

Generic capture-avoiding substitution

James Cheney

Binding Challenges workshop

April 24, 2005

My wish list

- Support for situations with unbound names and name generation (e.g. let-bound polymorphism, record fields, memory references, state ids, nonces.)
- Support for logics with unusual contexts of arbitrary “shape”, e.g., BI, separation logic
- Support for logics with unusual forms of quantification, e.g. Hoare logic, dynamic logic, nominal logic itself
- Support for unusual forms of binding, e.g. pattern matching

More challenges

- Proof terms in a sensible (e.g., predicative) constructive logic or functional programming language
- Formalized proofs mirror paper inductive proofs/recursive definitions
- Explainable to/usable by a 1st year grad student
- Support for capture-avoiding substitution

The challenge of capture-avoiding substitution

- “This generic programming stuff is neat and all, but it will never be able to deal with something really useful like capture-avoiding substitution, will it?” (SPJ, 2003, paraphrase)
- “This nominal stuff is interesting, if weird, but without HOAS’s *implementation and theoretical* support for substitution, how can it ever get off the ground?” (FP, 2004, paraphrase)
- **Advanced abstract syntax techniques **must** support substitution.**
- **Generic programming techniques can help**

Motivation

- Higher-order abstract syntax: second-class variables, $\alpha\beta\eta$ -equivalence (formalized classically) provided by metalanguage
 - CAS provided for free at all types, but encodings difficult to analyze, intractable semantic problems
- Nominal (Gabbay-Pitts) syntax: first-class names, α -equivalence via swapping, freshness.
 - Analysis/semantics more straightforward, but CAS **apparently** must be written by hand for new types

Goal

- Provide capture-avoiding substitution “for free” in a real language
- by combining generic programming (GP) and nominal (NAS) techniques
- in a library that programmers can use to write *real programs* (or at least PL homework exercises or prototypes)
- and *without needing expertise in GP or NAS!*

**In other words, I want to never ever again have
to write (or read, or explain to others how to
write, or tolerate, in any form) code like**

this.

```
let rec apply_s s t =
  let h = apply_s s in
  match t with
  | Name a -> Name a
  | Abs (a,e) -> Abs(a, h e)
  | App(c,es) -> App(c, List.map h es)
  | Susp(p,vs,x) -> (match lookup s x with
    | Some tm -> apply_p p tm
    | None -> Susp(p,vs,x))
```

;;

or this.

```
let rec apply_s_g s g =
  let h1 = apply_s_g s in
  let h2 = apply_s_p s in
  match g with
  | Gtrue -> Gtrue
  | Gatomic(t) -> Gatomic(apply_s s t)
  | Gand(g1,g2) -> Gand(h1 g1, h1 g2)
  | Gor(g1,g2) -> Gor(h1 g1, h1 g2)
  | Gforall(x,g) ->
    let x' = Var.rename x in
    Gforall(x', apply_s_g (join x (Susp(Perm.id,Univ,x'))))
  | Gnew(x,g) ->
```

```

    let x' = Var.rename x in
    Gnew(x, apply_p_g (Perm.trans x x') g)
| Gexists(x,g) ->
    let x' = Var.rename x in
    Gexists(x', apply_s_g (join x (Susp(Perm.id,Univ,x'))))
| Gimplies(d,g) -> Gimplies(h2 d, h1 g)
| Gfresh(t1,t2) -> Gfresh(apply_s s t1, apply_s s t2)
| Gequals(t1,t2) -> Gequals(apply_s s t1, apply_s s t2)
| Geunify(t1,t2) -> Geunify(apply_s s t1, apply_s s t2)
| Gis(t1,t2) -> Gis(apply_s s t1, apply_s s t2)
| Gcut -> Gcut
| Guard (g1,g2,g3) -> Guard(h1 g1, h1 g2, h1 g3)
| Gnot(g) -> Gnot(h1 g)

```

and apply_s_p s p =

```

let h1 = apply_s_g s in
let h2 = apply_s_p s in
match p with
  Dtrue -> Dtrue
| Datomic(t) -> Datomic(apply_s s t)
| Dimplies(g,t) -> Dimplies(h1 g, h2 t)
| Dforall (x,p) ->
    let x' = Var.rename x in
    Dforall (x', apply_s_p (join x (Susp(Perm.id,Univ,x'))))
| Dand(p1,p2) -> Dand(h2 p1,h2 p2)
| Dnew(a,p) ->
    let a' = Var.rename a in
    Dnew(a, apply_p_p (Perm.trans a a') p)

```

ii

or this.

```
let tymap onvar c tyT =
  let rec walk c tyT = match tyT with
    | TyId(b) as tyT -> tyT
    | TyVar(x,n) -> onvar c x n
    | TyArr(tyT1,tyT2) -> TyArr(walk c tyT1,walk c tyT2)
    | TyBool -> TyBool
    | TyTop -> TyTop
    | TyBot -> TyBot
    | TyRecord(fieldtys) -> TyRecord(List.map (fun (li,tyTi) -
    | TyVariant(fieldtys) -> TyVariant(List.map (fun (li,tyTi)
    | TyFloat -> TyFloat
    | TyString -> TyString
```

```

| TyUnit -> TyUnit
| TyAll(tyX,tyT1,tyT2) -> TyAll(tyX,walk c tyT1,walk (c+1) tyT2)
| TyNat -> TyNat
| TySome(tyX,tyT1,tyT2) -> TySome(tyX,walk c tyT1,walk (c+1) tyT2)
| TyAbs(tyX,knK1,tyT2) -> TyAbs(tyX,knK1,walk (c+1) tyT2)
| TyApp(tyT1,tyT2) -> TyApp(walk c tyT1,walk c tyT2)
| TyRef(tyT1) -> TyRef(walk c tyT1)
| TySource(tyT1) -> TySource(walk c tyT1)
| TySink(tyT1) -> TySink(walk c tyT1)
in walk c tyT

```

```

let tmmmap onvar ontype c t =
  let rec walk c t = match t with
    | TmVar(fi,x,n) -> onvar fi c x n
    | TmAbs(fi,x,tyT1,t2) -> TmAbs(fi,x,ontype c tyT1,walk (c+1) t2)
  in walk c t

```

```
| TmApp(fi,t1,t2) -> TmApp(fi,walk c t1,walk c t2)
| TmTrue(fi) as t -> t
| TmFalse(fi) as t -> t
| TmIf(fi,t1,t2,t3) -> TmIf(fi,walk c t1,walk c t2,walk c t3)
| TmProj(fi,t1,l) -> TmProj(fi,walk c t1,l)
| TmRecord(fi,fields) -> TmRecord(fi,List.map (fun (li,ti) =>
                                                                    (li,walk c ti))
                                                                    fields)
| TmLet(fi,x,t1,t2) -> TmLet(fi,x,walk c t1,walk (c+1) t2)
| TmFloat _ as t -> t
| TmTimesfloat(fi,t1,t2) -> TmTimesfloat(fi, walk c t1, walk (c+1) t2)
| TmAscribe(fi,t1,tyT1) -> TmAscribe(fi,walk c t1,ontype c tyT1)
| TmInert(fi,tyT) -> TmInert(fi,ontype c tyT)
| TmFix(fi,t1) -> TmFix(fi,walk c t1)
| TmTag(fi,l,t1,tyT) -> TmTag(fi, l, walk c t1, ontype c tyT)
```

```
| TmCase(fi,t,cases) ->
    TmCase(fi, walk c t,
            List.map (fun (li,(xi,ti)) -> (li, (xi,walk (c+
                cases)
| TmString _ as t -> t
| TmUnit(fi) as t -> t
| TmLoc(fi,l) as t -> t
| TmRef(fi,t1) -> TmRef(fi,walk c t1)
| TmDeref(fi,t1) -> TmDeref(fi,walk c t1)
| TmAssign(fi,t1,t2) -> TmAssign(fi,walk c t1,walk c t2)
| TmError(_) as t -> t
| TmTry(fi,t1,t2) -> TmTry(fi,walk c t1,walk c t2)
| TmTAbs(fi,tyX,tyT1,t2) ->
    TmTAbs(fi,tyX,ontype c tyT1,walk (c+1) t2)
| TmTApp(fi,t1,tyT2) -> TmTApp(fi,walk c t1,ontype c tyT2)
```

```

| TmZero(fi)          -> TmZero(fi)
| TmSucc(fi,t1)       -> TmSucc(fi, walk c t1)
| TmPred(fi,t1)       -> TmPred(fi, walk c t1)
| TmIsZero(fi,t1)    -> TmIsZero(fi, walk c t1)
| TmPack(fi,tyT1,t2,tyT3) ->
    TmPack(fi,ontype c tyT1,walk c t2,ontype c tyT3)
| TmUnpack(fi,tyX,x,t1,t2) ->
    TmUnpack(fi,tyX,x,walk c t1,walk (c+2) t2)
in walk c t

```

```

let typeShiftAbove d c tyT =
  tormap
    (fun c x n -> if x>=c then TyVar(x+d,n+d) else TyVar(x,n))
  c tyT

```



```
let termShiftAbove d c t =  
  tmmmap  
    (fun fi c x n -> if x >= c then TmVar(fi, x+d, n+d)  
                      else TmVar(fi, x, n+d))  
  (typeShiftAbove d)  
  c t
```

```
let termShift d t = termShiftAbove d 0 t
```

```
let typeShift d tyT = typeShiftAbove d 0 tyT
```

```
let bindingshift d bind =  
  match bind with  
  | NameBind -> NameBind  
  | TyVarBind(tyS) -> TyVarBind(typeShift d tyS)
```

```

| VarBind(tyT) -> VarBind(typeShift d tyT)
| TyAbbBind(tyT,opt) -> TyAbbBind(typeShift d tyT,opt)
| TmAbbBind(t,tyT_opt) ->
  let tyT_opt' = match tyT_opt with
    None->None
    | Some(tyT) -> Some(typeShift d tyT) in
    TmAbbBind(termShift d t, tyT_opt')

```

```

(* -----
(* Substitution *)

```

```

let termSubst j s t =
  tmmmap
    (fun fi j x n -> if x=j then termShift j s else TmVar(fi
    (fun j tyT -> tyT)

```

j t

```
let termSubstTop s t =  
  termShift (-1) (termSubst 0 (termShift 1 s) t)
```

```
let typeSubst tyS j tyT =  
  tmap  
    (fun j x n -> if x=j then (typeShift j tyS) else (TyVar  
    j tyT
```

```
let typeSubstTop tyS tyT =  
  typeShift (-1) (typeSubst (typeShift 1 tyS) 0 tyT)
```

```
let rec tytermSubst tyS j t =  
  tmmmap (fun fi c x n -> TmVar(fi,x,n))
```

```
(fun j tyT -> typeSubst tyS j tyT) j t
```

```
let tytermSubstTop tyS t =
```

```
  termShift (-1) (tytermSubst (typeShift 1 tyS) 0 t)
```

Never.

I mean it.

In an ideal world...

In the binding-free case

- In the case of no binding, substitution is entirely algebraic
- Think of groups/rings/fields/algebras $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ over generators X_1, \dots, X_n
- Suppose $h : \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow K'$.
- There is a *homomorphic extension* $h^\circ : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K'$ satisfying $h(X_i) = h^\circ(x_i)$ for each X_i .

Focus on initial Σ -algebras

- Let's focus on initial Σ -algebras,
- that is, algebras over some uninterpreted signature Σ
- that is, *sets of terms*.
- Closed terms T_Σ , terms T_Σ^V over variables V
- Homomorphic extension unique.

Duh

- It's easy to write down the unique endomorphism generated by h in a term algebra over

$$\Sigma = (c, \dots, f^n, \dots)$$

- To wit:

$$\begin{aligned} h : V \rightarrow T_{\Sigma}^V &\mapsto h^{\circ} : T_{\Sigma}^V \rightarrow T_{\Sigma}^V \\ h^{\circ}(c) &= c \\ h^{\circ}(f^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)) &= f^n(h^{\circ}(t_1), \dots, h^{\circ}(t_n)) \\ h^{\circ}(X) &= h(X) \end{aligned} \quad (X \in V)$$

- This function is almost completely uninteresting.

Duh (II)

- Now what if we have a *sorted* Σ -algebra

$$\Sigma = (\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}, c : S, \dots, f : S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow S, \dots)$$

- Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h : V(S) \rightarrow T_{\Sigma}^V(S) &\mapsto h_S^{\circ} : T_{\Sigma}^V(S) \rightarrow T_{\Sigma}^V(S) \\ h_S^{\circ}(c) &= c && (c : S) \\ h_S^{\circ}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) &= f(h_{S_1}^{\circ}(t_1), \dots, h_{S_n}^{\circ}(t_n)) && (f : S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow S) \\ h_S^{\circ}(X) &= h(X) && (X : S \in V) \end{aligned}$$

- Only interesting part: the types

Duh (III)

- For a particular Σ -algebra, we can easily code up substitution in, say, Haskell.
- In fact, for a given term structure, there is one interesting case, the rest are structural recursions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{subst} & \quad :: (V \rightarrow T) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow T) \\ \mathit{subst} \ f \ (\mathit{Var} \ x) & \quad = f \ x \\ \mathit{subst} \ f \ C & \quad = C \\ \mathit{subst} \ f \ (F \ (t1, \dots, tn)) & \quad = F \ (\mathit{subst} \ f \ t1, \dots, \mathit{subst} \ f \ tn) \\ & \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

Duh (IV)

- For a particular *sorted* Σ -algebra, we can less easily code up substitution in, say, Haskell.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{subst_S_S} &:: (V_S \rightarrow T_S) \rightarrow (T_S \rightarrow T_S) \\ \text{subst_S_S } f \text{ (SVar } x) &= f \ x \\ \text{subst_S_S } f \ C &= C \\ \text{subst_S_S } f \ (F \ (t1, \dots, tn)) &= F \ (\text{subst_S1 } f \ t1, \dots, \text{subst_Sn } f \ tn) \\ \dots & \\ \text{subst_S_T} &:: (V_S \rightarrow T_S) \rightarrow (T_T \rightarrow T_T) \\ \text{subst_S_T } f \ D &= D \\ \text{subst_S_T } f \ (G \ (t1, \dots, tn)) &= G \ (\text{subst_S1 } f \ t1, \dots, \text{subst_Sn } f \ tn) \\ \dots & \end{aligned}$$

Snag

- Two problems: we need to write mn functions to substitute m substitutable types into n types in which variables can appear
- Most cases are “the same”, just not in an easy to express way
- To add insult to injury, need to use a different function name for each pair of types involved.
- (For this reason, usually consider substitution for at most 2-3 kinds of things.)

Type classes to the rescue?

- Haskell's powerful *type class* feature at least lets us overload the name *subst*.

```
class Subst v t u where
  subst :: (v → t) → u → u
```

- Intuitively, $Subst\ v\ t\ u =$ “ t substitutable for v in u ”
- But mn cases still need to be written.

Generic programming to the rescue

- *Generic programming* (in the context of typed functional languages) means *writing concise definitions of functions that work for any type*.
- Popular approaches based on generalizing maps, folds, etc.
- Most advanced GP features provided in/for Haskell
- Straightforward to implement algebraic substitution using existing GP techniques.

That's all well and good, but...

A bigger snag

- **If you have name-binding, apparently this all breaks.**

```
data Exp = Var V | App Exp Exp | Lam V Exp
subst a t (Var v)      = if a ≡ b then return t else return (Var b)
subst a t (App t1 t2) = do t1' ← subst a t t1
                          t2' ← subst a t t2
                          return (App t1' t2')
subst a t (Lam v t1)  = do v' ← gensym v
                          t1' ← subst v (Var w) t1
                          t1'' ← subst a t t1'
                          return (Lam v' t1'')
```

Back to the drawing board!

What about HOAS?

- In a functional language, can encode languages with bound variables using function types.
- Then *capture-avoiding substitution becomes function application*
- The theory of HOAS + CAS is nonalgebraic; recursion/induction with HOAS is a very hard current (+ last 10-15 years) research area.
- Whatever its merits, **HOAS not practical in typical current functional languages** because functions can't be “decomposed”

Nominal abstract syntax to the rescue?

- Nominal abstract syntax (i.e. Gabbay-Pitts FM syntax of binding via swapping and freshness) purports to be compatible with inductive/algebraic reasoning
- Can it be incorporated into a “real” language? Yes—FreshML, α Prolog
- Does *capture-avoiding* substitution fit into this framework? um possibly...
- Is it still algebraic enough to define generically? Claim yes.

Nominal algebra (TODO)

- We identify V_S with sets of names \mathbb{A}_S in NAS, one per sort.
- Suppose we have a “nominal Σ -algebra” with function symbol sorts like

$$f : \langle V \rangle S \rightarrow S, g : S \times \langle V \rangle \langle V \rangle S \rightarrow T, \dots$$

where $\langle V \rangle S$ is the *sort of things* $\langle a \rangle x$ *consisting of a value* x *of type* S *with one bound name* a *of type* V (a.k.a. “abstraction”)

- Suppose also: For some sorts S , know a “variable” function symbol $v_S : V \rightarrow S$ embedding names as things of type S .

Nominal homomorphism theorem

- A nominal homomorphism ought be a finitely supported function satisfying:

$$h(\langle a \rangle x) = \langle a \rangle h(x) \quad a \# h$$

for any “fresh” a *not mentioned in* h

- A “homomorphism theorem” (hopefully true) for nominal algebras:

Pre-Theorem 1. *For any finitely supported $h : V \rightarrow T^V \Sigma(S)$ there exists a unique homomorphism $(h'_S : T^V \Sigma(S') \rightarrow T^V \Sigma(S') | S' \in \text{Sorts})$ extending h .*

Nominal capture-avoiding substitution

- Let

$$h_{[x \mapsto t]}(y) = \begin{cases} t & (x = y) \\ y & \end{cases}$$

- Claim: For all “reasonable” encodings of languages with binding, $[x \mapsto t]$ defined as $[x \mapsto t]u = h^\circ(u)$ is capture-avoiding substitution.
- Why? Because for abstractions, we require

$$[a \mapsto t](\langle b \rangle x) = \langle b \rangle [a \mapsto t]x$$

for $b \# a, t$.

Example: Lambda

- A nominal Σ algebra Λ_α for untyped λ terms:

$$v_\Lambda : V \rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha \quad @ : \Lambda_\alpha \times \Lambda_\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha \quad \lambda : \langle V \rangle \Lambda_\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha$$

Encoding of ordinary λ terms Λ :

$$\ulcorner x \urcorner = v_\Lambda(x) \quad \ulcorner t u \urcorner = @(\ulcorner t \urcorner, \ulcorner u \urcorner) \quad \ulcorner \lambda x. t \urcorner = \langle x \rangle \ulcorner t \urcorner$$

- Define α -equivalence $\equiv_\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda$ and CAS $\{x \mapsto t\}$ “as usual”

Some more pre-theorems

- Believe this to be the case given appropriate definitions:

Pre-Theorem 2. Λ/\equiv_α is a nominal Σ algebra and $\lceil \cdot \rceil : \Lambda/\equiv_\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha$ is a nom. Σ algebra isomorphism.

- Then it follows immediately that

Corollary 1 (Adequacy). For any x, t, u :

$$\lceil \{x \mapsto u\}t \rceil = [x \mapsto \lceil u \rceil] \lceil t \rceil$$

So we're done... right?

- This shows *in principle* that we can get CAS in a nice algebraic way.
- At this point, mathematicians generally call it a day and go home.
- But I'm a computer scientist.
- I want an implementation **that does all the work for me**
- This takes a bit of doing.

I have implemented this and it works.

FreshLib

- FreshLib is a small Haskell class library
- It implements NAS/swapping/freshness/ \approx_α for all “nominal” types, including user-defined ones and “name” and “abstraction” types
- It provides CAS and FV functions “for free”, if you specify the variable constructor of a type.
- Almost no boilerplate code needs to be written by user for new datatypes.

Scrap your nameplate

Here is the specification of Λ in *FreshLib*.

```
data Exp = Var Name | App Exp Exp | Lam (Name \\\ Exp)  
instance HasVar Exp where  
  is_var (Var x) = Just x  
  is_var _       = Nothing
```

plus a few imports and other things.

Scrap more nameplate

Here's System F.

```
data Exp = Var Name | App Exp Exp | Lam (Name  $\llcorner$  Exp)  
          | TApp Exp Ty | TLam (Name  $\llcorner$  Ty)
```

```
data Ty = TVar Name | FnTy Ty Ty | AllTy (Name  $\llcorner$  Ty)
```

```
instance HasVar Exp where
```

```
  is_var (Var x) = Just x
```

```
  is_var _         = Nothing
```

```
instance HasVar Ty where
```

```
  is_var (TVar x) = Just x
```

```
  is_var _         = Nothing
```

The scrapping continues

Here's LF.

```
data Exp = Cnst String | Var Var | App Exp Exp | Lam (Var ∥∥ Exp)
data Ty  = TCnst String | PiTy Ty (Var ∥∥ Ty) | TVApp Ty Exp
           | TVar Name | TApp Ty Ty | TLam Kind (Name ∥∥ Ty)
data Kind = KType | KPi Kind (Name ∥∥ Kind)
instance HasVar Exp where
  is_var (Var x) = Just x
  is_var _         = Nothing
instance HasVar Ty where
  is_var (TVar x) = Just x
  is_var _         = Nothing
```

Yet more scrapping

The π -calculus:

```
data Proc = Tau | Plus Proc Proc | Par Proc Proc | Repl Proc
          | In Name (Name  $\lll$  Proc) | Out Name Name Proc
          | Res (Name  $\lll$  Proc) | Match Name Name
data Trans = TTau Proc Proc
           | TIn Proc Name (Name  $\lll$  Proc)
           | TBOut Proc Name (Name  $\lll$  Proc)
           | TFOut Proc Name Name Proc
```

Note: *HasVarName* already has an instance (*CAS* of name for name always makes sense)

How it works

- Types *Name*, *Name* : *a*: represent names, name-abstractions.
- Class *Nom*: provides swapping, freshness, α -equivalence
- Class *HasVar*: says what case of user-defined type acts as variable of that type.
- Class *Subst*, *FreeVars*: substitution and free variable sets
- Class instances & SYB library used to automatically extend to new datatypes (hot off the press)

Demo

- Details and implementation at

<http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/jcheney/FreshLib.html>

- Also implemented in α Prolog (by hacking CAS operator into the language)

<http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/jcheney/projects/aprolog.html>

What's next

Free variable sets

- This is also a homomorphism, but onto a nom. Σ algebra of sets of names.
- It can be (and has been) implemented as a generic function too.

Multiple name types

- Right now only one name type *Name* allowed.
- This is bad because bindings can “interfere” causing undesired effects.
- Working on this, but appears tricky.

Nonstandard binding

- Nonstandard = binding some distinguished names of one term within another
- E.G. $\Gamma \vdash e : T, \text{ case } e \text{ of } p(x, y) \rightarrow e'$
- Handle using class *BType* with methods $\text{bound} :: a \rightarrow [\text{Name}]$ and $\text{equiv} :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{MaybePerm}$
- Bound: says what names are bound. Equiv: says when two a 's are equal *up to a permutation*.

Making substitution pure

- In FreshML, *subst* is a “pure” (side-effect free) function.
- In FreshLib, *subst* is not, so monadic.
- Peyton Jones and Thompson suggest a way around this (used in Haskell inliner)
- Their idea: Track set of names in scope, use hashing to guess a fresh name when needed.
- They say it works surprisingly well.

Why not FreshML?

- FreshML provides even better built-in support for NAS!
- But FreshML (and ML family generally) have almost no support for GP techniques.
- Haskell type classes + generics give us 90% of what FreshML does with much less relative coding effort.
- It might be easy to hack built-in generic CAS into FreshML (it was in α Prolog).

Theory

- I know this works, but the theory should be worked out.
- if it hasn't been already.

Theoretical support

- Theoretical support (e.g., “free” substitution lemmas) is a key advantage of HOAS.
- Future direction: can generic CAS be integrated into theorem provers?
- Can *proofs* of substitution principles be derived automatically?
- This would, I believe, establish NAS as competitive alternative to HOAS beyond any question.

Conclusion

- Support for capture avoiding substitution is one apparent advantage of higher-order abstract syntax over other approaches.
- In NAS, however, CAS can be treated algebraically extending standard techniques from universal algebra.
- Type classes and generic programming techniques for Haskell can be used to provide NAS and CAS “for free”, as a black-box library
- Interesting extensions appear possible, current work.

Plug

- Details and implementation at

<http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/jcheney/FreshLib.html>

- Also implemented in α Prolog (by hacking CAS operator into the language)

<http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/jcheney/projects/aprolog.html>