ x @>
    | Below(a) → @ fun(x) → x < (%lift(a)) @>
    | And(t, u) → @ fun(x) → (%P(t))(x) && (%P(u))(x) @>

VS.

let rec P(t : Predicate)(x : Expr< int >) : Expr< bool >=
    match t with
    | Above(a) → @ (%lift(a)) ≤ (%x) @>
    | Below(a) → @ (%x) < (%lift(a)) @>
    | And(t, u) → @ (%P(t))(x) && (%P(u))(x) @>
```
Abstracting over a predicate, revisited

```plaintext
let satisfies : Expr< (int → bool) → Names > =
<@ fun(p) → for w in (%db).people do
    if p(w.age) then
    yield {name : w.name} @>

vs.

let satisfies(p : Expr< int > → Expr< bool >) : Expr< Names > =
<@ for w in (%db).people do
    if (%p(<@ w.age @>)) then
    yield {name : w.name} @>
```
closed quotations

vs.

open quotations

quotations of functions

(Expr\langle A \rightarrow B \rangle)

vs.

functions of quotations

(Expr\langle A \rangle \rightarrow Expr\langle B \rangle)
Part VI

T-LINQ: the theory
Host language

**Fun**

\[
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun}(x) \to N : A \to B}
\]

**App**

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \to B \quad \Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash L \, M : B}
\]

**Singleton**

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{yield} \, M : A \, \text{list}}
\]

**For**

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \, \text{list} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash N : B \, \text{list}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{for} \, x \, \text{in} \, M \, \text{do} \, N : B \, \text{list}}
\]

**Quote**

\[
\frac{\Gamma; \cdot \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{@}M\text{@} : \text{Expr}<A>}
\]

**Run**

\[
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \text{Expr}<T>}{\Gamma \vdash \text{run}(M) : T}
\]

**Rec**

\[
\frac{\Gamma, f : A \to B, x : A \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{rec} \, f(x) \to N : A \to B}
\]
Quoted language

\[
\text{FunQ} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta, x : A \vdash N : B \quad \text{AppQ} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash L : A \to B \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash L M : B
\]

\[
\text{SingletonQ} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash M : A \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{yield } M : A \text{ list}
\]

\[
\text{ForQ} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash M : A \text{ list} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta, x : A \vdash N : B \text{ list} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{for } x \text{ in } M \text{ do } N : B \text{ list}
\]

\[
\text{Antiquote} \\
\quad \Gamma \vdash M : \text{Expr}< A > \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (\% M) : A
\]

\[
\text{Lift} \\
\quad \Gamma \vdash M : O \\
\quad \Gamma \vdash \text{lift}(M) : \text{Expr}< O >
\]

\[
\text{Database} \\
\quad \Sigma(\text{db}) = \{ \overline{\ell : T} \} \\
\quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{database}(\text{db}) : \{ \overline{\ell : T} \}
\]
Normalisation: symbolic evaluation

\[(\text{fun}(x) \rightarrow N) \ M \ \rightsquigarrow \ N[x := M]\]

\[\{\ell = M\} \cdot \ell_i \ \rightsquigarrow \ M_i\]

\[\text{for } x \text{ in } (\text{yield } M) \text{ do } N \ \rightsquigarrow \ N[x := M]\]

\[\text{for } y \text{ in } (\text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } M) \text{ do } N \ \rightsquigarrow \ \text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } (\text{for } y \text{ in } M \text{ do } N)\]

\[\text{for } x \text{ in } (\text{if } L \text{ then } M) \text{ do } N \ \rightsquigarrow \ \text{if } L \text{ then } (\text{for } x \text{ in } M \text{ do } N)\]

\[\text{for } x \text{ in } [ ] \text{ do } N \ \rightsquigarrow \ [ ]\]

\[\text{for } x \text{ in } (L @ M) \text{ do } N \ \rightsquigarrow \ (\text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } N) @ (\text{for } x \text{ in } M \text{ do } N)\]

\[\text{if true then } M \ \rightsquigarrow \ M\]

\[\text{if false then } M \ \rightsquigarrow \ [ ]\]
Normalisation: *ad hoc* rewriting

\[
\text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } (M @ N) \leftrightarrow (\text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } M) @ (\text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } N)
\]

\[
\text{for } x \text{ in } L \text{ do } [ ] \leftrightarrow [ ]
\]

\[
\text{if } L \text{ then } (M @ N) \leftrightarrow (\text{if } L \text{ then } M) @ (\text{if } L \text{ then } N)
\]

\[
\text{if } L \text{ then } [ ] \leftrightarrow [ ]
\]

\[
\text{if } L \text{ then } (\text{for } x \text{ in } M \text{ do } N) \leftrightarrow \text{for } x \text{ in } M \text{ do } (\text{if } L \text{ then } N)
\]

\[
\text{if } L \text{ then } (\text{if } M \text{ then } N) \leftrightarrow \text{if } (L \&\& M) \text{ then } N
\]
Theorem \textit{(Scylla and Charybdis)} If

$$\vdash L : A$$

and $A$ is a table type (list of record of scalars) then

$$L \leadsto^* M \quad \text{and} \quad M \hookrightarrow^* N,$$

where $M$ and $N$ are in normal form with respect to $\leadsto$ and $\hookrightarrow$, and $N$ is isomorphic to an SQL query.
Part VII

P-LINQ: the practice
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>F# 2.0</th>
<th>F# 3.0</th>
<th>us</th>
<th>(norm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>differences</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satisfies</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satisfies</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compose</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(t₀)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(t₁)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expertise'</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expertise</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>66.7^{av}</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₀</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₁</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₂</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp₃</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3744.9</td>
<td>3768.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^{av} marks query avalanche. All times in milliseconds.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q#</th>
<th>F# 3.0</th>
<th>us</th>
<th>(norm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q21</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q22</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q27</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q#</td>
<td>F# 3.0</td>
<td>us</td>
<td>(norm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q29</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q30</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q32</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q33</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q34</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q35</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q37</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q38</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q39</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q40</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q41</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q42</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q43</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q44</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q45</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q46</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q47</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q48</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q49</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q50</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q51</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q52</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q53</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q#</td>
<td>F# 3.0</td>
<td>us</td>
<td>(norm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q54</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q55</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q56</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q57</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q58</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q59</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q60</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q#</th>
<th>F# 3.0</th>
<th>us</th>
<th>(norm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q61</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q62</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q63</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q64</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q65</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q66</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q67</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All times in milliseconds.
Part VIII

Conclusion
Series of examples
Join queries
Abstraction over values (first-order)
Abstraction over predicates (higher-order)
Dynamic generation of queries
Nested intermediate data
Compiling XPath to SQL

Closed quotation vs. open quotation
Expr< A → B > vs. Expr< A > → Expr< B >

T-LINQ: the theory
Scylla and Charybdis Theorem

P-LINQ: the practice
Measured times comparable
Normalisation a small fraction of time
Good DSLs copy, great DSLs steal

Nikola (Mainland and Morrisett 2010)
Feldspar (Axelsson et al. 2010; Axelsson and Svenningsson 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>DSEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a + b</td>
<td>a + b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a &lt; b</td>
<td>a .&lt;. b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if a then b else c</td>
<td>a ? (b, c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSEL’s steal the host’s type system.
We steal the host’s type system and syntax, and we provide normalisation.
Theory and Practice

**T-LINQ:**

doesn’t cover sorting, grouping, aggregation
(work for tomorrow)

**P-LINQ:**

covers all of LINQ
(put it to work today!)
What is the difference between theory and practice?

In theory there is no difference.

But in practice there is.
What is the difference between theory and practice?

In theory there is a difference.
But in practice there isn’t.