Dagger Category Theory

Chris Heunen and Martti Karvonen



Outline

▶ What are dagger categories?

▶ What are dagger monads?

▶ What are dagger limits?

▶ What are evils about daggers?

Dagger

A dagger is contravariant involutive identity-on-objects endofunctor

$$X \xrightarrow{f = f^{\dagger \dagger}} Y$$

Dagger

A dagger is contravariant involutive identity-on-objects endofunctor

$$X \xleftarrow{f = f^{\dagger \dagger}}{f^{\dagger}} Y$$

Terminology: adjoints in Hilbert spaces $\langle f(x) | y \rangle_Y = \langle x | f^{\dagger}(y) \rangle_X$ If S(X) is poset of closed subspaces, get $S(f) \colon S(X)^{\text{op}} \to S(Y)$ **Theorem** [Palmquist 74]: S(f) and $S(f^{\dagger})$ adjoint, and up to scalar any adjunction of this form

Any groupoid

- Any groupoid
- ▶ Hilbert spaces and continuous linear maps
- Sets and relations
- ▶ Finite sets and doubly stochastic matrices

- Any groupoid
- ▶ Hilbert spaces and continuous linear maps
- Sets and relations
- ▶ Finite sets and doubly stochastic matrices
- ▶ Dagger categories and contravariant adjunctions

- Any groupoid
- ▶ Hilbert spaces and continuous linear maps
- Sets and relations
- ▶ Finite sets and doubly stochastic matrices
- ▶ Dagger categories and contravariant adjunctions
- Inverse category: any f has unique g with f = gfg and g = fgf
- Sets and partial injections

- Any groupoid
- ▶ Hilbert spaces and continuous linear maps
- Sets and relations
- ▶ Finite sets and doubly stochastic matrices
- ▶ Dagger categories and contravariant adjunctions
- Inverse category: any f has unique g with f = gfg and g = fgf
- Sets and partial injections
- ▶ Free dagger category: same objects, $[X \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow Y]_{\sim}$

- Any groupoid
- ▶ Hilbert spaces and continuous linear maps
- Sets and relations
- ▶ Finite sets and doubly stochastic matrices
- ▶ Dagger categories and contravariant adjunctions
- Inverse category: any f has unique g with f = gfg and g = fgf
- Sets and partial injections
- ▶ Free dagger category: same objects, $[X \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow Y]_{\sim}$
- Cofree dagger category: same objects, pairs $X \leftrightarrows Y$

- Any groupoid
- ▶ Hilbert spaces and continuous linear maps
- Sets and relations
- ▶ Finite sets and doubly stochastic matrices
- ▶ Dagger categories and contravariant adjunctions
- Inverse category: any f has unique g with f = gfg and g = fgf
- Sets and partial injections
- ▶ Free dagger category: same objects, $[X \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow Y]_{\sim}$
- Cofree dagger category: same objects, pairs $X \leftrightarrows Y$
- ▶ Dagger functors and natural transformations
- Unitary representations and intertwiners

Way of the dagger

Category theory	Dagger category theory
isomorphism	unitary $f^{-1} = f^{\dagger}$
idempotent	projection $f = f^{\dagger} \circ f$
functor	dagger functor $F(f^{\dagger}) = F(f)^{\dagger}$
natural transform	natural transformation $(\alpha^{\dagger})_X = (\alpha_X)^{\dagger}$
monoidal structure	monoidal dagger structure $(f \otimes g)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \otimes g^{\dagger}$

Way of the dagger

Category theory	Dagger category theory
isomorphism	unitary $f^{-1} = f^{\dagger}$
idempotent	projection $f = f^{\dagger} \circ f$
functor	dagger functor $F(f^{\dagger}) = F(f)^{\dagger}$
natural transform	natural transformation $(\alpha^{\dagger})_X = (\alpha_X)^{\dagger}$
monoidal structure	monoidal dagger structure $(f \otimes g)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \otimes g^{\dagger}$
monad	?
limit	?

Way of the dagger

-

Category theory	Dagger category theory
isomorphism	unitary $f^{-1} = f^{\dagger}$
idempotent	projection $f = f^{\dagger} \circ f$
functor	dagger functor $F(f^{\dagger}) = F(f)^{\dagger}$
natural transform	natural transformation $(\alpha^{\dagger})_X = (\alpha_X)^{\dagger}$
monoidal structure	monoidal dagger structure $(f\otimes g)^{\dagger}=f^{\dagger}\otimes g^{\dagger}$
monad	?
limit	?

isn't this trivially trivial?

Formal dagger category theory

▶ Daggers not preserved under equivalence

Formal dagger category theory

- ▶ Daggers not preserved under equivalence
- Dagger categories, dagger functors, and natural transformations: not just 2-category, but dagger 2-category
 - 2-cells have dagger, so should have unitary coherence laws

Formal dagger category theory

- ▶ Daggers not preserved under equivalence
- Dagger categories, dagger functors, and natural transformations: not just 2-category, but *dagger 2-category*
 - 2-cells have dagger, so should have unitary coherence laws
- ▶ Principle: if P ⇒ Q for categories, then P[†] + laws ⇒ Q[†] + laws for dagger categories

• Want $\frac{\text{dagger monads}}{\text{dagger adjunctions}} = \frac{\text{monads}}{\text{adjunctions}}$



► Dagger adjunction is adjunction in **DagCat**: no left/right



- ► Dagger adjunction is adjunction in **DagCat**: no left/right
- ▶ Dagger monad should at least be dagger functor: so comonad
- ▶ What interaction between monad and comonad?

▶ A *dagger monad* is a monad that is a dagger functor satisfying



▶ A *dagger monad* is a monad that is a dagger functor satisfying

$$\mu T \circ T \mu^{\dagger} = T \mu \circ \mu^{\dagger} T$$

▶ If M is dagger Frobenius monoid, then $- \otimes M$ is dagger monad

▶ A *dagger monad* is a monad that is a dagger functor satisfying

$$\mu T \circ T \mu^{\dagger} = T \mu \circ \mu^{\dagger} T$$

▶ If M is dagger Frobenius monoid, then $- \otimes M$ is dagger monad

▶ Dagger adjunctions induce dagger monads

Kleisli algebras

▶ If T is dagger monad on **C**, then Kl(T) has dagger

$$\left(A \xrightarrow{f} T(B)\right) \ \mapsto \ \left(B \xrightarrow{\eta} T(B) \xrightarrow{\mu^{\dagger}} T^2(B) \xrightarrow{T(f^{\dagger})} T(A)\right)$$

that commutes with $\mathbf{C} \to \mathrm{Kl}(T)$ and $\mathrm{Kl}(T) \to \mathbf{C}$

Kleisli algebras

▶ If T is dagger monad on **C**, then Kl(T) has dagger

$$\left(A \xrightarrow{f} T(B)\right) \ \mapsto \ \left(B \xrightarrow{\eta} T(B) \xrightarrow{\mu^{\dagger}} T^{2}(B) \xrightarrow{T(f^{\dagger})} T(A)\right)$$

that commutes with $\mathbf{C} \to \mathrm{Kl}(T)$ and $\mathrm{Kl}(T) \to \mathbf{C}$

▶ Frobenius law for monoid M is Frobenius law for monad $- \otimes M$



Eilenberg-Moore algebras

▶ Frobenius-Eilenberg-Moore algebra is algebra $T(A) \xrightarrow{a} A$ with

$$\begin{array}{c} T(A) \xrightarrow{T(a)^{\dagger}} T^{2}(A) \\ \mu^{\dagger} \downarrow & \downarrow \mu \\ T^{2}(A) \xrightarrow{T(a)} T(A) \end{array}$$

Gives full subcategory FEM(T)

Eilenberg-Moore algebras

▶ Frobenius-Eilenberg-Moore algebra is algebra $T(A) \xrightarrow{a} A$ with

$$\begin{array}{c} T(A) \xrightarrow{T(a)^{\dagger}} T^{2}(A) \\ \mu^{\dagger} \downarrow & \downarrow \mu \\ T^{2}(A) \xrightarrow{T(a)} T(A) \end{array}$$

Gives full subcategory FEM(T)

▶ Largest full subcategory with Kl(T) and $EM(T) \rightarrow C$ dagger

Eilenberg-Moore algebras

▶ Frobenius-Eilenberg-Moore algebra is algebra $T(A) \xrightarrow{a} A$ with

$$T(A) \xrightarrow{T(a)^{\dagger}} T^{2}(A)$$
$$\mu^{\dagger} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \mu$$
$$T^{2}(A) \xrightarrow{T(a)} T(A)$$

Gives full subcategory FEM(T)

- ▶ Largest full subcategory with Kl(T) and $EM(T) \rightarrow C$ dagger
- ▶ There are EM-algebras that are not FEM

Theorem

If F, G are dagger adjoint, there are unique dagger functors with



J is full, K is full and faithful, and JK is the canonical inclusion

Theorem

If F, G are dagger adjoint, there are unique dagger functors with



J is full, K is full and faithful, and JK is the canonical inclusion Proof.

► EM-algebra (A, a) is FEM iff a^{\dagger} is morphism $(A, a) \rightarrow (TA, \mu_A)$

Theorem

If F, G are dagger adjoint, there are unique dagger functors with



J is full, K is full and faithful, and JK is the canonical inclusion Proof.

- ► EM-algebra (A, a) is FEM iff a^{\dagger} is morphism $(A, a) \to (TA, \mu_A)$
- ► $(A, a) \in \operatorname{Im}(J)$ associative $\implies (TA, \mu_A) \stackrel{a}{\to} (A, a)) \in \operatorname{Im}(J)$ $\implies a^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Im}(J)$ $\implies (A, a) \in \operatorname{FEM}(GF)$

- Monad T is strong when coherent natural $A \otimes T(B) \to T(A \otimes B)$
- monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq \text{monads on } \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} M & \mapsto & -\otimes M \\ T(I) & \leftarrow & T \end{array}$$

- ▶ Monad T is strong when coherent natural $A \otimes T(B) \to T(A \otimes B)$
- ▶ monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq \text{monads on } \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} M & \mapsto & - \otimes M \\ T(I) & \leftarrow & T \end{array}$$

- ▶ Dagger monad is strong when strength is unitary
- ► Frobenius monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq \text{strong dagger monads on } \mathbf{C}$ $M \mapsto - \otimes M$ $T(I) \leftrightarrow T$

- ▶ Monad T is strong when coherent natural $A \otimes T(B) \to T(A \otimes B)$
- ▶ monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq \text{monads on } \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} M & \mapsto & - \otimes M \\ T(I) & \leftrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

- ▶ Dagger monad is strong when strength is unitary
- ► Frobenius monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq \text{strong dagger monads on } \mathbf{C}$ $M \mapsto - \otimes M$ $T(I) \leftrightarrow T$
- ▶ $[\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{FHilb}] \rightarrow [\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{FHilb}]$ has dagger adjoint $f \mapsto \text{Im}(f)$ but induced monad decreases dimension so not strong

- ▶ Monad T is strong when coherent natural $A \otimes T(B) \to T(A \otimes B)$
- ▶ monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq \text{monads on } \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} M & \mapsto & - \otimes M \\ T(I) & \leftarrow & T \end{array}$$

- ▶ Dagger monad is strong when strength is unitary
- ► Frobenius monoids in $\mathbf{C} \simeq$ strong dagger monads on \mathbf{C} $M \mapsto -\otimes M$ $T(I) \leftrightarrow T$
- ▶ $[\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{FHilb}] \rightarrow [\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{FHilb}]$ has dagger adjoint $f \mapsto \text{Im}(f)$ but induced monad decreases dimension so not strong
- ▶ If T commutative, then Kl(T) dagger symmetric monoidal

Dagger limits

Should:

- ▶ be unique up to unique unitary
- ▶ be defined canonically (without e.g. enrichment)
- ▶ generalize dagger biproducts and dagger equalisers
- ▶ connect to dagger adjunctions and dagger Kan extensions

Unique up to unitary

▶ Two limits (L, l_A) , (M, m_A) of same diagram are iso $L \xrightarrow{f} M$. Now f^{-1} is iso of limits $M \to L$. But f^{\dagger} is iso of colimits.

Unique up to unitary

- ▶ Two limits (L, l_A) , (M, m_A) of same diagram are iso $L \xrightarrow{f} M$. Now f^{-1} is iso of limits $M \to L$. But f^{\dagger} is iso of colimits.
- ▶ Two limits are unitarily iso iff



commutes for all A, B

Unique up to unitary

- ▶ Two limits (L, l_A) , (M, m_A) of same diagram are iso $L \xrightarrow{f} M$. Now f^{-1} is iso of limits $M \to L$. But f^{\dagger} is iso of colimits.
- ▶ Two limits are unitarily iso iff



commutes for all A, B

• Right notion of dagger limit means fixing maps $A \to L \to B$.

Definition

The *dagger limit* of dagger functor $D: \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a limit (L, l_J) with

- each $l_J \circ l_J^{\dagger}$ is projection;
- $l_K \circ l_J = 0$ when $\mathbf{J}(J, K) = \emptyset$.

Definition

The *dagger limit* of dagger functor $D: \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a limit (L, l_J) with

- each $l_J \circ l_J^{\dagger}$ is projection;
- $l_K \circ l_J = 0$ when $\mathbf{J}(J, K) = \emptyset$.

Theorem

C has all **J**-shaped limits $\iff \Delta \colon \mathbf{C} \to [\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{C}]$ has dagger adjoint and $\varepsilon \circ \varepsilon^{\dagger}$ idempotent

Definition

The *dagger limit* of dagger functor $D: \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a limit (L, l_J) with

- each $l_J \circ l_J^{\dagger}$ is projection;
- $l_K \circ l_J = 0$ when $\mathbf{J}(J, K) = \emptyset$.

Theorem

C has all **J**-shaped limits $\iff \Delta \colon \mathbf{C} \to [\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{C}]$ has dagger adjoint and $\varepsilon \circ \varepsilon^{\dagger}$ idempotent

Proof. $l_J \circ l_J^{\dagger}$ is largest projection compatible with D

Definition

The *dagger limit* of dagger functor $D: \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a limit (L, l_J) with

- each $l_J \circ l_J^{\dagger}$ is projection;
- $l_K \circ l_J = 0$ when $\mathbf{J}(J, K) = \emptyset$.

Theorem

Proof. $l_J \circ l_J^{\dagger}$ is largest projection compatible with D

• Dagger product: product $J \xleftarrow{p_J} J \times K \xrightarrow{p_K} K$ with $p_K^{\dagger} p_J = \delta_{JK}$

• Dagger equaliser: equaliser $E \xrightarrow{e} J \rightrightarrows K$ with $e^{\dagger}e = \mathrm{id}$

- ► Dagger product: product $J \xleftarrow{p_J} J \times K \xrightarrow{p_K} K$ with $p_K^{\dagger} p_J = \delta_{JK}$
- ▶ Dagger equaliser: equaliser $E \xrightarrow{e} J \rightrightarrows K$ with $e^{\dagger}e = id$
- Dagger stabiliser: $\mathbf{J} = \operatorname{Free}(\cdot \Longrightarrow \cdot)$

- Dagger product: product $J \stackrel{p_J}{\longleftarrow} J \times K \stackrel{p_K}{\longrightarrow} K$ with $p_K^{\dagger} p_J = \delta_{JK}$
- ▶ Dagger equaliser: equaliser $E \xrightarrow{e} J \rightrightarrows K$ with $e^{\dagger}e = id$
- Dagger stabiliser: $\mathbf{J} = \operatorname{Free}(\cdot \Longrightarrow \cdot)$
- ▶ Dagger projection: infimum of projections $p_j: J \to J$ splits

- ► Dagger product: product $J \xleftarrow{p_J} J \times K \xrightarrow{p_K} K$ with $p_K^{\dagger} p_J = \delta_{JK}$
- Dagger equaliser: equaliser $E \xrightarrow{e} J \rightrightarrows K$ with $e^{\dagger}e = \mathrm{id}$
- Dagger stabiliser: $\mathbf{J} = \operatorname{Free}(\cdot \Longrightarrow \cdot)$
- ▶ Dagger projection: infimum of projections $p_j: J \to J$ splits
- C has dagger limits of dagger shapes with κ components C has dagger limits of
 - \blacktriangleright dagger products of size κ
 - dagger stabilisers
 - dagger projections

Non-dagger shapes?

What to do with loops?



Non-dagger shapes?

What to do with loops?





Daggers are evil

► No dagger on FVect respects forgetful FHilb → FVect. Proof: equip vector space with two inner products; then v → v not unitary but maps to identity

Daggers are evil

- ► No dagger on FVect respects forgetful FHilb → FVect. Proof: equip vector space with two inner products; then v → v not unitary but maps to identity
- ► Dagger equivalence is equivalence in **DagCat** unitary (co)unit
- ► If $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{DagCat}$, when does equivalence $\mathbf{C} \xleftarrow{F}{G} \mathbf{D}$ in \mathbf{Cat} lift to dagger equivalence? Clearly need η and $G\varepsilon$ unitary.

Daggers are evil ... but they ain't all that bad

- ▶ No dagger on **FVect** respects forgetful **FHilb** \rightarrow **FVect**. Proof: equip vector space with two inner products; then $v \mapsto v$ not unitary but maps to identity
- ► Dagger equivalence is equivalence in **DagCat** unitary (co)unit
- ► If $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{DagCat}$, when does equivalence $\mathbf{C} \xleftarrow{F}{G} \mathbf{D}$ in \mathbf{Cat} lift to dagger equivalence? Clearly need η and $G\varepsilon$ unitary.

Theorem: this is sufficient.

Daggers are evil ... but they ain't all that bad

- ▶ No dagger on **FVect** respects forgetful **FHilb** \rightarrow **FVect**. Proof: equip vector space with two inner products; then $v \mapsto v$ not unitary but maps to identity
- ► Dagger equivalence is equivalence in **DagCat** unitary (co)unit
- ► If $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{DagCat}$, when does equivalence $\mathbf{C} \xleftarrow{F}{G} \mathbf{D}$ in \mathbf{Cat} lift to dagger equivalence? Clearly need η and $G\varepsilon$ unitary.

Theorem: this is sufficient.

▶ Theorem: If there is unitary $GFA \rightarrow A$ for each A, can replace F, G with isomorphic functors that lift to dagger equivalence.

Conclusion

- DagCat is not just a 2-category so dagger category theory nontrivial
- \blacktriangleright Dagger monads = monad + dagger functor + Frobenius law
- Dagger-shaped limits = limit + dagger + idempotent Dagger limits = ?
- ▶ Dagger categories can't be that evil