| THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH | Refresher |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | Inguage models are an important component in statistical machine |
| | monolingual data is far more abundant than parallel data |
| 09: Monolingual Data | phrase-based SMT models suffer from independence assumption; LMs can mitigate this |
| | monolingual data may better match target domain |
| Rico Sennrich | |
| University of Edinburgh | |
| R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 1/20 | R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 1/20 |
| MT – 2018 – 09 | Language Models in NMT |
| | [Gülçehre et al., 2015] shallow fusion: rescore beam with language model (\approx ensembling) deep fusion: extra, LM-specific hidden layer |
| Language Models in NMT | |
| Training End-to-End NMT Model with Monolin- gual Data | (y, d) (S, TM) (S, TM) (S, TM) (S, TM) (Candidate Sentences' Translation Model Scores (C) (S, TM) (S, TM) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C |
| 3 "Unsupervised" MT from Monolingual Data | (a) Shallow Fusion (Sec. 4.1) $ \frac{De-En}{Dev} \frac{Cs-En}{Test} $ $ \frac{De-En}{Dev} \frac{Test}{Test} $ $ \frac{De-En}{Dev} \frac{Test}{Test} $ $ \frac{De-En}{Test} \frac{Test}{Test} $ $ \frac{Test}{Te$ |

2/20

R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09







| supervised mapping [Mikolov et al., 2013] we can learn linear transformation between embedding spaces with small dictionary. given linear transformation matrix W, and two vector representations x, y, in source and target language training objective (optimized with SGD): argmin ∑¹_{i=1} Wx_i = y_i ² training requires small exiction of (x, y) pairs after mapping, induce bilingual lexicon via nearest neighbor search training to Map Between Vector Spaces Improving Word Order itanial dictional in method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages method was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) terralial objective were are encoder decoder to reconstruct original sentence from noisy translation translation adoing a utencoder as a dditional objective shared encoder / decoder to reconstruct original sentence from noisy translation grane as additional objective shared encoder / decoder to reconstruct original sentence from noisy translation translation terrate several times use remoting a additional objective shared encoder / decoder parameters in both directions adversarial objective share encoder / decoder parameters in both directions adversarial objective | Learning to Map Between Vect | tor Spaces | Learning to Map Between Vector Spaces |
|---|--|---|---|
| R. Servicit MT - 2018 - 09 16/20 R. Servicit MT - 2018 - 09 17/20 Learning to Map Between Vector Spaces Improving Word Order Warning ion for training of both translation directions ion for training of both translation model to back-translate monolingual data Warning ion data conditions will this method succeed / fail? ion method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages ion method was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) ion data (same domains and genres) ion data (same domains and genres) BLEU BLEU supervised 28.0 21.3 word-by-word [Conneau et al., 2017] 6.3 7.1 I.Lample et al., 2017] 6.3 7.1 I.Lample et al., 2017] 6.3 7.1 | supervised mapping [Mikolov et al., al.)• we can learn linear transformation is small dictionary.• given linear transformation matrix W_{x_i}, y_i in source and target language• training objective (optimized with Set $argmin \sum_{W=1}^{n} $ • training requires small seed lexicon• after mapping, induce bilingual lexic | 2013] between embedding spaces with W, and two vector representations e GD): $ Wx_i - y_i ^2$ n of (x, y) pairs con via nearest neighbor search | <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><complex-block></complex-block></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header> |
| Learning to Map Between Vector Spaces Improving Word Order warning joint training of both translation directions use translation model to back-translate monolingual data e under what conditions will this method succeed / fail? method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages method was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) e system genres genres e system genre e system <t< th=""><th>R. Sennrich</th><th>MT – 2018 – 09 16 / 20</th><th>R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 17/20</th></t<> | R. Sennrich | MT – 2018 – 09 16 / 20 | R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 17/20 |
| warning is point training of both translation directions use translation model to back-translate monolingual data is under what conditions will this method succeed / fail? imath method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages imath method was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) imath was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) | Learning to Map Between Vect | tor Spaces | Improving Word Order |
| supervised 28.0 21.3 word-by-word [Conneau et al., 2017] 6.3 7.1 [Lample et al., 2017] 15.1 9.6 | warning these are recent research results – open questions remain under what conditions will this method succeed / fail? method was tested with typologically relatively similar languages method was tested with similar monolingual data (same domains and genres) | | [Lample et al., 2017] joint training of both translation directions use translation model to back-translate monolingual data learn encoder-decoder to reconstruct original sentence from noisy translation iterate several times use various other tricks and objectives to improve learning |
| R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 18/20 R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 19/20 | method was tested with typological method was tested with similar mor genres) | nolingual data (same domains and | pre-trained embeddings denoising autencoder as additional objective shared encoder / decoder parameters in both directions adversarial objective BLEU system en-fr en-de |

| Conclusion | | В | Bibliography I | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| there are various ways to learn fr combination with language mo pre-training and parameter sha creating synthetic training data methods are especially useful wh parallel data is sparse monolingual data is highly release hot research topic: learning to train | rom monolingual data del uring nen: vant (in-domain) anslate without parallel data | | Bertoldi, N. and Federico, M. (2009). Domain adaptation for statistical machine translation with monolingual resources. In Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation StatMT 09. Association for Computational Linguistics. Conneau, A., Lample, G., Ranzato, M., Denoyer, L., and Jégou, H. (2017). Word Translation Without Parallel Data. CoRR, abs/1710.04087. Currey, A., Miceli Barone, A. V., and Heafield, K. (2017). Cojed Monolingual Data Improves Low-Resource Neural Machine Translation. In Proceedings of the Second Conference on Machine Translation, pages 148–156, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics. Gilgehre, c., Firat, O., Xu, K., Cho, K., Barrault, L., Lin, H., Bougares, F., Schwenk, H., and Bengio, Y. (2015). Out Ising Monolingual Corpora in Neural Machine Translation. Data (Sing Monolingual Corpora in Neural Machine Translation. CoRR, abs/1503.03535. He, D., Xia, Y., Qin, T., Wang, L., Yu, N., Liu, T., and Ma, WY. (2016). Dual Learning for Machine Translation In Lee, D. D., Sugiyama, M., Luxburg, U. Y., Guyon, I., and Garnett, R., editors, Access in Neural Information Processing Systems 29, pages 820–828. Curran Associates, Inc. Lambert, P., Schwenk, H., Servan, C., and Abdul-Rauf, S. (2011). Investigations on Translation Model Adaptation Using Monolingual Data. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation, pages 284–293, Edinburgh, Scotland. Association for Computational Linguistics. | |
| R. Sennrich Bibliography II Lample, G., Denoyer, L., and Ranzato, M. (2017). Unsupervised Machine Translation Using Monolingual Corpo CoRR, abs/1711.00043. Luong, M., Le, Q. V., Sutskever, I., Vinyals, O., and Kaiser, L. Multi-task Sequence to Sequence Learning. In ICLR 2016. Miceli Barone, A. V. (2016). Towards cross-lingual distributed representations without par In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Representation Learn Computational Linguistics. Mikolov, T., Le, Q. V., and Sutskever, I. (2013). Exploiting Similarities among Languages for Machine Transla CORR, abs/1309.4168. Ramachandran, P., Liu, P., and Le, Q. (2017). Unsupervised Pretraining for Sequence to Sequence Learnin In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Method Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics. | MT - 2018 - 09 20 / 21 ra Only. (2016). allel text trained with adversarial autoencoders. 1 ning for NLP, pages 121–126, Berlin, Germany. Association for 1 ttion. 1 ution. 1 sin Natural Language Processing, pages 383–391, Copenhagen, 1 Statistical Machine Translation. 1 pages 182–189. 1 | | R. Sennrich MT – 2018 – 09 21/20 | |
| R. Sennrich | MT - 2018 - 09 22/2 | | | |