### Learning from Data: Naive Bayes

Amos Storkey, School of Informatics

Semester 1

http://www.anc.ed.ac.uk/~amos/lfd/

### Naive Bayes

- Typical example: "Bayesian Spam Filter".
- Naive means naive. Bayesian methods can be much more sophisticated.
- Basic assumption: conditional independence.
- Given the class (eg "Spam", "Ham"), whether one data item (eg word) appears is independent of whether another appears.
- Invariably wrong! But useful anyway.

### Why?

- Easy to program. Simple and transparent.
- Fast to train. Fast to use.
- Can deal with uncertainty.
- Probabilistic.

#### Data types

- Naive Bayes assumption can use both continuous and discrete data.
- However generally understood in terms of discrete data.
- ▶ Binary and discrete very common. Do not use "1 of M"!
- E.g. Bag of words assumption for text classification:
- Can even mix different types of data

### Bag of Words

- Each document is represented by a large vector.
- Each element of the vector represents the presence (1) or absence (0) of a particular word in the document.
- Certain words are more common in one document type than another.
- Can build another form of class conditional model using the conditional probability of seeing each word, given the document class (e.g. ham/spam).

### Conditional Independence

- ightharpoonup P(X, Y) = P(X)P(Y|X).
- ▶ P(X, Y|C) = P(X|C)P(Y|X, C). Think of C as a class label.
- The above is always true. However we can make an assumption
- ▶ P(Y|X, C) = P(Y|C).
- ► Knowing about the value of *X* makes no difference to the value *Y* takes so long as we know the class *C*.
- We say that X and Y are conditionally independent given C.

### Example

- Probability of you getting heatstroke (S) and you going to the beach (B) are, most likely not independent.
- But they might be independent given that you know it is hot weather (H).
- $ightharpoonup P(S,B) \neq P(S)P(B)$
- ► P(S, B|H) = P(S|H)P(B|H).
- H explains all of the dependence between S and B.

# Generally

 $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are said to be conditionally independent given c iff

$$P(\mathbf{x}|c) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|c)$$

for 
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$
.

► For example, we could also consider other attributes (eg I - your ice-cream melting) that are also conditionally independent.

### Naive Bayes

► The equation on the previous slide is in fact the Naive Bayes Model.

$$P(\mathbf{x}|c) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|c)$$

for 
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$
.

- ► The x is our attribute vector. And the c is our class label.
- ▶ We want to learn P(c) and  $P(x_i|c)$  from the data.
- We then want to find the best choice of c corresponding to a new datum (inference)
- ▶ The form of  $P(x_i|c)$  is usually given. But we do need to learn the parameter.

# Working Example

- See sheet section 3.
- Have a set of attributes.
- Inference first: Bayes rule.
- ▶ Learning the model P(E), P(S), P(x|S), P(x|E)
- Naive Bayes assumption.

#### Problems with Naive Bayes

- 1 of M encoding
- Failed conditional independence assumptions.
- Worst case: repeated attribute.
- Double counted, triple counted etc.
- Conditionally dependent attributes can have too much influence.

# Spam Example

- Bag of words.
- Probability of ham containing each word. Probability of spam containing each word.
- Prior probability of ham/spam.
- New document. Check the presence/absence of each word.
- Calculate the spam probability given the vector of word occurrence.
- How best to fool Naive Bayes? Introduce lots of hammy words into the document. Each hammy word is viewed independently and so they repeatedly count towards the ham probability.

#### Summary

- Conditional Independence
- Bag of Words
- Naive Bayes
- Learning Parameters
- Bayes Rule
- Working Examples