## Tutorial 1: Vector-Matrix Calculus

In this tutorial, we will briefly review functions of vectors and matrices and their derivatives. Because the notations can sometimes be confusing, we will put extra emphasis on types.

## 1 Type Theory

Types are used to specify the possible values a variable can take. For example, we can say that a variable $x$ is a real number, and this is often written as $x \in \mathbb{R}$ or $x: \mathbb{R}$. For the purpose of this course, types are synonymous to sets. For example, $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of all possible real numbers, and it is also a type.

Other commonly used types are vectors and matrices, often written as $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for $d$-dimensional vectors, and $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ for $m \times n$ matrices.

Types of functions are defined by the input and output types. For example, $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a function type with input type $\mathcal{X}$ and output type $\mathcal{Y}$. If a function $f$ is of type $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ or simply $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, then we know $f(x)$ is of type $\mathcal{Y}$ if $x$ is of type $\mathcal{X}$.

Sometimes a function can take a function as input and returns another function. In other words, the input and output types can both be functions. For example, if we have $T:\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ ), for a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, T(f)$ is a function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

## 2 Derivatives

For a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the derivative of $f$ at $x$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D f(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D f$ is a function, and $D f(x)$ is the evaluation of $D f$ at $x$. The term $D f(x)$ should be parsed as $(D f)(x)$, not $D(f(x))$.

Discussion. What is the type of $D f$ ?

For multivariate functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the concept of derivative can be extended to directional derivative. Since we only have a definition of derivative on the type $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we need to construct a function of type $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to make use of the definition of derivative. A simple approach is to define $g(t)=f(x+t v)$ for a particular point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a particular direction $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. The function $g$ is $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $D g$ is defined. We also do not care much about the derivative for different $t$ 's, so we can limit ourselves to the point $x$, i.e., when $t=0$. This leads to the definition of the directional
derivative. The derivative at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ along a direction $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is defined as

$$
D_{v} f(x)=D g(0)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+(0+h) v)-f(x+0 v)}{h}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h v)-f(x)}{h} .
$$

Discussion. What is the type of $D_{v} f$ ?

Recall that the standard basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is

$$
e_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{2}\\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad e_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad e_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \ldots .
$$

We will write

$$
D_{e_{1}} f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad D_{e_{2}} f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \quad D_{e_{3}} f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}
$$

Discussion. What is the type of a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ?
Discussion. What is the type of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ ?

Since $D_{e_{1}} f$ is a function of $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it is perfectly valid to ask what the directional derivatives of $D_{e_{1}} f$ are and write $D_{v} D_{e_{1}} f$. For example, we will write

$$
D_{e_{2}} D_{e_{1}} f=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y \partial x} \quad D_{e_{1}} D_{e_{2}} f=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x \partial y} .
$$

Discussion. We can treat $D_{v}$ as an operator on functions. What is type of $D_{v}$ ?

## 3 Taylor Series

Let's focus again on $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose we would like to approximate $f(x)$ locally at $x=0$ with an $n$-degree polynomial $a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}$. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \approx a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeatedly differentiating both sides, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=a_{0} \quad f^{\prime}(0)=a_{1} \quad f^{\prime \prime}(0)=(2!) a_{2} \quad \ldots \quad f^{(n)}(0)=(n!) a_{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=f(0) \quad a_{1}=f^{\prime}(0) \quad a_{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2!} \quad \ldots \quad a_{n}=\frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we plug the coefficients back, we can say that $f(x)$ at $x=0$ can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)+f^{\prime}(0) x+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2!} x^{2}+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

a series known as the Taylor series. We can apply this approximation at any point $x=a$, and the approximation would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(i)}(a)}{i!}(x-a)^{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we conveniently define $f^{(0)}(a)=f(a)$ and $0!=1$. Since the series is only an approximation, to make it an actual equality, we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(i)}(a)}{i!}(x-a)^{i}+E_{n}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}(x)$ is a remainder term that depends on $n$, the degree of the polynomial.
For example, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(a)+f^{\prime}(a)(x-a)+E_{1}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In words, we approximate $f(x)$ at $x=a$ locally with a linear function (literally a line) $f(a)+$ $f^{\prime}(a)(x-a)$.

## 4 Gradients and Hessians

We can have approximation for multivariate functions of type $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The extension is again based on a direction $v$ at the point $x$. In particular, we hope to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+v)=f(x)+T_{x}(v)+\|v\| E_{1}(x, v) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{x}(v)$ is a linear transformation and $E_{1}(x, v) \rightarrow 0$ when $\|v\| \rightarrow 0$. Note that we only hope that this happens. Let's derive $T_{x}(v)$ if this were to happen. We first substitute $v=h u$ for another vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+h u)=f(x)+T_{x}(h u)+\|h u\| E_{1}(x, h u) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now intentionally rearrange the equation into

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f(x+h u)-f(x)}{h} & =\frac{T_{x}(h u)}{h}+\frac{\|h u\|}{h} E_{1}(x, h u)  \tag{12}\\
& =T_{x}(u)+\frac{|h|}{h}\|u\| E_{1}(x, h u) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the fact that $T_{x}(u)$ is linear in $u$, i.e., $T_{x}(h u)=h T_{x}(u)$. If we let $h \rightarrow 0$ on both sides, because $E(x, h u) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{u} f(x)=T_{x}(u) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can express $u$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} e_{i}$, a weighted sum of the standard basis. Because of linearity of $T_{x}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} e_{i}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} T_{x}\left(e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} D_{e_{i}} f(x)  \tag{15}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
D_{e_{1}} f(x) & D_{e_{2}} f(x) & \cdots & D_{e_{d}} f(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
u_{d}
\end{array}\right]=\nabla f(x)^{\top} u, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\nabla f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
D_{e_{1}} f(x)  \tag{17}\\
D_{e_{2}} f(x) \\
\vdots \\
D_{e_{d}} f(x)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}(x) \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}(x) \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d}}(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is called the gradient of $f$ at $x$. The linear transformation $T_{x}$ is also known as the total derivative at $x$. In sum,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{u} f(x)=T_{x}(u)=\nabla f(x)^{\top} u . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Discussion. What is the type of $T_{x}$ ?
Discussion. What is the type of $\nabla f$ ?
Discussion. What is the type of $\nabla$ if we treat it as an operator?

We can similarly derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+v)=f(x)+\nabla f(x)^{\top} v+\frac{1}{2!} v^{\top} H(x) v+\|v\|^{2} E_{2}(x, v), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{2}(x, v) \rightarrow 0$ when $\|v\| \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
H(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{\partial j} \partial x_{1}}(x) & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{d}}(x)  \tag{20}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}(x) & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{2}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{d}}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{d} \partial x_{1}}(x) & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{d} \partial x_{2}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{d} \partial x_{d}}(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is called the Hessian of $f$ at $x$. The Hessian of $f$ is also sometimes written as $\nabla^{2} f$, though it should never be interpreted as $\nabla(\nabla f)$. Deriving this is beyond the scope of this tutorial.

Discussion. What is the type of $H$ or $\nabla^{2} f$ ?
Discussion. Is it type-correct to write $\nabla(\nabla f)$ ? If so, what's the type of $\nabla(\nabla f)$ ?

## 5 Matrix Calculus

Writing gradients and Hessians the way they are written quickly becomes cumbersome when there are many vectors and matrices involved. To simplify notation, when $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}  \tag{21}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d}}
\end{array}\right],
$$

a derivative of $f$ with respect to a vector.

Discussion. When $f$ is of type $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, what is the type of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ ?

For example, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial x^{\top} a}{\partial x_{n}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} x_{i}\right)=a_{n} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the shorthand, we can now write

$$
\frac{\partial x^{\top} a}{\partial x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial x^{\top} a}{\partial x_{1}}  \tag{23}\\
\frac{\partial x^{\top} a}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial \top^{\top} a}{\partial x_{d}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{d}
\end{array}\right]=a
$$

Discussion. We just wrote $\frac{\partial x^{\top} a}{\partial x}=a$, but $a$ is a vector. Shouldn't $\frac{\partial x^{\top} a}{\partial x}$ be a function of $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ ?

As another example, we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial x^{\top} A x}{\partial x_{n}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{i} x_{j} A_{i j}\right)=2 A_{n n} x_{n}+\sum_{j \neq n} x_{j} A_{n j}+\sum_{i \neq n} x_{i} A_{i n}+0  \tag{24}\\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} A_{n j}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i} A_{i n}=A_{n} \cdot x+A_{\cdot n}^{\top} x \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{. n}$ is the $n$-th column of $A$ and $A_{n}$. is the $n$-th row of $A$. Using the shorthand, we can now write

$$
\frac{\partial x^{\top} A x}{\partial x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial x^{\top} A x}{\partial \partial_{1}}  \tag{26}\\
\frac{\partial x^{\top} A x}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial x^{\top} A x}{\partial x_{d}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1} \cdot x+A_{\cdot 1}^{\top} x \\
A_{2} \cdot x+A_{\cdot 2}^{\top} x \\
\vdots \\
A_{d} \cdot x+A_{\cdot d}^{\top} x
\end{array}\right]=\left(A+A^{\top}\right) x
$$

We can also extend the notation to derivatives with respect to a matrix. Specifically, we define

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1 d}}  \tag{27}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{21}} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial f_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2 d}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d 1}} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d 2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{d d}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{m n}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{i} b_{j} X_{i j}\right)=a_{m} b_{n}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can now write

$$
\frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial X}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{1}}  \tag{29}\\
\frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{21}} & \frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{d 1}} & \frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{d 2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial a^{\top} X b}{\partial x_{d d}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} b_{1} & a_{1} b_{2} & \cdots & a_{1} b_{d} \\
a_{2} b_{1} & a_{2} b_{2} & \cdots & a_{2} b_{d} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{d} b_{1} & a_{d} b_{2} & \cdots & a_{d} b_{d}
\end{array}\right]=a b^{\top} .
$$

