

# An elementary proof that upper and lower powerdomain constructions commute

Leonid Libkin

*Department of Computer and Information Science  
University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA*

## 1 Introduction

It was proved in [1] that lower and upper powerdomain constructions commute on all domains. In that proof, domains were represented as information systems. In [2] a rather complicated algebraic proof was given which relied on universality properties of powerdomains proved in the previous works of the author of [2]. Here we give an elementary algebraic proof that upper and lower powerdomain constructions commute. The proof is essentially a reduction of the problem to establishing a 1-1 correspondence between certain disjunctive and conjunctive normal forms.

## 2 Definitions

A subset  $X$  of a partially ordered set is called *directed* if a common upper bound exists for any two elements of  $X$ , i.e. given  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x \geq x_1, x_2$ . A poset is called complete (abbreviated – *cpo*) if every directed subset has a least upper bound. An element of a cpo is called *compact* if it can not be below a least upper bound of a directed set  $X$  without being below an element of  $X$ . A cpo is called algebraic if every element is the least upper bound of compact elements below it, see [3].

A *domain* in this paper is an algebraic cpo with bottom. Given a domain  $D$ ,  $\leq$  denotes its order and  $\mathbf{K}D$  is the set of its compact elements. Given  $A, B \subseteq D$ , *lower* and *upper powerdomain orderings* are given by

$$A \sqsubseteq^b B \Leftrightarrow \forall a \in A \exists b \in B : a \leq b$$

$$A \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} B \Leftrightarrow \forall b \in B \exists a \in A : a \leq b$$

A subset of an ordered set is called an antichain if no two elements in it are comparable. If  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  is an ordered set and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $\max_{\leq} Y$  and  $\min_{\leq} Y$  are sets of maximal and minimal elements of  $Y$ . We will use just  $\max Y$  and  $\min Y$  if the ordering is understood.  $A_{fin}(X)$  stands for the

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF Grants IRI-86-10617 and CCR-90-57570 and ONR Grant NOO014-88-K0634.

set of all finite antichains of  $X$ . The lower and upper powerdomains are defined to be the ideal completions of  $\langle A_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D), \sqsubseteq^b \rangle$  and  $\langle A_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D), \sqsubseteq^\sharp \rangle$  respectively. They are denoted by  $\mathcal{P}^b(D)$  and  $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D)$ .  $\langle A_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D), \sqsubseteq^b \rangle$  and  $\langle A_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D), \sqsubseteq^\sharp \rangle$  are posets of compact elements of  $\mathcal{P}^b(D)$  and  $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D)$  [3].

*Remark:* A traditional definition of the powerdomain construction is the ideal completion of  $P_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D)$ , the set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbf{K}D$ . The two can be easily shown to be equivalent. We prefer to work with antichains because  $\sqsubseteq^b$  and  $\sqsubseteq^\sharp$  are partial orders on  $A_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D)$  but only preorders on  $P_{fin}(\mathbf{K}D)$ .

Our goal is to prove

**Theorem** *For any domain  $D$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathcal{P}^b(D))$  and  $\mathcal{P}^b(\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D))$  are isomorphic.*

The proof is constructive, i.e. an isomorphism and its inverse are explicitly described.

### 3 Proof

To prove that two domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are isomorphic, i.e. that there exists a pair of continuous mutually inverse maps between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , it is enough to prove that  $\mathbf{K}D_1$  and  $\mathbf{K}D_2$  are isomorphic as posets, i.e. that there exists a pair of monotone mutually inverse maps between  $\mathbf{K}D_1$  and  $\mathbf{K}D_2$ .

A compact element of  $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathcal{P}^b(D))$  is a finite antichain, w.r.t.  $\sqsubseteq^b$ , of finite antichains of compact elements of  $D$ , and a compact element of  $\mathcal{P}^b(\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D))$  is a finite antichain, w.r.t.  $\sqsubseteq^\sharp$ , of finite antichains of compact elements of  $D$ . Given a finite set of finite sets  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  where  $A_i = \{a_1^i, \dots, a_{k_i}^i\}$ , let  $F_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the set of functions  $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $i$ :  $1 \leq f(i) \leq k_i$ . For  $f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$ , let  $f(\mathcal{A}) = \{a_{f(i)}^i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . If all  $A_i$ 's are subsets of  $D$ , define two maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as follows:

$$\phi(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}} \sqsubseteq^b (\max f(\mathcal{A}))$$

$$\psi(\mathcal{A}) = \max_{f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}} \sqsubseteq^\sharp (\min f(\mathcal{A}))$$

Now, we claim that  $\psi$  maps  $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathcal{P}^b(D))$  to  $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^b(\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D))$  and  $\phi$  maps  $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^b(\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D))$  to  $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^\sharp(\mathcal{P}^b(D))$  and, moreover, these maps establish the desired isomorphism, i.e. they are mutually inverse and monotone. The first claim follows immediately from the definitions of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ . To complete the proof, it is enough to show that  $\phi$  is monotone and  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}$ . The proof of monotonicity of  $\psi$  and  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$  is dual. We start with two easy observations:

**Lemma** *Let  $Y_1, Y_2$  be finite subsets of an arbitrary poset  $X$ . Then*

1)  $Y_1 \sqsubseteq^b Y_2$  iff  $\max Y_1 \sqsubseteq^b \max Y_2$ ;

2)  $Y_1 \sqsubseteq^\sharp Y_2$  iff  $\min Y_1 \sqsubseteq^\sharp \min Y_2$ . □

*Claim 1:*  $\phi$  is monotone.

*Proof of claim 1:* Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\} \in \mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^b(\mathcal{P}^\sharp(D))$  and  $\mathcal{A} \sqsubseteq^b \mathcal{B}$ . We must prove  $\phi(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^\sharp \phi(\mathcal{B})$ . In view of lemma, it is enough to show that for any  $f \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$  there exists  $g \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $g(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^b f(\mathcal{B})$ . Since for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there exists  $j_i$  such that  $A_i \sqsubseteq^\sharp B_{j_i}$ , there is an element  $a_{p_i}^i \in A_i$  such that  $a_{p_i}^i \leq b_{f(j_i)}^{j_i}$ . Let  $g(i) = p_i$ . Then for this function  $g$  one has  $\{a_{g(i)}^i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \sqsubseteq^b \{b_{f(j_i)}^{j_i} \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ , i.e.  $g(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^b f(\mathcal{B})$ . Claim 1 is proved.

Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^b(\mathcal{P}^{\sharp}(D))$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\} = \phi(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathbf{K}\mathcal{P}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{P}^b(D))$ . In view of lemma, to show that  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ , i.e. that  $\psi(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}$ , it suffices to prove

*Claim 2:* For any  $f \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$  there exists  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(\mathcal{B}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_i$ .

*Claim 3:* Every  $A_i$  is in  $\psi(\mathcal{B})$ .

*Proof of claim 2:* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of all sets  $f(\mathcal{A})$  where  $f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ . Then for any  $g \in F_{\mathcal{C}}$ , there exists  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_i$  is contained in  $g(\mathcal{C})$  because, if this is not the case, for any  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists  $j_i \leq k_i$  such that  $a_{j_i}^i \in A_i$  and, for any  $f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $g$  on  $f(\mathcal{A})$  picks an element different from  $a_{j_i}^i$ . If we define  $f_0$  such that  $f_0(i) = j_i$ ,  $g$  may pick only elements of form  $a_{j_i}^i$  on  $f_0(\mathcal{A})$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $g(\mathcal{C}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_i$  for some  $i$ .

Let  $f \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let  $H$  be the set of functions in  $F_{\mathcal{A}}$  that correspond to elements of  $\mathcal{B} = \phi(\mathcal{A})$  or, in other words,  $\max h(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{B}$  for  $h \in H$ . Then, for any  $h' \in F_{\mathcal{A}} - H$ , there exists a function  $h \in H$  such that  $\max h(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^b \max h'(\mathcal{A})$ , i.e.  $h(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^b h'(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $h \in H$ ,  $\max h(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{B}$ , i.e.  $\max h(\mathcal{A}) = B_i$ . If  $f(i) = j$ , then there is an element in  $h'(\mathcal{A})$  that is greater than  $b_j^i$ . Define a function  $g \in F_{\mathcal{C}}$  to coincide with  $f$  on those  $C_i$ 's that are given by functions in  $H$ . On  $C_i$  that corresponds to  $f \in F_{\mathcal{A}} - H$ , let  $g$  pick an element which is greater than some  $b_j^i$  where  $f(i) = j$  (we have just shown it can be done). Then  $f(\mathcal{B}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} \{c_{g(i)}^i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\} = g(\mathcal{C})$ . We know that there exists  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $g(\mathcal{C}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_i$ . Thus,  $f(\mathcal{B}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_i$ . Claim 2 is proved.

*Proof of claim 3:* Prove that for any  $a_j^i \in A_i$  there exists  $B_l \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $a_j^i \in B_l$ . Consider the set  $F_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}$  of functions  $f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $f(i) = j$ . If for no  $f \in F_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}$ :  $a_j^i \in \max f(\mathcal{A})$ , then there exists  $A_p \in \mathcal{A}$  such that all elements of  $A_p$  are greater than  $a_j^i$ , i.e.  $A_i \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_p$  which contradicts our assumption that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain w.r.t.  $\sqsubseteq^{\sharp}$ . Hence,  $a_j^i \in \max f(\mathcal{A})$  for at least one function in  $F_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain, for any  $p \neq i$  there exists  $a_p^q \in A_p$  which is not greater than any element of  $A_i$ . Change  $f$  to pick such an element for any  $p \neq i$ . Then  $a_j^i$  is still in  $\max f(\mathcal{A})$ . There exists a function  $f' \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $\max f'(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^b \max f(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\max f'(\mathcal{A}) \in \phi(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $f'(i) = j' \neq j$ , then, since  $f'(\mathcal{A}) \sqsubseteq^b f(\mathcal{A})$  and  $A_i$  is an antichain,  $a_{j'}^i \leq a_p^q$  for some  $p$  and  $q$ , where  $p \neq i$ . But this contradicts the definition of  $f$ . Hence,  $f'(i) = j$  and  $a_j^i \in \max f'(\mathcal{A})$  because  $a_j^i \in \max f(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\max f'(\mathcal{A}) = B_l$  for some index  $l$ ,  $a_j^i \in B_l \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be the collection of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  that contain elements of  $A_i$ . Then we can define a function  $f \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$  on elements of  $\mathcal{B}'$  to pick all elements of  $A_i$ . Each  $B_j \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}'$  either contains an element of  $A_i$  or contains an element which is greater than some  $a_p^i \in A_i$ . Let  $f$  pick any such element. Then  $\min f(\mathcal{B}) = A_i$ . Suppose  $A_i \notin \psi(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $A_i \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} \min g(\mathcal{B})$  for some function  $g \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$  such that  $\min g(\mathcal{B}) \in \psi(\mathcal{B})$ . By claim 2,  $g(\mathcal{B}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_j$  for some  $A_j$ . Hence,  $\min g(\mathcal{B}) \sqsubseteq^{\sharp} A_j$  and since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain w.r.t.  $\sqsubseteq^{\sharp}$ ,  $A_i = A_j = \min g(\mathcal{B}) \in \psi(\mathcal{B})$ . This finishes the proof of claim 3 and the theorem.

## References

- [1] K.E. Flannery, J.J. Martin, Hoare and Smyth power domain constructors commute under composition, *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* **40** (1990), 125-135.
- [2] R. Heckmann, Lower and upper power domain constructions commute on all cpos, *Inform. Process. Letters* **40** (1991), 7-11.

- [3] C.A. Gunter and D.S. Scott. Semantic domains, In J. van Leeuwen, editor, *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 633–674. North Holland, 1990.