

A Probability Monad on Measure Spaces

Robert Furber

Edinburgh University

8th September, 2022

- Introduction: Finite Probability with \mathcal{D} .
- Difficulties with Conditional Probability.
- Previous work: Probabilistic Gelfand duality and the Radon monad.
- Gelfand duality for W^* -algebras.
- Commutative W^* -algebras and the double dual monad.
- A comonad on commutative W^* -algebras and a monad on measure spaces.

Distribution Monad

- We start with the distribution monad \mathcal{D} .
- For a set X

$$\mathcal{D}(X) = \left\{ \phi : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \phi \text{ finite support, } \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) = 1 \right\}$$

- For each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, we can extend it to sets. For $S \subseteq X$

$$\phi(S) = \sum_{x \in S} \phi(x)$$

- We can integrate functions $a : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\int_X a \, d\phi = \sum_{x \in X} a(x) \cdot \phi(x).$$

- For a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$

$$\mathcal{D}(f)(\phi)(y) = \phi(f^{-1}(y)) = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \phi(x)$$

Distribution Monad II

- Unit $\eta_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$

$$\eta_X(x)(x') = [x = x'] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq x' \\ 1 & \text{if } x = x' \end{cases}$$

- Given $x \in X$, we define $\text{ev}(x) : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\text{ev}(x)(\phi) = \phi(x)$$

- Define $\mu_X : \mathcal{D}^2(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$

$$\mu_X(\Phi)(x) = \int_{\mathcal{D}(X)} \text{ev}(x) \, d\Phi = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)} \phi(x) \cdot \Phi(\phi)$$

Relation to Stochastic Matrices

- For X, Y finite, a Kleisli map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Y)$ uncurries to a stochastic matrix $X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- Kleisli composition agrees with matrix multiplication.
- \mathcal{D} is the monad we get if we try to turn **FinStoch** into a Kleisli category.
- We can't have **FinStoch** itself be a Kleisli category over **FinSet** because **FinStoch**(1, 2) $\cong [0, 1]$ so is infinite, but hom sets of **FinSet** are finite.

Finite Probability Spaces

- A *probability space* is a pair (X, ϕ) where X finite and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$.
- A *measure-preserving map* $f : (X, \phi) \rightarrow (Y, \psi)$ is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{D}(f)(\phi) = \psi$.
- A *nullset-reflecting map* f is one where $\psi(y) = 0$ implies $\mathcal{D}(f)(\phi)(y) = 0$.
- A *function* $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has its usual definition.
- A *random variable* $f : (X, \phi) \rightarrow Y$ is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

What Sort of Thing are Random Variables?

- Functions form a category **FinSet**.
- Nullset-reflecting maps form a category **FinProbSp**, of which measure-preserving maps form a subcategory.
- Given a random variable $g : (X, \phi) \rightarrow Y$ and a nullset reflecting map $f : (W, \psi) \rightarrow (X, \phi)$

$$g \circ f : (W, \psi) \rightarrow Y$$

is a random variable.

- Given a function $h : Y \rightarrow Z$

$$h \circ g : (X, \phi) \rightarrow Z$$

is a random variable.

- Random variables are not a category, but a profunctor $\mathbf{FinProbSp}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Example

- Let G_n be the set of graphs with n as their vertex set.
- Define $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G_n)$ to give all graphs equal probability.
- Let $E : (G_n, \phi) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ map a graph to its number of edges.
- Let $\chi : (G_n, \phi) \rightarrow n$ map a graph to its chromatic number.
- We can take the marginal probability, e.g.

$$i \mapsto \mathbb{P}(\chi = i) = \mathcal{D}(\chi)(\phi) \in \mathcal{D}(n)$$

- Conditional probabilities:

$$i \mapsto j \mapsto \mathbb{P}(E = j \mid \chi = i) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(E = j \wedge \chi = i)}{\mathbb{P}(\chi = i)} = \frac{\mathcal{D}(\langle E, \chi \rangle)(j, i)}{\mathcal{D}(\chi)(i)}$$

This gives a Kleisli map $n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\frac{1}{2}n(n-1))$.

Conditional Probabilities and Disintegrations

- For a random variable $\mathcal{Y} : (X, \phi) \rightarrow Y$, we can always consider conditional probabilities relative to $\text{id}_X = \mathcal{X} : (X, \phi) \rightarrow X$, i.e.

$$y \mapsto x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X} = x \mid \mathcal{Y} = y)$$

defining a map $\mathbb{E}_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$.

- This is characterized by two properties:
 - ① It is a *probabilistic section* of \mathcal{Y} , i.e. in $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$ we have $\mathcal{Y} \diamond \mathbb{E}_Y = \text{id}_Y$, equivalently $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y}) \circ \mathbb{E}_Y = \eta_Y$ in **Set**.
 - ② It is compatible with ϕ the other way, i.e.
 $(\mu_X \circ \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{E}_Y) \circ \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y}))(\phi) = \phi$.
- If we have $\mathcal{Y} : (X, \phi) \rightarrow Y$ and $\mathcal{Z} : (X, \phi) \rightarrow Z$ then the map $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{Z}) : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Y)$ can be recovered as:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{Y} \diamond \mathbb{E}_Z = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y}) \circ \mathbb{E}_Z$$

- So when generalizing we concentrate on disintegrations.

Towards the Radon Monad

- One way to generalize from finite sets is to use *profinite sets*, equivalently Stone spaces.
- This is successful with *e.g.* the finite power set monad, there is a monad on **Stone** extending it.
- This won't work with \mathcal{D} because the usual topology on $\mathcal{D}(2) \cong [0, 1]$ is not Stone.
- So use compact Hausdorff spaces.

C and the Radon functor \mathcal{R}

- For a compact Hausdorff space X , let $C(X)$ be the set of continuous functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- Algebraic operations for $C(X)$ are defined pointwise.
- $a \leq b$ in $C(X)$ iff $\forall x \in X. a(x) \leq b(x)$.
- If $a \geq 0$ we say it is *positive*, $a \in C(X)_+$.
- We say $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *positive* if it preserves positivity, *i.e.* for all $a \in C(X)_+$, $\phi(a) \geq 0$. (Equivalent to being monotone)
- $\mathcal{R}(X) = \{\phi : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi \text{ positive, } \phi(1) = 1\}$.
- As a functor \mathcal{R} is a composite $\mathcal{S} \circ C$ where C and \mathcal{S} are both contravariant and defined by postcomposition.

The Radon Monad

- Unit is “Dirac δ functions”:

$$\eta_X(x)(a) = a(x)$$

- For the multiplication, we need a function $\zeta_X : C(X) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{R}(X))$

$$\zeta_X(a)(\phi) = \phi(a)$$

- Multiplication is “barycentre”:

$$\mu_X(\Phi)(a) = \Phi(\zeta_X(a))$$

- The Radon monad was originally defined by Świrszcz [Ś74], before the Giry monad.

Breaking Up is Hard to do

- Conditional probabilities don't always exist because not every continuous function has a probabilistic section.
- Example: the binary digits map $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- On the dense set of numbers with a unique binary representation, $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ is contained in $\eta_X(2^{\mathbb{N}})$
- By continuity g maps into $\eta_X(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ which is a Stone space.
- A continuous map from $[0, 1]$ to a Stone space is constant.

- It's still not true, even for $[0, 1]$, that every Borel measurable map has a probabilistic section (using the Giry monad \mathcal{G})
- It is true that for standard Borel probability spaces (includes $[0, 1]$ and $2^{\mathbb{N}}$) that disintegrations exist, but we must weaken the probabilistic section requirement to $\mathcal{G}(Y) \circ \mathbb{E}_Y = \eta_Y$ holding for *almost all* $y \in Y$.
- Define **StdBoProb** to have standard Borel probability spaces (X, Σ_X, ν_X) as objects and almost-everywhere equivalence classes of nullset-reflecting maps as morphisms.
- Want a monad T on **StdBoProb** such that **StdBoProb** $(1, T(X))$ is the set of measures absolutely continuous to ν_X (definable by a density function).
- Problem: **StdBoProb** $(1, Y)$ is always countable, **StdBoProb** $(1, T(2))$ should be $\mathcal{D}(2) \cong [0, 1]$.

How to Proceed?

- We go beyond standard Borel spaces.
- We define the monad by defining a comonad on a dual category.
- We use Gelfand duality for commutative W^* -algebras, extending previous work [FJ15] on probabilistic Gelfand duality for the Radon monad.
- Under duality, disintegrations are *conditional expectations*, which are known to exist under the circumstances we want.

Gelfand Duality

- Algebraic Geometry with continuous functions.
- Stone duality : Stone spaces :: Gelfand duality : compact Hausdorff spaces
- $C(X)$ is a unital commutative $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} , i.e. a ring, a \mathbb{C} -vector space and has an involution $-^*$, pointwise complex conjugation.
- The norm

$$\|a\| = \sup_{x \in X} |a(x)|$$

makes $C(X)$ into a unital Banach $*$ -algebra (an internal $*$ -monoid in \mathbf{Ban}_1).

- Define a functor $C : \mathbf{CHaus} \rightarrow \mathbf{CBan}^* \mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}}$ is defined for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $b \in C(Y)$ by

$$C(f)(b) = b \circ f \in C(X).$$

Gelfand Duality II

- For a Banach $*$ -algebra A , the set $\mathbf{CBan}^*\mathbf{Alg}(A, \mathbb{C})$ is called the *spectrum*, $\text{Spec}(A)$.
- $\text{Spec}(A)$ has a compact Hausdorff topology (the weak- $*$ topology) and defines a functor $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CBan}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CHaus}$, if $g : A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi \in \text{Spec}(B)$ then

$$\text{Spec}(g)(\psi) = \psi \circ g \in \text{Spec}(A)$$

Gelfand Duality III

- Then $C \dashv \text{Spec}$ with the unit and counit given by exchanging the role of function and argument:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_X : X &\rightarrow \text{Spec}(C(X)) & \epsilon_A : A &\rightarrow C(\text{Spec}(A)) \\ \eta_X(x)(a) &= a(x) & \epsilon_A(a)(\phi) &= \phi(a) \end{aligned}$$

- η_X is always an isomorphism.
- ϵ_A is an isomorphism iff $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$, in which case A is said to be a C^* -algebra.
- So $C : \mathbf{CHaus} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}^{\text{op}}$ and $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CC^*Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CHaus}$ form an adjoint equivalence: *Gelfand duality*.

Positivity in C^* -algebras

- In a C^* -algebra A , the positive cone A_+ is defined to be the elements of the form b^*b .
- This recovers the definition for $C(X)$ seen earlier.
- A *positive map* $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a linear map such that $f(A_+) \subseteq B_+$.
- A *positive unital map* or *PU map* is a positive map that also preserves the unit element.
- These form a category $\mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ of which $\mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ is a subcategory (same objects).

States and Radon Measures

- A *state* on a C^* -algebra is just a PU map to \mathbb{C} :

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}(A, \mathbb{C}).$$

- It defines a functor $\mathcal{S} : \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CHaus}$, and $\text{Spec} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.
- A probability measure ν defined on a σ -algebra Σ in which each $a \in C(X)$ is measurable defines a state on $C(X)$:

$$\phi_\nu(a) = \int_X a \, d\nu$$

- The *Riesz representation theorem*¹ is that this gives a bijection between regular Borel probability measures on X and $\mathcal{S}(C(X)) = \mathcal{R}(X)$.

¹Kakutani's version of it.

Probabilistic Gelfand Duality

- We have $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}^{\text{op}}$. [FJ15]
- $C_{\text{PU}} : \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ defined by $C_{\text{PU}}(X) = C(X)$ and for a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(X)$ we define $C_{\text{PU}}(f) : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$

$$C_{\text{PU}}(f)(b)(x) = f(x)(b)$$

i.e. curried swap of arguments.

- Fullness and faithfulness are easy, essential surjectivity follows from classical Gelfand duality.

Probabilistic Gelfand Duality II

- Since $\mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{CHaus}$, there is a comonad T on $\mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}$ such that $\mathcal{Kl}(T) \simeq \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$. In fact $T = C \circ \mathcal{S}$.
- Overall picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{C_{\text{PU}}} & \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}^{\text{op}} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ F_{\mathcal{R}} \\ \downarrow \\ G_{\mathcal{R}} \end{array} \dashv & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ C \circ \mathcal{S} \end{array} \dashv \\
 \mathbf{CHaus} & \xrightarrow{C} & \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}},
 \end{array}$$

- Now we discuss how to do this with Gelfand duality for measure spaces.

Localizable Measure Spaces

- Let (X, Σ, ν) be a measure space. $L^1(X, \nu)$ is the absolutely integrable \mathbb{C} -valued random variables and $L^\infty(X)$ the bounded random variables, both up to equality *a.e.*
- They are Banach spaces, $L^\infty(X)$ a C^* -algebra.
- We define a bilinear pairing $L^\infty(X, \nu) \times L^1(X, \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

$$\langle a, \phi \rangle = \int_X a\phi \, d\nu$$

- Currying gives a linear map $L^\infty(X, \nu) \rightarrow L^1(X, \nu)^*$.
- (X, Σ, ν) is called *localizable* iff this map is an isometric isomorphism. [Seg51]
- If ν is a probability measure or a σ -finite measure, (X, Σ, ν) is localizable.

- A W^* -algebra A is a C^* -algebra with a *predual* A_* .
- A_* is a Banach space such that $(A_*)^* \cong A$ in **Ban**₁.
- A_* is unique up to isometric isomorphism (Sakai in noncommutative case, Grothendieck in commutative case).
- So if (X, Σ, ν) is localizable, $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ is a W^* -algebra with predual $L^1(X, \nu)$.
- Since W^* -algebras are C^* -algebras, we have $*$ -homomorphisms and PU maps.
- To work with measure spaces, we need to strengthen these notions.

Morphisms of W^* -algebras

- A W^* -algebra is a bounded dcpo (if commutative a bounded-complete lattice): Every bounded monotone net has a least upper bound.
- A PU-map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is *normal* iff, equivalently:
 - ❶ f preserves least upper bounds of bounded directed nets. (So isomorphisms are normal)
 - ❷ f is continuous in the weak- $*$ topologies $\sigma(A, A_*)$ and $\sigma(B, B_*)$.
 - ❸ There exists a linear map $f_* : B_* \rightarrow A_*$ such that $\langle f(a), \psi \rangle = \langle a, f_*(\psi) \rangle$ for all $a \in A$, $\psi \in B_*$.
- For $*$ -homomorphisms, f is normal iff it defines a complete Boolean homomorphism on projections.
- $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ has normal PU-maps as homomorphisms, $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$ normal $*$ -homomorphisms.

- For $f : (X, \Sigma_X, \nu_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Sigma_Y, \nu_Y)$ define

$$L^\infty(f) : L^\infty(Y, \nu_Y) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \nu_X)$$

$$L^\infty(f)([b]) = [b \circ f]$$

- To be well-defined, we must require f to be nullset-reflecting, *i.e.* if $T \in \Sigma_Y$ with $\nu_Y(T) = 0$, then $\nu_X(f^{-1}(T)) = 0$.
- This makes a *-homomorphism, and it *is* normal if ν_Y is σ -finite.
- But what about the general case?

Counterexample?

- For the moment, drop the axiom of choice and suppose every subset of $[0, 1]$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- So Lebesgue measure is a probability measure $\nu_X : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- On $[0, 1]$ let $\Sigma = \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ and ν_X be the Lebesgue measure, ν_Y the counting measure. Then $f = \text{id} : ([0, 1], \nu_X) \rightarrow ([0, 1], \nu_Y)$ is measurable and nullset-reflecting.
- Define the monotone net $(\chi_F)_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}([0,1])}$. Then $\bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}([0,1])} \chi_F = 1$ in $L^\infty([0, 1], \nu_Y) = \ell^\infty([0, 1])$.
- But $[\chi_F] = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}([0, 1])$ when considered in $L^\infty([0, 1], \nu_X)$, so $\bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}([0,1])} L^\infty(f)(\chi_F) = \bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}([0,1])} 0 = 0$.
- So $L^\infty(f)$ is *not* normal.

Counterexample? II

- For this example, all we needed was a probability measure ν_Y on a set X such that $\nu_Y(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, which may be consistent with ZFC as well (if real-valued measurable cardinals are [Ula30]).
- For *localizable* measure spaces, this is a necessary assumption to get a non-normal map.
- Luckily this counterexample will disappear later once we add more assumptions about the measure spaces we use.
- Until we get to this, we will require f additionally to be *normal*, i.e. that $L^\infty(f)$ is normal in **CW*Alg**.

Gelfand Duality for W^* -algebras

- First version: Adapt Gelfand duality for C^* -algebras.
- Spec takes $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}$ to a (non-full) subcategory of \mathbf{CHaus} .
- The spaces we get are called *hyperstonean*.
- Two characterizations of when $C(f)$ is normal, for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ continuous:
 - ❶ If $N \subseteq Y$ is a closed set with empty interior, so is $f^{-1}(N)$.
 - ❷ If $U \subseteq X$ is open, so is $f(U)$ (a.k.a. f is open).
- So $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CW^*Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{HypStonean}$ and $C : \mathbf{HypStonean} \rightarrow \mathbf{CW^*Alg}^{\text{op}}$ form an adjoint equivalence by restricting Gelfand duality.
- How does this relate to measure theory?

Hyperstonean Spaces

- The nowhere dense sets form a σ -ideal.
- There is a localizable regular measure² ν on the Baire property σ -algebra such that nowhere dense sets are exactly the sets of measure zero.
- The inclusion map $C(X) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(X, \nu)$ is an isomorphism.
- So L^∞ is a duality between a subcategory of measure spaces and **CW*Alg**.
- Unfortunately the only hyperstonean spaces we ever start with are finite discrete spaces.
- $\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, [0, 1], \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and so on are not hyperstonean.
- But hyperstonean spaces serve as a basis for generalization.

²Not unique, not in general a Radon measure

Duality for Measure Spaces

- Essential surjectivity of L^∞ is provided by hyperstonean spaces.
- Faithfulness doesn't hold for functions, we take equivalence classes.
- The equivalence relation is coarser than equality *a.e.*. For $f, g : (X, \nu_X) \rightarrow (Y, \nu_Y)$ we define

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow \forall T \in \Sigma_Y. \nu_X(f^{-1}(T) \Delta g^{-1}(T)) = 0$$

- This equivalence relation is compatible with composition so taking the quotient keeps L^∞ as a functor and makes it faithful.

Duality for Measure Spaces

- For a normal map $g : L^\infty(Y) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$, by the naturality of the counit the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\infty(Y) & \xrightarrow{g} & L^\infty(X) \\ \varepsilon_{L^\infty(Y)} \downarrow & & \uparrow \varepsilon_{L^\infty(X)}^{-1} \\ L^\infty(\text{Spec}(L^\infty(Y))) & \xrightarrow{L^\infty(\text{Spec}(g))} & L^\infty(\text{Spec}(L^\infty(X))) \end{array}$$

- We say X is *liftable* if there exists $\lambda_X : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L^\infty(X))$ such that $L^\infty(\lambda_X) = \varepsilon_{L^\infty(X)}^{-1}$.
- We say Y is *coliftable* if there exists $\kappa_Y : \text{Spec}(L^\infty(Y)) \rightarrow Y$ such that $L^\infty(\kappa_Y) = \varepsilon_{L^\infty(Y)}$.
- In this case, define $f = \kappa_Y \circ \text{Spec}(g) \circ \lambda_X$.

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(f) &= L^\infty(\lambda_X) \circ L^\infty(\text{Spec}(g)) \circ L^\infty(\kappa_Y) \\ &= \varepsilon_{L^\infty(X)}^{-1} \circ L^\infty(\text{Spec}(g)) \circ \varepsilon_{L^\infty(Y)} = g. \end{aligned}$$

Finally Choosing a Category of Measure Spaces

- So we could define **Meas** to have liftable coliftable localizable spaces as objects and classes of normal measurable maps.
- Fremlin [Fre02] showed that³ these spaces are the compact⁴ complete strictly localizable spaces.
- Furthermore, usual measures such as Lebesgue measure, counting measures, and the independent Bernoulli trial measures on 2^{κ} have these properties.
- A nullset-reflecting map from a compact measure space to a strictly localizable one is normal (essentially from [Fre03]), so we don't need to worry about that any more.
- We now have a **Meas** such that $L^{\infty} : \mathbf{Meas} \rightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}}$ is an equivalence.
- Reference: [Pav22]

³Subject to a technical requirement of being complete and locally determined

⁴In the measure-theoretic sense, not topological

A Comonad on $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}$

- By analogy to C^* -algebras, the probabilistic category of W^* -algebras is $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ (normal positive unital maps).
- We want a monad T on \mathbf{Meas} whose Kleisli category is equivalent to $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}^{\text{op}}$. We can use W^* -Gelfand duality to work on the W^* -side first.
- So show that $\mathbf{CW^*Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ has a left adjoint F such that the comparison functor for the coKleisli category of the comonad is an equivalence.
- We need to boost up the left adjoint to $\mathbf{CC^*Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ somehow.

- The forgetful functor $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ has a left adjoint, the *enveloping W^* -algebra*. For $A \in \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}$ it is the double dual A^{**} . This also produces a left adjoint to $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}$.

- Observe:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}(A^{**}, B) &\cong \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}(A, B) \cong \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}(C(\mathcal{S}(A)), B) \\ &\cong \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}(C(\mathcal{S}(A))^{**}, B).\end{aligned}$$

- It must be that $F(A^{**}) = C(\mathcal{S}(A))^{**}$.
- Not all W^* -algebras are double duals!

Lemma

$\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$ is monadic over $\mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}$, i.e. $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \simeq \mathcal{EM}(-^{**})$.

- Therefore

$$A^{****} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^{**}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{**}} \end{array} A^{**} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} A$$

is a coequalizer (the *canonical presentation* of A).

- This coequalizer is preserved by the inclusion $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ because it is reflexive [BW05].
- Since left adjoints preserve colimits and $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$ is cocomplete, this allows us to define $F : \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$.
- The coKleisli comparison functor is an equivalence with $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ because $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ and $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$ have the same objects. [Wes17, Theorem 9]

Theorem

There is a monad T on **Meas** such that $\mathcal{Kl}(T) \simeq \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$.





- It seems the simplest way to realize $T(X)$ is just as $\text{Spec}(F(L^\infty(X)))$.
- For a countable set X






$$T(X) \cong ([0, 1], \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \nu_d) + ([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{B}o([0, 1])}, \nu_d \otimes \nu_L)$$

where ν_d is the counting measure and ν_L the Lebesgue measure.

- We only have that $\mathbf{Meas}(1, T(X))$ corresponds to the density functions on X , not that $T(X)$ does.

- The need to use non-probabilistic, non-standard Borel spaces is analogous to the need to use **Set** instead of **FinSet** to define \mathcal{D} .
- I still have more work to finish with this.
- It should be that T is commutative so $\mathcal{Kl}(T)$ and $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}^{\text{op}}$ are Markov categories in the sense of [Fri20] (work in progress).
- Preprint available on www.robertfurber.com

-  Michael Barr and Charles Wells, *Toposes, Triples and Theories*, Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories **12** (2005), 1–288.
-  Robert Furber and Bart Jacobs, *From Kleisli Categories to Commutative C^* -algebras: Probabilistic Gelfand Duality*, Logical Methods in Computer Science **11** (2015), no. 2, 1–28.
-  David H. Fremlin, *Measure Theory, Volume 3*, <https://www.essex.ac.uk/maths/people/fremlin/mt.htm>, 2002.
-  _____, *Measure Theory, Volume 4*, <https://www.essex.ac.uk/maths/people/fremlin/mt.htm>, 2003.

-  Tobias Fritz, *A synthetic approach to Markov kernels, conditional independence and theorems on sufficient statistics*, *Advances in Mathematics* **370** (2020), 107239.
-  Dmitri Pavlov, *Gelfand-type duality for commutative von Neumann algebras*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **226** (2022), no. 4, 106884.
-  Tadeusz Świrszcz, *Monadic Functors and Convexity*, *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des Sciences Math. Astr. et Phys.* **22** (1974), no. 1, 39–42.
-  Irving E. Segal, *Equivalences of Measure Spaces*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **73** (1951), no. 2, 275–313.
-  Stanisław Ulam, *Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **16** (1930), 140–150.



Bram Westerbaan, *Quantum Programs as Kleisli Maps*,
Electronic Proceedings in Computer Science (EPTCS) **236**
(2017), 215–228.